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### 1.0 Purpose

The purpose of this document is to provide calibrations for the Cassini Radio and Plasma Wave Subsystem and other information important for the proper interpretation of data obtained from the instrument. These calibrations are used to convert the instrument output data to geophysical units for the purpose of data reduction and analysis.

### 2.0 Applicable Documents

### 2.1 JPL Documents

### 2.2 UI Documents

2.2.1 Instrument Hardware and Software Specification for the Cassini Radio and Plasma
Wave Investigation Wave Investigation
2.2.2 User Guide and Software Operations Manual
2.3 CETP Documents
2.3.1 Cassini MSC-RPWS-PFU Transfer Functions, A. Meyer, Centre d’Etudes des Environnements Terrestre et Planétaires Vélizy, CNET, Issy-les-Moulneaux, France, March, 1996

2.4 Meudon Documents

### 2.5 IRFU Documents

2.5 Software Documents

### 3.0 Overview of Instrumentation

The RPWS instrumentation consists three electric antennas, three magnetic antennas, a Langmuir probe and its associated electronics, and five specialized receivers designed to address the scientific objectives and performance requirements discussed in the two previous sections. In this section we describe each of these elements of the instrument.

### 3.1 Block Diagram

A simplified block diagram of the RPWS instrument is shown in Figure 3.1.1. Three monopole electric field antennas, labeled $E_{u}, E_{v}$, and $E_{w}$, are used to provide electric field signals

Figure 3.1.1
to the various receivers. The orientations of these three antennas relative to the $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$, and z axes of the spacecraft are shown in Figure 3.1.2. By electronically taking the difference between the voltages on the $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u}}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}}$ monopoles, these two antennas can be used as a dipole, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{x}}$, aligned along the x axis of the spacecraft. The $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u}}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}}$ antennas also can be used to sound the local plasma by transmitting short pulses. In an alternate mode of operation, they can be biased and used as Langmuir probes to measure the phase velocity of density structures in the plasma. The tri-axial search coil magnetic antennas, labeled $B_{x}, B_{y}$, and $B_{z}$ in Figure 3.1.1, are used to detect


Figure 3.1.2
three orthogonal magnetic components of electromagnetic waves. The search coil axes are aligned along the $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$, and z axes of the spacecraft. The spherical Langmuir probe, shown at the bottom of the block diagram, is used for electron density and temperature measurements. Both the electric antennas and the Langmuir probe can be used to detect dust impacts.

Next, we consider the function of the five receivers shown in the middle column of the block diagram in Figure 3.1.1. These receivers are connected to the antennas described above by a network of switches in the block labeled "antenna selection switches," the details of which are not shown. The high frequency receiver (HFR) provides simultaneous auto- and crosscorrelation measurements from two selected antennas over a frequency range from 3.5 kHz and 16 MHz . By switching the two inputs of this receiver between the three monopole electric antennas, this receiver can provide direction-of-arrival measurements, plus a full determination of the four Stokes parameters. The high frequency receiver includes a processor that performs all of its digital signal processing, including data compression. The high frequency receiver also includes a sounder transmitter that can be used to transmit short square wave pulses from 3.6 to 115.2 kHz . When used in conjunction with the high frequency receiver, the sounder can stimulate resonances in the plasma, most notably at the electron plasma frequency, thereby providing a direct measurement of the electron number density. The medium frequency receiver (MFR) provides intensity measurements from a single selected antenna over a frequency range from 24 Hz to 12 kHz . This receiver is usually operated in a mode that toggles every 32 seconds between the $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{x}}$ electric dipole antenna and the $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{x}}$ magnetic search coil, thereby providing spectral information for both the electric and magnetic components of plasma waves. The low frequency receiver (LFR) provides intensity measurements from 1 Hz to 26 Hz , typically from the $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{x}}$ electric dipole antenna and the $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{x}}$ magnetic antenna. The five-channel waveform receiver
(WFR) collects simultaneous waveforms from up to five sensors for short intervals in one of two frequency bands, either 1 to 26 Hz , or 3 Hz to 2.5 kHz . When connected to two electric and three magnetic antennas, this receiver provides wave normal measurements of electromagnetic plasma waves. The wideband receiver is designed to provide nearly continuous wideband waveform measurements over a bandwidth of either 60 Hz to 10.5 kHz , or 800 Hz to 75 kHz . These waveforms can be analyzed on the ground in either the temporal domain, or in the frequency domain (Fourier transformed) to provide high-resolution frequency-time spectrograms. In a special frequency-conversion mode of operation, the high frequency receiver can provide waveforms to the wideband receiver in a $25-\mathrm{kHz}$ bandwidth that is tuneable to any frequency between 125 kHz and 16 MHz . Table 3.1 .1 summarizes the characteristics of the five basic receivers described above.

The Langmuir probe controller shown in the block diagram is used to sweep the bias voltage of the probe over a range from -32 to +32 V in order to obtain the current-voltage characteristics of the probe, and thereby the electron density and temperature. The controller can also set the bias voltage on the $E_{u}$ and $E_{v}$ monopoles over a range from -10 to +10 V in order to operate them in a current collection mode for $\delta n_{e} / n_{e}$ measurements.

The RPWS data processing unit shown on the right-hand side of the block diagram consists of three processors. The first processor, called the low-rate processor, controls all instrument functions, collects data from the high frequency receiver, the medium frequency receiver, the low frequency receiver, and the Langmuir probe, and carries out all communications with the spacecraft Command and Data System (CDS). The second processor, called the high-rate processor, handles data from the wideband and five-channel waveform receivers and passes the data along to the low-rate processor for transmission to the CDS. The
third processor, called

TABLE 3.1.1
Summary of RPWS Receiver Characteristics

| Receiver Section | Measurements | Spectral Range | Spectral Resolution | Temporal Resolution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High frequency Receiver (HFR) | $\begin{gathered} \text { For } \mathrm{E}_{1}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u}}, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}} \\ \text { or } \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{x}} \text { and } \\ \mathrm{E}_{2}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{w}} ; \\ \left\|\mathrm{E}_{1}\right\|^{2},\left\|\mathrm{E}_{2}\right\|^{2}, \\ \operatorname{Re}\left(\mathrm{E}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{E}_{2}^{*}\right), \\ \operatorname{Im}\left(\mathrm{E}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{E}_{2}^{*}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.5 \mathrm{kHz}-318 \mathrm{kHz} \\ & 0.125-16.125 \mathrm{MHz} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \mathrm{f} / \mathrm{f}=5,10,20 \% \\ (\log ) \\ \Delta \mathrm{f}=3.1 \mathrm{kHz}- \\ \mathrm{n} \times 25 \mathrm{kHz} \text { (linear) } \end{gathered}$ | $0.1-10 \mathrm{~s} /$ spectrum |
| Medium <br> Frequency Receiver (MFR) | One of: <br> $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u}}$, <br> $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{b}}, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{w}}$, <br> $\mathrm{B}_{x}, \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{z}}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24 \mathrm{~Hz}-180 \mathrm{~Hz} \\ 180 \mathrm{~Hz}-1.5 \mathrm{kHz} \\ 1.5 \mathrm{kHz}-12 \mathrm{kHz} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \mathrm{f} / \mathrm{f} \simeq 13 \% \\ \Delta \mathrm{f} / \mathrm{f} \simeq 7 \% \\ \Delta \mathrm{f} / \mathrm{f} \simeq 7 \% \end{gathered}$ | $16 \mathrm{~s} /$ spectrum $16 \mathrm{~s} /$ spectrum 8 s/spectrum |
| Five-Channel Waveform Receiver (WFR) | Five of: $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{E}_{x}, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u}}, \\ \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}}, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{w}}, \\ \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{y}}, \mathrm{~B}_{z}, \mathrm{LP} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 1 \mathrm{~Hz}-26 \mathrm{~Hz} \\ & 3 \mathrm{~Hz}-2.5 \mathrm{kHz} \end{aligned}$ | 0.2 Hz , typical <br> 3.5 Hz typical | 1 data set $/ 5 \mathrm{~min}$, typical, 1 data set/ 16 s , max |
| Low Frequency Receiver (LFR) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Two of: } \\ \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u}}, \\ \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}}, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{w}}, \\ \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{y}}, \mathrm{~B}_{z}, \mathrm{LP} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\sim 1 \mathrm{~Hz}-26 \mathrm{~Hz}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{f} / \mathrm{f}=12$ \% | $\begin{gathered} 1 \mathrm{E}+1 \mathrm{~B} \text { spectrum } / 16 \mathrm{~s}, \\ \text { typical } \end{gathered}$ |
| Wideband Receiver (WBR) | $\begin{gathered} \text { One of: } \\ \mathrm{E}_{x}, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u}}, \\ \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}}, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{w}}, \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{LP} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \mathrm{~Hz}-10.5 \mathrm{kHz} \\ & 0.8 \mathrm{kHz}-75 \mathrm{kHz} \end{aligned}$ | 13.6 Hz , typical <br> 109 Hz , typical | $125 \mathrm{~ms} /$ spectrum, typical |

the data compression processor, is primarily used for data compression, but can also perform specialized operations such as on-board dust detection by using waveforms from the wideband receiver.

As shown in Figure 3.1.2, the RPWS hardware is mounted in several locations around the Cassini spacecraft. The main electronics package, which includes the medium frequency receiver and the digital processing unit, resides in bay 4 of the main spacecraft bus. The electric antenna deployment mechanisms and the high frequency receiver are mounted on a bracket on the +y side of the spacecraft, just below the base of the magnetometer boom. The magnetic
search coil assembly is supported by a short boom that is attached to the high gain antenna support structure on the -x side of the spacecraft. The Langmuir probe is mounted on the end of an $0.8-\mathrm{m}$ hinged boom that extends outward from the magnetic search coil assembly.

### 3.2. Electric Antennas

The three electric monopole antennas and their deployment mechanisms were provided by Orbital Sciences Corporation. The antenna elements consist of conducting cylinders, each 10 meters long and 2.86 cm in diameter. The elements are made of beryllium-copper, silver plated on the exterior surface, and painted black on the interior for thermal control. Approximately $12 \%$ of the surface area is perforated with small holes to allow sunlight to heat the shaded side of the element in order to reduce thermal bending. The elements themselves are formed by two opposing semi-cylindrical strips with interlocking tabs. For launch, the elements are flattened and rolled onto a spool. During deployment after launch, a motor-driven deployment mechanism feeds the element through a forming channel that expands the strips into a cylindrical tube.

The physical orientations of the three elements with respect to the $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$, and z axes of the spacecraft are provided in Table 3.2.1. The $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u}}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}}$ elements are extended symmetrically at angles of $60^{\circ}$ with respect to the spacecraft y-z plane. The plane containing these two elements is rotated $37^{\circ}$ with respect to the spacecraft $x-y$ plane. These two elements can be used to provide a dipole antenna $\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)$ with a tip-to-tip length of 18.52 m parallel to the spacecraft x axis. The $E_{w}$ antenna is extended perpendicular to the plane formed by the $E_{u}$ and $E_{v}$ elements (i.e., at an angle of $37^{\circ}$ from the spacecraft z axis). Several considerations led to this antenna configuration. First, the three monopoles provide the nearly orthogonal tri-axial configuration required for

## TABLE 3.2.1

## Comparison of Physical and Electrical Orientations of the Electric Antennas

|  | Physical Orientation* |  | Electrical Orientation (Rheometry) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antenna $^{\dagger}$ | $\theta(\mathrm{deg})$ | $\phi(\mathrm{deg})$ | $\theta(\mathrm{deg})$ | $\phi(\mathrm{deg})$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u}}$ | 107.5 | 24.8 | 107.9 | 16.5 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}}$ | 107.5 | 155.2 | 107.3 | 162.7 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{w}}$ | 37 | 90 | 31.4 | 91.2 |

* The angle $\theta$ is the polar angle measured with respect to the spacecraft +z axis, $(\cos \theta=$ $\mathrm{z} / \sqrt{\mathrm{x}^{2}+\mathrm{y}^{2}+\mathrm{z}^{2}}$ ), (and the angle $\phi$ is azimuth angle measured with respect to the +x axis $(\tan \phi=\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{y}$ ).
$\dagger$ In some previous papers [Ladreiter et al., 1995; Rucker et al., 1996], various engineering documents, and some sections of this calibration document the $E_{u}, E_{v}$, and $E_{w}$ antenna are labeled $E_{x+}, E_{x-}$, and $E_{z}$. The $\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{w}$, notations are used in this section to avoid confusion with the spacecraft $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$, and z axes.
direction finding. Second, as previously described, the $E_{u}$ and $E_{v}$ elements can be operated as a dipole $\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)$, which minimizes common-mode coupling in order to provide the lowest possible level of spacecraft-generated interference. The $E_{u}$ and $E_{v}$ elements are also symmetric with respect to the magnetometer boom, which minimizing the effect this boom has on the dipole antenna pattern. Third, the w-axis element is rotated somewhat away from the spacecraft in order to reduce electrical coupling and interference from electrical equipment in the lower bay of the spacecraft. Fourth, the $E_{u}$ and $E_{v}$ elements are rotated away from the spacecraft $x-y$ plane so that they do not interfere with the field of view of the stellar reference unit. This orientation also provides clearance for release of the Huygens probe.

The three electric antennas were deployed to their full 10-meter length during a 30-hour activity on 25 October 1997, ten days after launch. Full deployment was confirmed by both a potentiometer reading and a limit switch indication, the latter being the most reliable indication of full deployment. A brief set of measurements performed subsequent to the deployments
verified that each antenna was properly connected to the instrument and that there were no shorts to the spacecraft structure. There are no plans to retract or otherwise change the length of the elements for the duration of the mission.

Due to the complex shape of the Cassini spacecraft, the effective electrical axes of the monopole antennas differ significantly from their physical orientations. Electrical measurements using a 1:30 scale model of the spacecraft in a tank of electrolytic fluid (rheometry) were performed by Rucker et al. [1996]. These measurements show angular offsets of the electrical axes by as much as 5 to 6 degrees for the $E_{w}$ antenna, and 7 to 8 degrees for the $E_{u}$ and $E_{v}$ antennas (see Table 4.2). Ladreiter et al. [1995] have developed an analysis technique that can be used to perform in-flight determinations of the electrical axes by using a point source with known polarization characteristics. Jupiter provides the best source for this purpose and in-flight calibrations of the electrical axes of the antennas were carried out during the Jupiter flyby, which took place in December 2000 and January 2001. Preliminary results of this calibration are given by Vogl et al. [2001]. Although this calibration occurred with the Huygens probe attached to the spacecraft, the rheometry results show that the electrical axes shift by only a small amount (less than one degree) when the probe is released.

### 3.3. Magnetic Antennas

The tri-axial search coil magnetic antennas were provided by CETP in Velizy, France. These antennas are mounted on a short, fixed boom just under the high gain antenna on the -x side of the spacecraft, as shown in Figure 3.1.2. The three axes of the search coils are aligned with the $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$, and z axes of the spacecraft. Each search coil utilizes 10,000 turns of 0.07 mm wire wound around a mu-metal core 25 cm long with a cross-sectional area of $15 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$. The
sensors have a usable frequency range of 1 Hz to 20 kHz . A flux feedback winding is used in each search coil to flatten the frequency response over the range from 30 Hz to 18 kHz . The transfer function in this frequency range is approximately $150 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{nT}$. Preamplifiers are mounted at the base of the mounting boom. When the spacecraft -z axis is pointed toward the Sun, as it is whenever the spacecraft is in the inner solar system, the high gain antenna acts as a sun shade for the search coils. Two radioisotope heating units are used to provide an internal heat source for thermal control. A thermal blanketing system surrounds the assembly to minimize the radiated heat loss.

### 3.4. Langmuir Probe

The Langmuir probe consists of a $5-\mathrm{cm}$ diameter titanium sphere mounted on the end of a $0.8-\mathrm{m}$ hinged boom that folds outward from one leg of the boom that holds the search coil magnetic antenna assembly. A preamplifier is mounted near the base of the search coil boom. To minimize the influence of photoelectrons, the outermost $10.9-\mathrm{cm}$ segment of the boom is made as thin as possible (diameter $=6.35 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) and has an outer guard shield that is held at the same voltage as the probe sphere. In its deployed configuration, the probe is approximately 1.5 m from the nearest spacecraft surface. When the -z axis of the spacecraft is sun-pointed, as it is in the inner solar system, the probe is in the sunlight. The surface of the probe is coated with titanium nitride by baking at high temperature in a nitrogen atmosphere [Whalström et al., 1992]. This treatment provides a durable surface with a constant work function so that the potential of the probe surface is uniform. (If the potential varies over the surface, the current-voltage characteristic is adversely affected.) This coating effectively eliminates hysteresis effects, which can occur for other surface coatings.

The boom holding the Langmuir probe was deployed on 25 October 1997 as part of the launch sequence. Deployment was verified by noting that the probe emitted the expected photoelectron current when biased to a negative voltage (the spacecraft orientation was such that the probe was in sunlight when deployed).

As mentioned earlier, two of the electric antennas $\left(\mathrm{E}_{u}, \mathrm{E}_{v}\right)$ can also be operated as Langmuir probes in a current-collecting mode. This mode is used primarily for studying plasma density variations associated with waves and turbulence. The current measurement range is 1 nA to $100 \mu \mathrm{~A}$ for positive bias voltages, and 100 pA to $100 \mu \mathrm{~A}$ for negative bias voltages.

### 3.5. High Frequency Receiver

The high frequency receiver consists of two sets of four analog receivers followed by a digital signal processing unit. The design is based on a similar receiver flown on the Wind spacecraft [Bougeret et al., 1995]. One set of receivers is connected to the $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{w}}$ monopole. The other set of receivers can be connected either to the $E_{x}$ dipole or toggled between the $E_{u}$ and $E_{v}$ monopoles. Three of the four receivers have fixed-frequency filters (A, B, and C) that together cover a frequency range from 3.5 to 319 kHz . Each filter covers 2.2 octaves in frequency (i.e., a factor of 4.5). Digital spectral analysis is performed within each of the three filter bands to provide 8,16 , or 32 logarithmically spaced frequency channels, yielding spectral resolutions of 20,10 , or $5 \%$, respectively. The fourth (HF) receiver is tunable over a frequency range from 100 kHz to 16.1 MHz and has a bandwidth of 25 kHz . This receiver can be tuned in two different modes, HF1 and HF2. HF1 provides measurements over a frequency range from 100 kHz to 4.125 MHz in $25-\mathrm{kHz}$ steps. HF2 provides measurements over a frequency range from 125 kHz to 16.125 MHz in $50-\mathrm{kHz}$ steps. Within the 25 kHz passbands of HF 1 or HF2, it is possible to
have either $1,2,4$, or 8 linearly spaced channels, thereby giving frequency resolutions of 25 , $12.5,6.25$, and 3.125 kHz . The high frequency receiver has an automatic gain control (AGC) that provides a dynamic range of about 90 dB . In addition, a switchable attenuator provides an additional 26 dB of dynamic range. At any specific gain setting of the AGC, the digital spectrum analyzer has a dynamic range of about 30 dB . Table 3.5 .1 provides a summary of the basic characteristics of the high frequency receiver.

TABLE 3.5.1
Characteristics of the High Frequency Receiver

| Band | Frequency Range | Frequency Steps | Frequency Resolution | Integration Times |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | $3.5 \mathrm{kHz}-16 \mathrm{kHz}$ | 8,16, or 32 (logarithmic) | 20,10, or $5 \%$ | $.125, .25, .5,1 \mathrm{~s}$ |
| B | $16 \mathrm{kHz}-71 \mathrm{kHz}$ | 8,16, or 32 (logarithmic) | 20,10, or $5 \%$ | $.125, .25, .5,1 \mathrm{~s}$ |
| C | $71 \mathrm{kHz}-319 \mathrm{kHz}$ | 8,16, or 32 (logarithmic) | 20,10, or $5 \%$ | $.125, .25, .5,1 \mathrm{~s}$ |
| HF1 | $125 \mathrm{kHz}-4.125$ <br> MHz | $\mathrm{n} \times 25 \mathrm{kHz}, 1,2,4$, or 8 <br> linear channels within $25-$ <br> kHz band | $3.125,6.25,12.5,25$, or <br> $\mathrm{n} \times 25 \mathrm{kHz}$ | $20,40,80,160 \mathrm{msec}$ |
| HF2 | $125 \mathrm{kHz}-16.125$ <br> MHz | $\mathrm{n} \times 50 \mathrm{kHz} ; 1,2,4$, or 8 <br> linear channels within $25-$ <br> kHz band | $3.125,6.25,12.5,25$, or <br> $\mathrm{n} \times 50 \mathrm{kHz}$ | $10,20,40,80 \mathrm{msec}$ |

Using the two sets of receivers, complex auto- and cross-correlation measurements can be performed between the $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{x}}$ dipole and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{w}}$ monopole antennas, thereby giving the amplitude and relative phase of signals detected by the two antennas. This mode of operation allows for polarization and direction-finding measurements of purely circularly polarized radio emissions such as cyclotron maser radiation. In an alternate mode of operation, the first of the two sets of receivers can be toggled rapidly between the $E_{u}$ and $E_{v}$ monopoles, with the second set on the $E_{w}$ antenna, in order to provide direction-finding measurements.

For low frequency space-borne radio measurements, the wavelength $\lambda$ is usually much
larger than the length $L$ of the antenna. Under these conditions $(\lambda \gg L)$ angular resolution is virtually nonexistent. Nevertheless, the direction to the center of the source can be obtained by comparing the amplitudes and relative phases of signals from various combinations of antennas. On a rotating spacecraft, direction-finding measurements can be performed with only two antennas. This technique was used by the Ulysses spacecraft to derive the location of Jovian low frequency radio sources with an accuracy of approximately $1-2^{\circ}$ [Reiner et al., 1993; Ladreiter et al., 1994]. The main limitation of the rotating antenna technique is that the radio emission characteristics must remain essentially constant during one spacecraft rotation, which usually takes ten seconds or more. This is a serious limitation for auroral radio emissions, which often have rapid intensity variations, sometimes on time scales of a fraction of a second or less. Such rapid variations explain why the technique could be applied to only limited portions of the Jovian radio spectrum [Ladreiter et al., 1994]. Since Cassini is three-axis stabilized the rotating antenna technique obviously cannot be used. Ladreiter et al. [1995] have shown that directionfinding and full polarization information (i.e., four Stokes parameters) can be obtained with three nearly orthogonal antennas. Direction-finding and polarization measurements are strongly interdependent parameters and, ideally, measurements should be made simultaneously on all three antennas. However, since the high frequency receiver can only process signals from two antennas at a time, direction-finding and polarization measurements are made using consecutive correlation measurements with two different pairs of antennas, $\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u}}, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{w}}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}}, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{w}}\right)$. The time between these measurements is typically 45 to 325 msec (above 125 kHz ) or 250 to 2000 msec (below 125 kHz ). Because of the very short time interval between successive measurements, this technique is expected to be relatively insensitive to short-term intensity variations.

The high frequency receiver utilizes digital filters that have an out-of-band rejection
greater than 45 dB . They are preceded by an anti-aliasing filter and an automatic gain control (AGC). The AGC normalizes the signal amplitude to a level that provides optimal performance for the digital signal processor. The first receiver has an input, which for simplicity we call $\mathrm{E}_{1}$, that is selectable from either $E_{x}, E_{u}$, or $E_{v}$. The second receiver has an input, which we call $E_{2}$, from the $E_{w}$ antenna. The digital processor provides two auto-correlations, $\left|E_{1}\right|^{2}$ and $\left|E_{2}\right|^{2}$, and two cross-correlation $\operatorname{Re}\left(\mathrm{E}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{E}_{2}{ }^{*}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathrm{E}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{E}_{2}{ }^{*}\right)$, for each frequency channel. In the normal mode of operation, a series of auto- and cross-correlation measurements is averaged in order to reduce fluctuations to the digital quantization level ( $1 \mathrm{bit}=0.375 \mathrm{~dB}$ ). The exact number of spectrums integrated is controlled by command and depends on the detailed choices of frequency and bandwidth. The intrinsic noise level of the $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, and C bands is $4.9 \times 10^{-17} \mathrm{~V}^{2} \mathrm{~Hz}^{-1}$ for the $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ receiver and $2.5 \times 10^{-17} \mathrm{~V}^{2} \mathrm{~Hz}^{-1}$ for the $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ receiver. For HF1 and HF2, these noise levels are typically double the $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, and C noise levels due to mixer noise.

The high frequency receiver also has the capability of providing a frequency-converted signal to the wideband receiver. In the frequency conversion mode of operation, the high frequency receiver translates high frequency signals downward into a $50-\mathrm{kHz}$ to $75-\mathrm{kHz}$ passband, which is then sent to the wideband receiver. This passband can be shifted to any frequency between 4.125 and 16 MHz , except those centered at multiples of 50 kHz , and to any frequency between 125 kHz and 4.125 MHz .

The high frequency receiver also includes a capability to measure amplitudes in a selected frequency channel with millisecond temporal resolution. In this mode of operation any frequency between 125 kHz and 16 MHz can be selected. This capability allows very short duration signals such as lightning to be detected and can be used for envelope sampling of bursty emissions.

Since the high frequency receiver is under the control of its own processor, virtually all of the receiver parameters can be selected by command, making for an extremely flexible instrument. This flexibility allows for regular surveys of the radio frequency spectrum of Saturn at low data rates (typically about 450 bps ), as well as specialized studies of short duration phenomena at very high spectral resolution (the maximum number of frequency channels across the frequency range of the receiver is approximately 3300) as well as direction-finding and full polarization measurements. To minimize the data rate for a given mode, the processor also includes both lossless (Meander code) and lossy (Rice code) compression algorithms.

### 3.6. Sounder Transmitter

The high frequency receiver also includes a sounder transmitter. The transmitter design is based on the Ulysses/URAP instrument [Stone et al., 1992]. In the sounder mode of operation, a short pulse consisting of a $26-\mathrm{V}$ peak-to-peak square wave is transmitted on the $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{x}}$ dipole antenna, and the receiver then "listens" for a resonance response from the plasma. After each pulse the transmitter frequency is increased by a small increment. This process is continued across the entire frequency range of interest, thereby producing a spectrum of the plasma resonances. When a resonance is encountered, the received signal is strongly enhanced for a short period of time after the pulse has been transmitted. The sounder can measure electron densities from 0.2 to $164 \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{pe}} \approx 3.6\right.$ to 115.2 kHz$)$, and is expected to be active for a few seconds out of every 5 to 10 minutes.

The sounder can transmit in five bands over a frequency range from 3.6 kHz to 115.2 kHz . The five bands are described in Table 4-4. Each band is divided into 18 channels for a total of 90 frequencies. The default bandwidths are given in Table 3.6.1. The upper and lower
frequency limits of the frequency sweep can be selected by command.
TABLE 3.6.1

## Sounder Transmission Characteristics

| Band | Freq. Range (kHz) | 1/Pulse Width (Hz) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $3.6-7.2$ | 200 |
| 2 | $7.2-14.4$ | 400 |
| 3 | $14.4-28.8$ | 800 |
| 4 | $28.8-57.6$ | 1600 |
| 5 | $57.6-115.2$ | 3200 |

Two modes of operation for the sounder are currently planned, designated PAA and AAA. Each of these two modes involves taking three measurements at the selected frequency. In the PAA (Passive Active) mode, a "passive" measurement is taken before the pulse is transmitted, and then two "active" measurements are taken; the first at a time $\mathrm{T}_{0}$ after the pulse, and the second at a time $T_{1}$ after the first measurement. In the AAA mode, three "active" measurements are taken sequentially at time intervals of $\mathrm{T}_{0}, \mathrm{~T}_{1}$, and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ after transmission of the pulse. The parameters $T_{0}, T_{1}$, and $T_{2}$ can be selected by command.

### 3.7. Medium Frequency Receiver

The medium frequency receiver (MFR) system is based on similar receivers flown on the ISEE, Galileo, and Polar spacecraft [Gurnett et al., 1978; 1992; 1995]. The purpose of the medium frequency receiver is to provide continuous spectral measurements over a frequency range from 24 Hz to 12 kHz , with moderate frequency and temporal resolution and a relatively low data rate. This receiver system has the capability of processing signals from the $B_{x}, B_{z}, E_{u}$, $E_{v}, E_{w}$ and $E_{x}$, antennas. In a typical mode of operation, the receiver toggles between the $E_{x}$
dipole antenna and the $B_{x}$ magnetic antenna in order to provide alternating electric and magnetic spectrums.

The medium frequency receiver consists of three frequency bands designated 1,2 , and 3 , each covering a 3 octave frequency range. Band 1 is divided into 16 logarithmically spaced frequency channels ( $\Delta \mathrm{f} / \mathrm{f} \simeq 13 \%$ ) and bands 2 and 3 each have 32 frequency channels $(\Delta \mathrm{f} / \mathrm{f} \simeq 7 \%)$. The effective bandwidths for the three bands are given in Table 3.7.1. The frequencies are selectable using a two-stage frequency conversion scheme. Each band of the medium frequency receiver uses a mixer to convert the selected frequency to a fixed intermediate frequency (IF) filter. A second mixer then down-converts the IF signal to a baseband filter. The baseband signal is logarithmically compressed, rectified, and summed to provide a $0-5$ volt DC output, and then converted to an 8 -bit binary number by an analog-todigital converter. The dynamic range of the medium frequency receiver, from the lowest signal that can be detected to the saturation level, is about 110 dB .

TABLE 3.7.1
Medium Frequency Receiver Characteristics

| Band | Frequency Range | $\Delta \mathrm{f} / \mathrm{f}$ | Effective <br> Bandwidth <br> $(\mathrm{Hz})$ | Sweep <br> Time <br> $(\mathrm{s})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $24-180 \mathrm{~Hz}$ | $13 \%$ | 5.6 | 16 |
| 2 | $180-1500 \mathrm{~Hz}$ | $7 \%$ | 19.4 | 16 |
| 3 | $1.5-12 \mathrm{kHz}$ | $7 \%$ | 139 | 8 |

The upper frequency limits of the three medium frequency receiver frequency bands are determined by three bandpass filters at the front end of the receiver. The lower frequency limits are imposed by a combination of the bandpass filters and the low frequency limit of the front end
(IF) mixer. The filter frequencies are scaled upward by a factor of eight for each successive higher band, thereby providing frequency coverage over nine octaves. The low-pass filters provide 55 dB of rejection for out-of-band signals.

### 3.8. Five-Channel Waveform Receiver

The five-channel waveform receiver provides simultaneous waveforms from up to five separate sensors in passbands of either 1 to 26 Hz , or 3 Hz to 2.5 kHz . The purpose of this receiver is to provide high-resolution spectral measurements and to determine the polarization and wave normal of low frequency plasma waves. When connected to the Langmuir probe (including the $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u}}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}}$ monopoles operating in the current collecting mode), the waveform receiver can also provide $\delta n_{e} / n_{e}$ waveforms. The waveforms are sampled with 12-bit resolution once every 10 ms for the 1 to 26 Hz bands and once every $140 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ for the 26 Hz and 2.5 kHz bands. Simultaneous waveform samples from up to five receiver channels are stored in a buffer memory until they are read out via the science telemetry. The waveforms are processed on the ground to produce the auto- and cross-correlations that are needed to compute the wave normal and polarization.

The waveform receiver consists of five parallel analog input channels. The five inputs can be connected to various sensors. Table 3.8.1 summarizes the sensor selections for each of the five channels. Signals from the five sensors are routed directly to a gain select stage that has commandable gains of $0,10,20$, or 30 dB for each receiver channel. Channels 1 and 2 have independent gain settings, but channels 3 to 5 (which are usually connected to the $B_{x}, B_{y}$, and $B_{z}$ magnetic sensors) share the same gain control lines. The programmable gain amplifiers are similar to those used in the wideband receiver. The amplifier gains are controlled by the high
rate processor and can be operated in either a fixed gain mode or an automatic ranging mode. The output of the programmable gain amplifiers goes to a $26-\mathrm{Hz}$ low-pass filter and a $3-\mathrm{Hz}$ to $2.5-\mathrm{kHz}$ bandpass filter. The desired analysis passband is selected by spacecraft command and the waveform outputs are sampled simultaneously by sample-and-hold circuits and converted to digital signals by a 12-bit analog-to-digital converter. The five-channel waveform receiver can also be commanded to measure one, two, three or four channels. These special modes allow greater resolution for special observations. For example, the single-channel waveform receiver mode using the $3-\mathrm{Hz}$ to $2.5-\mathrm{kHz}$ passband effectively allows a third wideband receiver channel in addition to the $60-\mathrm{Hz}$ to $10.5-\mathrm{kHz}$ and $0.8-\mathrm{kHz}$ to $75-\mathrm{kHz}$ channels that are included in the wideband receiver. Also, the waveform receiver provides signals to the digital processing unit in order to generate the passband for the low frequency receiver function (see Section 3.9).

TABLE 3.8.1

## Waveform Receiver Input Selections

| Channel | Input Selection |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{x}}$ dipole, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u}}{ }^{*}$ |
| 2 | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{w}}, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}}{ }^{*}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{x}}$, Langmuir probe* |
| 4 | $\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{y}}$ |
| 5 | $\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{z}}$ |

* Operating in Langmuir probe (current collecting) mode

Since the primary purpose of the five-channel waveform receiver is to provide the amplitude and relative phase of the five measured field components, each receiver channel must have known amplitude and phase responses. To achieve the required performance, all five lowpass passive filters have been carefully matched and are phase-stable to within a one degree between 1 Hz and 2.5 kHz .

### 3.9. Low Frequency Receiver

In order to have continuous spectral information in the frequency range from 1 Hz to 26 Hz , waveforms from the five-channel waveform receiver are Fourier transformed by the data compression processor in the digital processing unit. The three-channel mode $\left(E_{x}, E_{w}, B_{x}\right)$ of the five-channel waveform receiver is used, although typically only two of these channels, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $B_{x}$, are analyzed, thereby providing simultaneous electric and magnetic spectrums. Processor speed limits the temporal resolution between successive spectrums to about 16 seconds. The Fourier transform processing utilizes a 512 -point waveform to produce a 256 -frequency component spectrum with $0.2-\mathrm{Hz}$ resolution. To minimize the data volume and to produce a spectrum with resolution similar to that produced by the medium frequency receiver, the linear components of the spectrum are binned to form a logarithmically spaced spectrum with 32 frequencies covering a frequency range from 0.2 to 26 Hz .

### 3.10. Wideband Receiver

The wideband receiver is similar to the wideband receivers previously used on the Voyager, Galileo, Polar, and Cluster spacecraft, and provides high-resolution electric and magnetic field waveform measurements in passbands of either 60 Hz to 10.5 kHz , or 0.8 to 75 kHz . The wideband receiver also serves as the front end for the on-board dust detection function. The data compression processor (described below) is used to search the waveforms for the signature of dust impacts.

The wideband receiver processes signals from a single selected sensor (either $E_{u}, E_{v}, E_{x}$, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{w}}, \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{x}}$, or Langmuir probe). To provide the capability for obtaining waveforms at higher frequencies, the wideband receiver input can be connected to the frequency conversion output
from the high frequency receiver (see Section 3.5).
The instantaneous dynamic range of the wideband receiver is 48 dB . Because of the expected large dynamic range of the input signals, a set of discrete gain amplifiers and an automatic gain control are used to amplify the signal to the proper level in steps of 10 dB over a range of 0 dB to 70 dB . This system provides a total dynamic range of over 100 dB for the wideband receiver. The feedback loop in the automatic gain control has a time constant of 0.1 seconds. However, gain updates are only made prior to a waveform capture. Since waveform captures typically occur once per multiple of 125 ms , the effective gain update can be much slower than 0.1 s . The output from the discrete gain amplifiers goes to the two bandpass filters ( 60 Hz to 10.5 kHz or 0.8 kHz to 75 kHz ). The output of the selected bandpass filter is sent to an 8-bit analog-to-digital converter. The sampling rate is 27,777 samples/s for the $10-\mathrm{kHz}$ channel and 222,222 samples/s for the $75-\mathrm{kHz}$ channel.

### 3.11. Data Processing Unit

The RPWS data processing unit uses three microprocessors, the low-rate processor (LRP), the high-rate processor (HRP), and the data compression processor (DCP), to perform the tasks necessary for the instrument operation. These processors are responsible for collecting the science and housekeeping data, data formatting and data compression, and provide the interface to the spacecraft command and data system.

The tasks and responsibilities of the three processors are as follows. The low-rate processor is considered the primary processor since it has the sole interface with the Cassini spacecraft via the bus interface unit (BIU). It also provides the interface to the high frequency receiver, the interface and control for the medium frequency receiver and the analog
housekeeping analog-to-digital converter, and the control for the antenna motor subsystem. The high-rate processor is used to control the waveform and wideband receivers, and the Langmuir probe instrument. The high-rate processor contains a data compression chip for compression of waveform signals. The data compression processor contains a dedicated math processor and is used only for data processing tasks, such as data compression. This processor performs the onboard dust-detection and also the Fourier transforms for the low frequency receiver.

The essential elements of each of the three processors are an 80C85 microprocessor, two or more random access memory chips, and one or two read-only memory chips. The 80 C 85 runs with a $3-\mathrm{MHz}$ clock and is used in the expanded configuration which uses an address latch to give a full 16-bit address bus and a bi-directional buffer to expand the data bus. Each processor can communicate with the other two processors via the interprocessor communication bus. The 80 C 85 has a memory address bus width of 16 bits giving a total addressing capability of $2^{16}$ or 64 kilobytes $(\mathrm{kB})$. The memory in each of the processors consists of both a read-write memory and a read-only memory.

The low-rate and high-rate processors each have more than 64 kB of random access memory available. The low-rate processor contains 64 kB of read/write memory with 16 kB write-protected and 4 kB of read-only memory. The bus interface unit appears to the processor as an additional 16 kB of random access memory. The high-rate processor has 96 kB of random access memory. The excess memory is handled by switching memory chips under program and hardware control.

All communication with the spacecraft is over a redundant $1-\mathrm{MHz}$ bi-directional serial data bus conforming to MIL STD 1553. The interface is implemented via a bus interface unit.

### 3.12. Mass, Power, and Telemetry

The RPWS has a total mass of 37.68 kg and requires a power of 16.38 W in its fully operational science modes. This power value does not include a momentary $\sim 3 \mathrm{~W}$ increase when the bus interface unit is active. By project policy, this bus interface power is allocated to the command and data system and not to the science instrument. Mass and power breakdowns for the various elements of the instrument are provided in Table 3.12.1.

## TABLE 3.12.1

## Summary of RPWS Physical Characteristics

| Element | Mass $(\mathrm{kg})$ | Power (peak W) | Volume $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3}\right)$ | Location |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Main Electronics | 5.59 | 5.09 | $41.7 \times 17.8 \times 16.8$ | Main Bus, Bay 4 |
| Antenna Bracket <br> Assembly | 29.77 | 11.14 | $61 \times 67 \times 67$ | Upper shell structure of <br> Bay 4 |
| Magnetic Search <br> Coils | 1.05 | 0 | $30 \times 30 \times 30$ | 1-m boom attached to <br> high gain antenna support <br> structure |
| Magnetic Search <br> Coil Preamps | 0.28 | 0.1 | $12.6 \times 3.2 \times 8.8$ | Base of search coil boom |
| Langmuir Probe | 0.85 | 0 | $74.3 \times 10 \times 11.5$ | $0.8-\mathrm{m}$ boom on search <br> coil boom |
| Langmuir Probe <br> Preamp | 0.135 | 0.05 | $8.7 \times 9 \times 4.8$ | Base of search coil boom |
| Total | 37.68 | 16.38 |  |  |

Because of its many different receivers, operating modes, and because of the use of several different data compression schemes, the RPWS has a highly variable science telemetry rate. In all of the defined telemetry modes that include RPWS science telemetry, there are four data pickup rates, or rates at which the RPWS can send data to the command and data system.

These rates are $30.464,60.928,182.784$, and 365.568 kbps . However, because of limitations on the Cassini solid state recorder data volume as well as limits on the total data volume that can be telemetered to the ground in any given day, the RPWS can utilize such high data rates only occasionally and for relatively short periods of time. Therefore, a number of different observing modes have been defined that use minimal data rates for basic survey information and utilize the higher data rates for special observations, usually involving the wideband or five-channel waveform receivers. In the usual case where the actual science telemetry rate is less than the current telemetry mode's data pickup rates, RPWS outputs "zero-length" packets that are discarded by the command and data system to reduce the net data production rate.

The basic low-rate survey mode we have defined generates approximately 1 kbps before compression. Based on limited in-flight experience to date, the actual data rate in this mode after compression is about 700 bps . However, since most of the compression techniques used are data content dependent, it is not clear that this compressed data rate is representative of what will be encountered at Saturn. In addition to the continuous low-rate observations, the RPWS survey includes occasional wideband receiver samples (typically about one minute every couple hours) that are essential to the interpretation of the lower resolution data. On average, these high rate samples add approximately 600 bps to the RPWS survey rate. Typical observation modes that require higher data rates include the $75-\mathrm{kHz}$ wideband receiver observations which generate close to 360 kbps before compression, the maximum duty cycle mode of the five-channel waveform receiver in the $2.5-\mathrm{kHz}$ bandpass which generates approximately 130 kbps before compression, the low-duty cycle mode of the $10-\mathrm{kHz}$ wideband receiver measurements which generates approximately 30 kbps before compression, and the high-resolution temporal and/or spectral modes of the high frequency receiver which generate data rates up to about 4 kbps .

Because of the uncertainty in the compression factors and data volume allocations imposed due to the limited downlink and onboard storage, the RPWS has the ability to monitor its actual data volume and compare it to a model. In the case where the actual data production is exceeding the model, various steps can be taken to decrease the data rate. For example, the rate at which waveform samples are acquired by the five-channel waveform receiver can be slowed down. This approach is effective when no wideband data are being acquired. During wideband receiver operations, the effective data rate can be reduced by decreasing the duty cycle of the wideband data. For example, instead of acquiring a 2048-sample data set once every 125 ms , a data set could be acquired every 250 ms .

### 4.0 Cassini RPWS Design Aspects

To achieve the scientific objectives of the Cassini mission, the instrumentation must have certain design and performance characteristics. This section gives the rationale for the RPWS instrument design and describes the performance required to achieve the scientific objectives described in the previous section. The topics discussed include: (1) field sensors, (2) frequency ranges, (3) frequency and time resolutions, and (4) sensitivities and dynamic ranges.

### 4.1 Field Sensors

A basic question that arises in the design of all radio and plasma wave instruments is what types of antennas should be used, electric or magnetic, and in each case, how many field components should be detected. For measurements of radio waves at frequencies well above the local characteristic frequencies of the plasma (i.e., above the electron cyclotron frequency and the electron plasma frequency), the propagation is essentially unaffected by the local plasma. At these frequencies, it is not necessary to measure both the electric and magnetic fields, since they have a constant known ratio, $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{cB}$. In principle, it does not matter whether the electric field or the magnetic field is measured. However, for various reasons, both electrical and mechanical, it turns out that an electric antenna can operate at much higher frequencies and with a much greater sensitivity than a magnetic antenna of comparable size and weight [Gurnett, 1998]. Therefore, for high frequency radio measurements, such as for SKR and SEDs, an electric dipole antenna is preferred. For plasma waves, which occur at lower frequencies, near or below the electron cyclotron frequency and the electron plasma frequency, the situation is more complicated. Plasma waves can be either electrostatic, with no magnetic field, or electromagnetic, with both an electric field and a magnetic field. Although an electric antenna can detect both types of
waves, the only way to conclusively distinguish an electrostatic wave from an electromagnetic wave is to use both an electric antenna and a magnetic antenna. If a wave can be detected with both an electric antenna and a magnetic antenna, then it is an electromagnetic wave. If it can be detected with an electric antenna, but not with a magnetic antenna, then it is an electrostatic wave. A major shortcoming of the Voyager plasma wave instrument, which used only an electric antenna, was the inability to distinguish electrostatic waves from electromagnetic waves. Therefore, for plasma wave measurements (i.e., at frequencies below the electron cyclotron frequency and the electron plasma frequency) both electric and magnetic antennas should be used.

Next we consider the number of components to be measured. The Voyager electric field antenna consisted of two cylindrical elements mounted in a V configuration, see Scarf and Gurnett [1977] and Warwick et al. [1977]. For the plasma wave instrument the two elements were used as a dipole, and for the radio astronomy instrument they were used as two orthogonal monopoles. Although the V configuration provided a limited capability to perform polarization measurements, no capability existed for performing direction-finding measurements. Since the RPWS scientific objectives require accurate determinations of source positions, as well as polarization measurements, it is essential that the RPWS be able to perform both direction finding (i.e., wave normal, $\mathbf{k}$ ) and polarization measurements of high frequency radio signals (i.e., $f>f_{c e}$ and $f>f_{p e}$ ). Since at high frequencies the electric field is always perpendicular to the wave normal $(\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{k}=0)$, the wave normal direction can be determined by measuring the plane of rotation of the electric field (provided the wave is not linearly polarized). Therefore, the RPWS must include full three-axis electric field measurements. The easiest way to achieve this is to use three orthogonal electric monopoles. In the low frequency plasma wave part of the spectrum ( $\mathrm{f}<$
$f_{p e}$ or $f<f_{c e}$, it is also important to carry out wave normal measurements. Unfortunately, when the anisotropic effects of the plasma are considered, the wave normal of an electromagnetic wave cannot be determined from electric field measurements. From Poisson's equation, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}=\rho$, one can see that because the charge density, $\rho$, in a plasma is in general not zero, the electric field is no longer perpendicular to the wave normal, $\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{k} \neq \mathbf{0}$. Fortunately, Maxwell's equation $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}=\mathbf{0}$ always implies that $\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{k}=0$. Therefore, to make wave normal measurements in the low frequency plasma wave part of the spectral three-axis magnetic field measurements are required. In addition, to assure that electrostatic waves can be detected and to resolve the ambiguity in the direction of propagation (i.e., along $\mathbf{k}$ or $-\mathbf{k}$ ), electric field measurements are also required, although these do not necessarily have to be three-axis measurements. In addition to electric field measurements, it is also useful to have the capability to measure electron density fluctuations in the plasma wave frequency range. This capability is easily achieved by biasing the electric antenna element with a known fixed bias current (as though it were a Langmuir probe). With this bias condition, it can be shown that the voltage variations on the element are proportional to the fractional electron density variation, $\delta n_{e} / n_{e}$. For electrostatic waves simultaneous measurements of both the electric field and the electron density variation can provide information on the wavelength of the wave [Kelley and Mozer, 1972; Wahlund et al., 1998].

### 4.2. Frequency Ranges

To decide on the frequency ranges required for the electric and magnetic field measurements, we must consider the characteristic frequencies of the radio and plasma wave phenomena to be studied in the vicinity of Saturn. The frequency ranges and spectrums of all
radio and plasma wave phenomena known or predicted to occur in the vicinity of Saturn are summarized in Figures 4.2.1, 4.2.2 and 4.2.3. Figure 4.2.1 shows a model of the electron plasma frequency, $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{pe}}$, and electron cyclotron frequency, $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{ce}}$, as a function of radial distance near the equatorial plane on the dayside of Saturn; and Figures 4.2.2 and 4.2.3 show representative electric and magnetic field spectrums at a radial distance of $10 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{S}}$. First, we consider the upper limit of the frequency range. Two high frequency limits must be considered, one for the electric field and the other for the magnetic field. The high frequency limit for the electric field is determined by the highest radio emission frequency of interest, and the high frequency limit for the magnetic field is determined by the highest plasma wave frequency of interest. As can be seen in Figure 4.2.1, lightning from Saturn's atmosphere (SEDs) has the highest frequencies.
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From the Voyager observations it is known that the SED spectrum extends up to at least 40 MHz. Since the shape of the high frequency part of the SED spectrum is already known [Zarka and Pedersen, 1983], we do not plan to make electric field measurements as high as 40 MHz . Our primary objectives relative to SEDs are to monitor the long-term occurrence of lightning and to study variations in the low frequency cutoff imposed by Saturn's ionosphere. For these purposes it is only necessary to measure the SED spectrum to frequencies slightly greater than the maximum ionospheric cutoff frequency. Since the maximum plasma frequency of the dayside ionosphere is typically about 5 MHz , we have selected 16 MHz as the upper frequency limit for the electric field measurements. Since the ionospheric cutoff frequency varies as $f_{\text {cutoff }}=$ $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{pe}} / \cos \theta$, where $\theta$ is the angle of incidence, this upper frequency limit allows the detection of lightning over a large range of incidence angles, approximately $0 \leq \theta \leq 70^{\circ}$.

For the upper frequency limit of the magnetic field measurements we must consider the highest plasma wave frequency that is likely to be encountered in Saturn's magnetosphere. Asdiscussed earlier, magnetic field measurements have two main purposes: (1) to distinguish electrostatic waves from electromagnetic waves, and (2) to determine the wave normal direction of electromagnetic waves. During the baseline tour, the periapsis radial distance is expected to be in the range from about 4 to $6 \mathrm{R}_{S}$, and the apoapsis is in the range from about 20 to $130 \mathrm{R}_{S}$. As can be seen from Figure 4.2.1, the whistler mode, which is the highest frequency electromagnetic plasma wave mode that is likely to be of interest, is generally in the range from 3 to 10 kHz , with a maximum of about 12 kHz . Based on this magnetic field model we have selected 12 kHz as the upper limit for the magnetic field measurements. This allows magnetic field measurements with a simple tri-axial search coil magnetometer, which can be easily designed to respond to frequencies up to 12 kHz . In selecting this upper limit we realize that it
will not be possible to detect the magnetic field of certain plasma wave modes that occur at frequencies above 12 kHz . For example, during the Titan flybys UHR emissions are expected to extend up to frequencies as high as several hundred kHz , and during Saturn Orbit Insertion (SOI), which is at about $1.3 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{S}}$, electrostatic $(\mathrm{n}+1 / 2) \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}$ electron cyclotron waves could extend up to frequencies as high as several hundred kHz . However, in all of these cases we feel confident that it will be easy to identify these electrostatic modes. For example, at Titan the UHR emissions will be at frequencies of ten to several hundred kHz , well above the electron cyclotron frequency, which is the highest frequency for the whistler mode. The situation is more complicated near SOI, where whistler-mode emissions could occur at frequencies as high as 500 kHz . To provide magnetic field measurements at such high frequencies would require the use of a loop antenna [Gurnett, 1998]. Although such antennas have been flown in the past, they are large and very difficult to accommodate on the spacecraft, so a decision was made that high frequency magnetic field measurements were not justified to achieve this limited objective, given the additional resources that would be required.

Next, we consider the low frequency limit of the plasma wave spectrum. Since scientifically interesting plasma wave phenomena exist down to essentially zero frequency, the low frequency cutoff of the RPWS electric and magnetic field sensors is determined almost entirely by technical considerations. For example, conventional static field magnetometers tend to have better sensitivities than search coil magnetometers at frequencies below about 1 Hz . Since a tri-axial static field magnetometer (MAG) is included on Cassini [Southwood et al., this issue], there is no reason to extend the frequency range of the RPWS magnetic antenna below about 1 Hz . Therefore, we have adopted 1 Hz as the low frequency cutoff of the RPWS magnetic field measurements. For the electric field antennas the situation is more complicated.

The frequency response of the electronics for the electric field measurements could easily be extended below 1 Hz . However, because of sheath effects around the spacecraft body, such low frequency, quasi-static, electric field measurements require very long antennas, typically with lengths at least ten times the maximum dimension of the spacecraft body. Since such long antennas ( $\sim 100$ meters, tip-to-tip) could not be accommodated on Cassini due to spacecraft dynamics considerations, we have arbitrarily defined the low frequency cutoff of the RPWS electric field measurements to be the same as for the magnetic field measurements (i.e., 1 Hz ).

### 4.3. Frequency and Time Resolutions

It is well known that the frequency and temporal structure of Saturnian radio emissions and plasma waves vary over an extremely large range [Scarf et al.,1984; Zarka, 1998]. Some types of waves, such as continuum radiation and whistler-mode hiss, have smooth continuous spectrums that can be resolved with very modest frequency and time resolution. On the other hand, certain other types of waves, such as lightning-generated whistlers, whistler-mode chorus, and cyclotron maser radiation, have extremely complicated frequency-time structures. These structures often extend down to frequency and time resolutions on the order of $\Delta \mathrm{f} \Delta \mathrm{t} \sim 1$. Furthermore, in some cases it is necessary to stress high frequency resolution (i.e., small $\Delta \mathrm{f}$ ), such as in the analysis of plasma resonances, whereas in other cases it is necessary to stress hightime resolution (i.e., small $\Delta \mathrm{t}$ ), such as in the analysis of lightning and dust impacts. Resolving these conflicting demands is one of the main challenges that must be faced in designing a radio and plasma wave investigation.

What little is known about the fine structure of radio emissions and plasma waves at Saturn comes almost entirely from the Voyager measurements. The Voyager plasma wave
instrument had a 16-channel spectrum analyzer spanning the frequency range from 10 Hz to 56 kHz , and a wideband waveform receiver that covered the frequency range from 50 Hz to 10 kHz . The time resolution of the 16 -channel measurements was 4 seconds. During selected intervals, the wideband waveform provided high-resolution 48 -second "snapshots" of the electric field waveform with a sample rate of 28,800 samples $/ \mathrm{sec}$. However, the number of wideband frames that could be transmitted was severely limited by data rate considerations. The Voyager planetary radio astronomy instrument, which made measurements from 20 kHz to 40 MHz , had somewhat better frequency resolution ( $\Delta \mathrm{f} / \mathrm{f} \sim 1$ to 5 percent), but relatively poor time resolution ( $\sim 6$ seconds/sweep). Although the radio astronomy instrument also included a high-rate mode that allowed rapid (millisecond) sampling of a selected channel, again the amount of high-rate data collected was severely limited.

Since it is highly likely that radio emissions and plasma waves in Saturn's magnetosphere have fine-scale structures comparable to those observed in the Earth's magnetosphere, it is important that the RPWS instrument be designed with sufficient frequency and time resolution to resolve these structures. In particular, the RPWS should provide a substantial improvement relative to the Voyager radio and plasma wave instruments. Our basic approach to achieving this goal is to make measurements on two widely different frequency and time scales: nearly continuous low-rate spectral measurements with a frequency resolution on the order of a few percent and a time resolution on the order of a few seconds, and short-duration high-rate wideband waveform measurements with frequency and time resolutions approaching the limit, $\Delta \mathrm{f} \Delta \mathrm{t} \sim 1$. To provide flexibility, the frequency and time resolution of the low-rate measurements must be controlled by a reprogrammable microprocessor, so that they can be changed to accommodate unexpected results. The high-rate wideband waveform measurements
will allow us to produce high resolution frequency-time spectrograms. Since the entire waveform is transmitted to the ground, these measurements have the advantage that the frequency and time resolution of the spectral processing can be adjusted during the ground processing to provide the optimum resolution for the phenomena being investigated, the only limit being that $\Delta \mathrm{f} \Delta \mathrm{t} \gtrsim 1$. To provide high-resolution measurements of high frequency radio emissions, the waveform receiver must also have a frequency conversion mode of operation that can provide waveform measurements in selected frequency bands at high frequencies. This mode of operation will allow us to determine whether SKR has fine structure comparable to terrestrial AKR. Such high-resolution measurements of SKR will provide fundamental constraints on the mechanism by which these radio emissions are generated.

### 4.4. Sensitivities and Dynamic Ranges

The RPWS instrument must have sufficient sensitivity to detect the weakest signals of interest in the vicinity of Saturn, and still have adequate dynamic range to respond to the strongest signals without saturating. Figures 4.2 .2 and 4.2 .3 show the range of electric and magnetic field strengths that must be measured for various phenomena in the vicinity of Saturn. For some phenomena, such as the SKR and SED events, the intensities vary considerably. In these cases, the spectrums were selected from periods of relatively high intensity at a radial distance of $10 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{S}}$. As can be seen, the intensities vary over a wide range. For electric fields the spectral densities that must be measured range from a minimum of about $10^{-18} \mathrm{~V}^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~Hz}^{-1}$ at $10^{6}$ Hz , to a maximum of about $10^{-6} \mathrm{~V}^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~Hz}^{-1}$ at 1 Hz , a total range of 120 dB . For the magnetic field the spectral densities that must be measured range from a minimum of about $10^{-7} \mathrm{nT}^{2} \mathrm{~Hz}^{-1}$ at $10^{3} \mathrm{~Hz}$ to a maximum of about $10^{1} \mathrm{nT}^{2} \mathrm{~Hz}^{-1}$ at 1 Hz , a total range of 80 dB . Since it is very
difficult to achieve a total dynamic range of 120 dB , special techniques must be used to accommodate this very large range of signal strengths. For example, since the intensities tend to increase toward lower frequencies, the gain near the front end of the receiving system must be decreased at low frequencies in order to avoid saturation when strong low frequency signals are present. Also, since digital waveforms typically cannot accommodate such large dynamic ranges, automatic gain control systems must be used for all waveform measurements. These and a variety of other techniques must be used in order to assure that the instrument can perform reliable measurements over the large range of field strengths illustrated in Figures 4.2.2 and 4.2.3.

### 5.0 RPWS Calibration Methods

An extensive series of amplitude calibrations, frequency responses, phase calibrations, and instrument performance checks were carried out on the RPWS prior to launch, both before and after integration on the spacecraft. These tests and calibrations were performed at room temperature $\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right),-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. While there are calibration signals available in the instrument for in-flight calibration purposes, these are mainly used to check for drifts due to aging or radiation exposure. The primary calibration information to derive physical units (spectral density, etc.) is derived from the prelaunch tests.

### 5.1. Calibration Procedure

### 5.1.1. Electric Antennas

Each of the RPWS electric antennas is connected to an amplifier located in the high frequency receiver. In the long wavelength regime where the wavelength is much greater than the tip-to-tip length of the antenna, L , the potential between the two elements of an electric dipole is given by $\Delta \mathrm{V}=\mathrm{E} \mathrm{L}_{\text {eff }}$, where $\mathrm{L}_{\text {eff }}$ is a quantity called the effective length [Gurnett, 1998]. This relation ignores the presence of any electrical load. For a dipole consisting of collinear elements, to a very good approximation $L_{\text {eff }}$ is one-half the tip-to-tip length of the antenna. For a V configuration, $\mathrm{L}_{\text {eff }}$ is the distance between the geometric centers of the two elements. Taking into account the $120^{\circ}$ included angle between the two elements of the $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{x}}$ dipole and the finite distance between the roots of the two elements, the effective length of the Cassini $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{x}}$ dipole is $\mathrm{L}_{\text {eff }}=9.26 \mathrm{~m}$. Next we must consider the effect of an electrical load on the antenna. At frequencies above a few tens of Hz , where the antenna impedance is primarily capacitive, the ratio of the output voltage to the input voltage is given by a simple capacitive
divider,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{V_{\text {out }}}{E L_{\text {eff }}}=\frac{C_{A}}{C_{A}+C_{L}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the above equation $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}}$ are the antenna and load capacities, respectively. For a cylindrical antenna, to a good approximation, the antenna capacity is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}=\frac{2 \pi \varepsilon_{0}(\mathrm{~L} / 2)}{[\ln (\mathrm{L} / 2 \mathrm{a})-1]} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{L} / 2$ is the length of the element, a is the radius of the element and $\varepsilon_{0}$ is the permittivity of free space. For $\mathrm{L} / 2=10 \mathrm{~m}$ and $\mathrm{a}=0.014 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}=100.2 \mathrm{pF}$.

The load capacity can be considered to be the sum of two parts: (1) the base capacity, which consists of the internal capacities of all circuits and mechanical structures connected to the base of the antenna; and (2) the capacity between the antenna and the spacecraft structure. Since the electric antenna cannot be fully extended in-flight configuration while the spacecraft is on the ground, there is no way to directly measure the capacitance between the antenna and the spacecraft structure. Thus, the total base capacitances listed in Table 5-1 only give lower limits to the load capacities. Another, and perhaps better way to estimate the load capacities is to determine the half-wavelength resonance frequency of the antenna. This resonance frequency is shifted downward by a small amount due to the load capacity. During the instrument checkout in December 1998 and January 1999, a sharp peak was observed in the $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{x}}$ dipole noise level at 8.5 MHz (see the discussion of noise levels in Section 14.1). This peak is due to the half-wave resonance. An antenna modeling program was then used with an accurate representation of the spacecraft geometry to determine the load capacitance required to place the resonant frequency
at 8.5 MHz . The resulting value was $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}}=150 \mathrm{pF}$. This is our best current estimate of the load capacity for the $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{x}}$ antenna. Using the capacitive divider relation above with $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}=100.2 \mathrm{pF}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}}=150 \mathrm{pF}$ gives a loading loss of 7.9 dB for the $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{x}}$ antenna. Chapter 6 discusses the calibration of the electric antenna in more detail.

Due to the complex shape of the Cassini spacecraft that acts as the ground plane for these antennas, the electrical axes of the antennas are expected to be rotated significantly from their physical orientations [Rucker et al., 1996]. Radio signals from Jupiter were used as a calibration source during the Cassini flyby of Jupiter in late 2000 and early 2001 to determine the electrical axes of the antennas. The orientations of the antenna axes can be determined by inverting the direction-finding software, using the direction to Jupiter as the source direction. Preliminary results from this calibration are given by Vogl et al. [2001]. Additional antenna calibrations are scheduled on approach to Saturn and while in orbit after the Huygens probe is released.

Electric field calibrations of the receivers were performed by applying signals with known amplitudes at the preamplifier inputs and relating the input signal strength with the resulting telemetry value. As described below, the telemetry values from the various receivers are related to the input signal strength either via a set of look-up tables or through an analytical function that fits ground calibration data.

### 5.1.2. Magnetic Antennas

The sensitivity, frequency response, phase response, and noise levels of the magnetic search coil antennas were calibrated at a low-noise magnetic field observatory near Chambon La Foret, France. The calibrations were performed using a Helmholtz coil driven by a known AC current source to produce a magnetic field with a known magnitude and phase. The thermal
blankets were installed in order to account for any conductivity effects that the blanket may have on the sensor response. The resulting data relate input field strength to the voltage at the magnetic preamplifier output. Additional calibrations were then used to relate the voltage input to the various receivers to an output telemetry value. Combining these two steps provides an overall end-to-end calibration of the magnetic field measurements. This calibration was verified through a series of tests performed with the search coil antennas connected to the RPWS instrument after integration on the spacecraft. An end-to-end check of the phase response was also carried out after integration on the spacecraft. Noise levels were measured on the spacecraft by placing the search coils in a $\mu$-metal chamber, which shields the sensors from external noise sources. See Chapter 7 for a more detailed discussion of the calibration of the magnetic search coils.

### 5.1.3. High Frequency Receiver

The calibration of the high frequency receiver was performed at Meudon, France. Sine wave and white noise sources with known spectral properties were applied to the input of the electric antenna amplifiers and the corresponding telemetry values were recorded. The calibration data were then fit to analytical models for each of the receiver bands. From these models, the telemetry values can be converted to physical units. A series of tests was then performed after integration of the instrument on the spacecraft, to verify the high frequency receiver calibrations. See Chapter 11 for a more detailed discussion of the calibration of the HFR.

### 5.1.4. Medium Frequency Receiver

The medium frequency receiver uses compressors with a piecewise-linear approximation to a logarithmic amplitude response. Over the range of amplitudes, the response of each compressor consists of a series of five distinct linear segments that deviate slightly from a true logarithmic response. Because the compressors for each of the three bands have different amplitude sensitivity characteristics, the amplitude response of each compressor must be measured separately. This was accomplished by applying a signal to the input of the electric antenna preamplifier at the center frequency of the filter channel for each Band [Band 1 (channel 8), Band 2 (channel 16) and Band 3 (channel 10)]. The amplitude was stepped in 2 dB increments to cover the complete amplitude range of the receiver.

Since all the frequency channels of a given medium frequency receiver band utilize the same logarithmic compressors, it is only necessary to measure the amplitude response at one frequency channel for each band. The amplitude responses for the remaining channels can be obtained by calibrating the gain through the entire system as a function of frequency at a fixed amplitude. This calibration is called a channel-to-channel gain test. By combining the amplitude response with the channel-to-channel gain calibration, a complete set of calibrated look-up tables can be produced that convert the output telemetry values to signal strengths at the preamplifier inputs.

The frequency response of the three filters used in the medium frequency receiver was also determined by sweeping a sine wave signal over frequency while monitoring the output of a fixed channel. See Chapter 10 for a more detailed discussion of the calibration of the MFR.

### 5.1.5. Low Frequency Receiver

The low frequency receiver employs onboard fast Fourier transform processing of one or two channels of the five-channel waveform receiver to produce spectrums over a frequency range from 1 Hz to 26 Hz . The calibration of the low frequency receiver involved both amplitude and frequency calibrations. The amplitude calibration is similar to the medium frequency receiver amplitude calibration. A signal was applied to the input of the electric antenna amplifier at the center frequency of every fourth Fourier frequency component. The amplitude was then stepped in 2 dB increments to cover the complete amplitude range of the receiver.

The sensitivity of the low frequency receiver varies from channel to channel across the band. These frequency variations affect the calibration. A channel-channel gain test, similar to that performed on the medium frequency receiver, was conducted for each of the gain states of the low frequency receiver. This test was performed by applying an input signal of fixed amplitude to the input of the electric preamplifiers, and sweeping the signal from the lowest frequency channel of the low frequency receiver to the highest frequency channel. A combination of the amplitude response and the channel-channel gain measurements is then used to complete the calibration of the low frequency receiver for each gain state. See Chapter 9 for a detailed discussion of the calibration of the LFDR.

### 5.1.6. Wideband and Five-Channel Waveform Receivers

The response of the wideband and five-channel waveform receivers was determined by applying signals of known frequency and amplitude to the electric preamplifiers, and determining the gain factors required to convert the telemetry values into physical units. These
gain factors provide calibrations for both the time-series waveform and the spectrum produced by a Fourier transform of the waveform. The amplitude response of the wideband and fivechannel waveform receivers was determined for each gain state, and for every filter mode. The frequency and phase response of the wideband receiver and the five-channel waveform receiver was determined by applying input signals of fixed amplitude to the input of the individual receivers, and sweeping the signal across the frequency band of the receiver. End-to-end calibration checks were performed by repeating the frequency response test and by applying an input signal of white noise with known spectral properties to the input of the electric and magnetic preamplifiers. See Chapter 12 for a discussion of the WBR calibration, and Chapter 13 for a discussion of the WFR calibration.

### 6.0 Electric Antennas

The RPWS Electric antennas extend radially from the RPWS Antenna Bracket located about 1.1 m below the magnetometer boom.


Top View

## Figure 6.0 Cassini Spacecraft

The RPWS uses three 10m long antenna elements. The element mounting configuration is shown in Fig. 6.1. The two upper elements are mounted with an angle of 120 degrees between them and form the X antenna. The centerlines of the two X axis elements are parallel but separated by 13.66 cm . The third antenna is mounted at a $90^{\circ}$ angle to the plane of the X axis antennas $37^{\circ}$ from the spacecraft +Z axis. The mechanisms were aligned at assembly and shims determined for each mechanism to provide the required $1^{\circ}\left(+/-.5^{\circ}\right.$ from centerline ) alignment accuracy.

Physically the antennas are constructed of 2.86 cm (1.125") diameter tubes of .098 mm (.004") beryllium copper alloy. The elements have a thermal finish of bright polished silver plate externally and black thermal paint internally. The surface is perforated with small holes allowing solar radiation from about $12 \%$ of the sunlit element area to shine through and warm the shaded side of the element. This lowers the thermal differential across the element to decrease mechanical oscillations driven from thermal input. At the base of each antenna element spring loaded guide rollers contact the element as it enters the deployment mechanism. These rollers supply a spring constant for small movements of the antenna and should decrease the mechanical deadband of the antenna to zero for the purposes of the attitude control system.


Figure 6.1 RPWS Antenna Bracket
The elements are formed from two interlocking strips of metal. At the tabs which occur at 1 inch intervals, there is no perforation. The area taken up by these non-perforated sections amounts to approximately 1 square cm per tab, or 2 sq cm per inch of length. The total exposed conductor area per element is 7993 sq cm plus the area of the tip mass at 17 sq cm for a total of 8010 sq cm .

The Z axis antenna angle and position takes it past one of the RTG power sources and sun shades. The RTG and a section of antenna were mocked up in the lab and the element to was measured. This test indicates there is approximately 3 pF of capacitance between the RTG and the antenna. The power bus to RTG capacitance was measured on an inert RTG and a flight RTG by placing known impedances in series with a signal generator and noting the amplitude division and phase shift. This test shows that there is on the order of $17,000 \mathrm{pF}$ between the RTG case and the main power bus. As a result, significant coupling of power bus noise to the Z antenna exists. To decrease the noise levels, the spacecraft has relays which will allow the RTG cases to be shorted to ground.

The exit snout of the antenna mechanisms is painted with Z307 black conductive paint, with a .1 inch insulating gap between the paint and the element. Thermal blankets cover up to the outer rim of the exit snout leaving a total area of 28.94 sq cm of paint exposed to the plasma. Figure 6.2 details the paint pattern of the exit snout. The conductivity of the black paint is $100-100,000$ ohms/sq in.

Figure 6.2 Conductive Paint


### 6.1 Physical Properties

The antenna elements have the following physical properties:

| Mass/unit length | $91.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Natural frequency | .18 Hz | (Includes effect of tip mass) |
| Damping | $.37 \%$ |  |
| Tip mass | 34 g |  |

### 6.2 Base Capacitance (in pF)

A. Measured

Mechanism
005 (+Z)
006 (-X)
007 (+X)
$50.7 \pm .2$
$50.8 \pm .2$
$50.4 \pm .3$

Coax Capacitance

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
37.4 \pm .4 & 34.3 \pm .4 & 34.7 \pm .3
\end{array}
$$

HFR Input Capacitance

| Ez | Ex- | Ex+ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 17.6 | 28.0 | 33.8 |

Total
$105.7 \pm .6$
$113.1 \pm .6$
$118.9 \pm .6$

DC Isolation Element to Case >500Megohms

## B. Calculated

The measured values take into account only the internal antenna capacitances. Capacitance from the antenna element to the S/C structure is ignored. To estimate the total antenna base capacitance, the effect of the base capacitance on the resonant frequency of the antenna is observed. The antenna resonance frequency as indicated by the peak of the galactic background radiation is observed to be at 8.5 MHz . Using an antenna modeling program with an accurate representation of the geometry, it is found that a value of 150 pF is required to resonate the antennas at 8.5 MHz .

### 6.3 Effective length

Geometrically, assuming perfectly straight elements, the center to center distance of the X axis booms is 9.26 m . Because the +X element has a 13.66 cm offset in the +Y direction, the geometric center to center line would form an angle of $.85^{\circ}$ between the antenna axis and the X axis in the $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Y}$ plane.

Given that the antenna capacitance per element is given by:

$$
C_{A n t}=2 \pi \varepsilon_{o} l /(\ln (l / a)-1)
$$

where $\epsilon \mathrm{o}$ is given by $8.85 \mathrm{E}-12, \mathrm{l}=10 \mathrm{~m}$, and $\mathrm{a}=.0143 \mathrm{~m}(.5625 \mathrm{in}$.$) , then:$

$$
C_{A n t}=100.2 \mathrm{pF}
$$

There will be a loss expected of 8 db from the capacitive voltage division due to the base capacitance calculated at 150 pF .

### 7.0 Magnetic Search Coil (MSC)

The Cassini RPWS magnetic sensor (MSC) consists of three search coil magnetometers mounted in a triaxial fixture. The search coil array is mounted on a boom $\sim 1$ meter from the spacecraft, and is oriented such that the measurement axes of the search coils are aligned with the principle axes of the spacecraft (Bx aligned with the spacecraft x axis, By aligned with the spacecraft $y$ axis, and Bz aligned with the spacecraft z axis). The location and orientation of the search coil magnetometers are shown in Figure 3.1.2. Each sensor axis consists of a mu-metal core 27 cm long wound with 10,000 turns of fine wire, each search coil magnetometer responds to $\mathrm{dB} / \mathrm{dt}$ over a frequency range of $\sim 1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ to $\sim 12.6 \mathrm{kHz}$. The search coils were built at the Centre d'Etudes des Environnements Terrestre et Planétaires.

### 7.1 Amplitude Calibration

The stand-alone calibrations of the RPWS search coil magnetometers were performed A. Meyer at the Centre d'Etudes des Environnements Terrestre et Planétaires using a drive coil in a mu-metal box and verified using a transmitting loop at a low noise location. These calibrations are summarized in the reference in Section 5.1.2, and are reproduced here as Tables 7.1.1, 7.1.2, and 7.1.3, and Figures 7.1.1, 7.1.2, and 7.1.3. Figures and Tables 7.1.1, 7.1.2, and 7.1.3 show the transfer function response for the $\mathrm{Bx}, \mathrm{By}$, and Bz search coils.

The End-to-End calibrations for each receiver is given in the individual receiver sections. These End-to-End calibrations were determined by combining the search coil calibration and the receiver calibrations, and verified by a series of tests with the search coils attached to the spacecraft, but in the mu-metal box.

Figure 7.1.1 Bx Search Coil Transfer Function


Table 7.1.1
Bx SEARCH COIL

| Frequency (Hz) | Output <br> $(\mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{nT})$ | Output <br> $(\mathrm{dBv} / \mathrm{nT})$ | Output <br> $\left(\right.$ Phase $\left.^{\circ}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.1 | 0.21 | -73.75 | 153.6 |
| 0.2 | 0.66 | -63.63 | 135.6 |
| 0.5 | 2.20 | -53.16 | 111.7 |
| 0.7 | 3.22 | -49.83 | 105.2 |
| 1 | 4.66 | -46.64 | 100.1 |
| 2 | 9.43 | -40.51 | 92.5 |
| 5 | 23.85 | -32.45 | 83.7 |
| 7 | 32.81 | -29.68 | 79.7 |
| 10 | 46.13 | -26.72 | 74.2 |
| 20 | 82.51 | -21.67 | 59.0 |
| 50 | 132.43 | -17.56 | 32.4 |
| 70 | 142.23 | -16.94 | 23.7 |
| 100 | 148.08 | -16.59 | 16.1 |
| 200 | 150.66 | -16.44 | 6.7 |
| 500 | 149.28 | -16.52 | 0.2 |
| 700 | 148.42 | -16.57 | -1.6 |
| 1000 | 147.40 | -16.63 | -3.4 |
| 2000 | 146.05 | -16.71 | -8.0 |
| 5000 | 142.56 | -16.92 | -20.2 |
| 7000 | 139.96 | -17.08 | -27.9 |
| 10000 | 133.81 | -17.47 | -40.5 |
| 20000 | 77.45 | -22.22 | -93.2 |

Figure 7.1.2 By Search Coil Transfer Function


Table 7.1.2By
SEARCH COIL

| Frequency (Hz) | Output <br> $(\mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{nT})$ | Output <br> $(\mathrm{dBv} / \mathrm{nT})$ | Output <br> $\left(\right.$ Phase $\left.^{\circ}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.1 | 0.21 | -73.66 | 153.4 |
| 0.2 | 0.65 | -63.68 | 135.2 |
| 0.5 | 2.18 | -53.25 | 111.4 |
| 0.7 | 3.19 | -49.93 | 105.0 |
| 1 | 4.60 | -46.75 | 99.9 |
| 2 | 9.31 | -40.62 | 92.5 |
| 5 | 23.55 | -32.56 | 83.7 |
| 7 | 32.40 | -29.79 | 79.7 |
| 10 | 45.60 | -26.82 | 74.2 |
| 20 | 81.56 | -21.77 | 59.2 |
| 50 | 131.37 | -17.63 | 32.4 |
| 70 | 141.25 | -17.00 | 23.8 |
| 100 | 147.06 | -16.65 | 16.2 |
| 200 | 149.80 | -16.49 | 6.8 |
| 500 | 148.42 | -16.57 | 0.1 |
| 700 | 147.74 | -16.61 | -1.7 |
| 1000 | 146.72 | -16.67 | -3.6 |
| 2000 | 144.88 | -16.78 | -8.4 |
| 5000 | 140.93 | -17.02 | -21.2 |
| 7000 | 138.04 | -17.20 | -29.4 |
| 10000 | 131.07 | -17.65 | -42.8 |
| 20000 | 83.37 | -21.58 | -91.9 |

Figure 7.1.3 Bz Search Coil Transfer Function


Table 7.1.3
Bz SEARCH COIL

| Frequency (Hz) | Output <br> $(\mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{nT})$ | Output <br> $(\mathrm{dBv} / \mathrm{nT})$ | Output <br> $\left(\right.$ Phase $\left.^{\circ}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.1 | 0.21 | -73.67 | 153.8 |
| 0.2 | 0.65 | -63.71 | 135.4 |
| 0.5 | 2.18 | -53.23 | 111.7 |
| 0.7 | 3.20 | -49.89 | 105.3 |
| 1 | 4.62 | -46.71 | 100.1 |
| 2 | 9.36 | -40.57 | 92.5 |
| 5 | 23.66 | -32.52 | 83.6 |
| 7 | 32.55 | -29.75 | 79.7 |
| 10 | 45.81 | -26.78 | 74.2 |
| 20 | 81.85 | -21.74 | 59.0 |
| 50 | 131.22 | -17.64 | 32.3 |
| 70 | 141.25 | -17.00 | 23.7 |
| 100 | 147.06 | -16.65 | 16.1 |
| 200 | 149.62 | -16.50 | 6.6 |
| 500 | 148.08 | -16.59 | 0.1 |
| 700 | 147.23 | -16.64 | -1.7 |
| 1000 | 146.55 | -16.68 | -3.6 |
| 2000 | 144.88 | -16.78 | -8.5 |
| 5000 | 140.77 | -17.03 | -21.7 |
| 7000 | 137.40 | -17.24 | -30.2 |
| 10000 | 127.79 | -17.87 | -44.8 |
| 20000 | 76.82 | -22.29 | -94.3 |

### 7.2 Phase Calibration

The stand-alone phase calibrations of the RPWS search coil magnetometers were performed A. Meyer at the Centre d'Etudes des Environnements Terrestre et Planétaires using a drive coil in a mu-metal box and verified using a transmitting loop at a low noise location. These calibrations are reproduced here as Figures 7.2.1, 7.2.2, and 7.2.3, and show the phase response for the $\mathrm{Bx}, \mathrm{By}$, and Bz search coils with respect to frequency.

Figure 7.2.1 Bx Search Coil Phase


Figure 7.2.1 By Search Coil Phase


Figure 7.2.1 Bz Search Coil Phase


### 7.3 Magnetic Search Coil Noise Levels

Table 7.3.1 show the measured noise levels for the search coil and preamplifier during bench testing. The in-flight noise level will be discussed in the individual receiver sections.

Table 7.3.1 SEARCH COIL BENCH NOISE LEVELS

| Frequency (Hz) | Bx Sensitivity <br> $\mathrm{nT} / \mathrm{Hz}^{1 / 2}$ | By Sensitivity <br> $\mathrm{nT} / \mathrm{Hz}^{1 / 2}$ | Bz Sensitivity <br> $\mathrm{nT} / \mathrm{Hz}^{1 / 2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.1 | $2.67 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.98 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.16 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 0.2 | $5.95 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $5.20 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $8.16 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 0.5 | $1.23 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.02 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.39 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 0.7 | $6.83 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $5.94 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $7.32 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 1 | $3.98 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $3.69 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $4.24 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 2 | $1.62 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.82 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.74 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 5 | $6.39 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $6.62 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $6.47 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 7 | $4.65 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.84 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.41 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 10 | $3.30 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.44 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.18 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 20 | $1.47 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.55 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.53 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 50 | $7.01 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $6.63 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $6.87 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 70 | $4.59 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $4.99 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $4.94 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 100 | $3.69 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.82 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.61 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 200 | $1.95 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.21 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.31 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 500 | $1.61 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.64 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.76 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 700 | $1.62 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.60 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.69 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 1000 | $1.63 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.77 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.69 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 2000 | $1.66 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.71 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.71 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 5000 | $1.87 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.87 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.10 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 7000 | $2.21 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.16 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.40 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 10000 | $2.82 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.98 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.44 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 20000 | $8.63 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $8.18 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $8.93 \mathrm{E}-05$ |

### 8.0 Langmuir Probe

### 8.1 Introduction

The RPWS Langmuir Probe (LP) instrument for Cassini consists of one spherical probe on a stiff boom, a boom root preamplifier, interface circuitry for the cylindrical plus and minus antennas, and an electronics board located in the RPWS electronics box. The LP can be used to estimate a number of fundamental plasma parameters, among which the electron density is usually the most requested parameter. The LP instrument is designed to measure the $d c$ and $a c$ variations of the electrical current to a dedicated spherical probe, but also in a limited time sharing mode make use off the minus and plus RPWS cylindrical antenna for additional interferometer measurements. From these measured currents it is possible to derive the following physical parameters.

> Electron density $\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)$
> Electron temperature $\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)$
> Density variations up to $10 \mathrm{kHz}(\delta \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{n})$
> Effective ion temperature over mean ion mass $\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$
> UV intensity (photoelectron flux) mostly from $\mathrm{Ly}-\alpha$
> Spacecraft potential $\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{sc}}\right)$
> Dust impacts on spacerraft

The accuracies of these parameters have been estimated to about $10 \%$ for the electron density and about $20 \%$ for the other parameters from the in-flight commissioning as well as during the inter-instrument calibrations made during the Earth flyby in 1998. The instrument will be operative during a significant part of the Saturn tour in a wide range of physical environments. The accuracy of each parameter under various conditions may therefore vary somewhat. Measurements are expected to be possible down to as low plasma densities as $1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$, depending somewhat on solar activity conditions.

A bias voltage sweep of up to $\pm 32 \mathrm{~V}$ referred to the satellite ground can be made on the dedicated spherical probe to obtain most of the physical parameters defined above. In ac mode the bias voltage will be set manually or automatically to a level determined by the local plasma parameters obtained by a sweep or pre-estimated from mission parameters. The cylindrical antenna bias is limited to $\pm 12 \mathrm{~V}$ in order to fulfill the satellite potential drift requirement.

The scientific capabilities of the instrument proposal and the design parameters are given in Table 1. The comparison show that the instrument performs as original proposed in most ways. The design drivers for the instrument have been the capability to cover up to five decades of electron and ion density ranges, and to provide $a c$ density variations from all probes to the RPWS wave analyzers WBR and WFR.

Table 1. LP scientific instrument performance (proposal vs. calibration results)

| Instrument proposal |  |  | Calibration results |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Quantity | Measurement range. | Frequency <br> range | Measurement range | Frequency range |
| Sphere DC | $\pm 5 \mathrm{dec}(1 \mathrm{nA}-$ <br> $100 \mathrm{uA})$ | $0-10 \mathrm{~Hz}$ | $\pm 6 \mathrm{dec}( \pm 6.5 \mathrm{at} \mathrm{20C)}$ | $0-6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ |
| Sphere AC | $50-0.1 \% \mathrm{mod}$ | $0-10 \mathrm{kHz}$ | $100-0.05 \% \mathrm{~min}$ | $0-6 \mathrm{kHz}$ |
| Sphere Sweep | $\pm 50 \mathrm{~V}$ | 1 kHz | $\pm 32 \mathrm{~V}$ | 1 kHz |
| $\pm 5$ Cylp DC | $\pm 5 \mathrm{dec}(1 \mathrm{nA}-$ <br> $100 \mathrm{uA})$ | 2.5 kHz at 1 uA | $\pm 5 \mathrm{dec}(1 \mathrm{nA}-$ <br> $100 \mathrm{uA})$ | 2.7 kHz at 1 uA |
| Cylp Bias | $\pm 10 \mathrm{~V}$ | --- | $\pm 12 \mathrm{~V}$ | --- |

From calibration point of view the instrument consists of several modules with unique transfer functions. The contact surface between plasma and sensor, the preamplifier or cylinder probe electronics interface, the analogue signal processing, the buffers for $a c$ signals distribution to RPWS wave analyzers, multiplexes, bias circuitry, filter and the ADC , and the controller unit are all modules which will be addressed in this document. For detailed information on the interconnections between modules see the block diagram in Figure 1.

## Cassini RPWS Langmuir Probe (LP) Block Diagram



Figure 1. Langmuir Probe block diagram.
Extensive calibration has been carried out on the LP assembly as well as the LP integrated into the complete RPWS. The main reference calibration was performed on the stand-alone LP assembly in Uppsala before delivery to RPWS. On satellite level only a few tests have been carried out to verify correct operation of the instrument. Details are shown in the block diagram of main input (encircled) and output (in a box) quantities used to establish proper transfer functions.

The Calibration fulfill the following purposes:

- To verify instrument performance in relation to scientific requirements.
- To obtain the transfer functions for the instrument, and adequate information for conversion of TM to physical units.
- To determine drift of parameters due to temperature changes.


### 8.2 Dedicated spherical probe mechanical drawings



CASSINI
LAP Probe and Boom assembly.


Figure 2. Dedicated Langmuir Probe assembly mechanical configuration before and after deployment.


Figure 3. Dedicated Langmuir Probe mechanical labeling.

### 8.2.1 Spherical probe

The spherical probe sensor is situated on top of a stiff boom 1.2 m from the spacecraft body when deployed. For calibration purposes a cable and probe simulator was used to replace the boom assembly.


The probe is made of Titanium and the overall thickness of the individual pieces is about 2 mm . The two halfs are screwed onto the central dise making a 50 mm sphere.

Figure 4. Dedicated Langmuir Probe sensor.

### 8.2.2 Spherical probe low frequency calibration.

In Figure 5 a generic test set-up for $d c$ calibrations is shown. The Keithley 220 current generator feed current to the preamplifier via a boom cable. The resulting voltage on the input of the ADC and the digital ADC output is collected by the controller and stored in the calibration file. The controller also operates the current generator to form a closed loop calibration sequence. Heavy filtering of the 220 mains supply is needed to ensure a low noise environment required for low current measurements.


Figure 5. The $d c$ test set-up.

### 8.3.1.2 Converting telemetry values to physical units.

The proper physical units for the spherical probe measurements will be obtained using a physical parameters model applied to the in-flight measured probe current vs. bias (not given here). The $d c$ probe current to TM unit conversion is given by calibration curves in 8.3.1.3.

### 8.3.1.3 Spherical probe $d c$ calibration.

The calibration output data are stored in files with a unique file name describing the calibration type and at which temperature it was made.

Table 2. File name identification:

| Character position | Description |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Spherical probe |  |
| 2 | $\mathrm{P}=$ positive input current | $\mathrm{N}=$ negative input current |
| 3 | Current |  |
| 4,5 | LG $=$ low preamplifier gain | $\mathrm{HG}=$ high preamplifier gain |
| 6,7 | LF $=6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ filter | $\mathrm{HF}=$ No filter |
| $8-12$ | Temperature in deg. Celsius. |  |

Table 3. Data file format:

| Column | Description |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Input current to probe | (Amp) |
| 2 | Digitized data from LP 12bit ADC | $(0-4095-5$ to 5 V) |
| 3 | Input voltage to ADC | (Voltage -5 to 5 V) |

Spherical probe $d c$ current calibration plots:


Figure 6. The $d c$ transfer function from Sph to ADC dig. (See block diagram) Preamplifier at high gain, pos. and neg. input current, 6 Hz filter, and 22C.


Figure 7. The $d c$ transfer function from Sph to ADC dig. (See block diagram) Preamplifier at high gain, pos. and neg. input current, without filter, and 22C.


Figure 8. The $d c$ transfer function from Sph to ADC dig. (See block diagram) Preamplifier at low gain, pos. and neg. input current, 6 Hz filter, and 22C.


Figure 9. The $d c$ transfer function from Sph to ADC dig. (See block diagram) Preamplifier at low gain, pos. and neg. input current, without filter, and 22C.

Table 4. List of files for the $d c$ spherical probe calibration:

| Temperature | Fig No. | Positive Current | Negative Current |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 C | 1 | SPCHGLF_22.CAS | SNCHGLF_22.CAS |
|  | 2 | SPCHGHF_22.CAS | SNCHGHF_22.CAS |
|  | 3 | SPCLGLF_22.CAS | SNCLGLF_22.CAS |
|  | 4 | SPCLGHF_22.CAS | SNCLGHF_22.CAS |
|  |  | SPCHGLF_-20.CAS | SNCHGLF_-20.CAS |
|  |  | SPCHGHF_-20.CAS | SNCHGHF_-20.CAS |
|  |  | SPCLGLF_-20.CAS | SNCLGLF_-20.CAS |
|  |  | SPCLGHF_-20.CAS | SNCLGHF_-20.CAS |
| ADC <br> ADC <br> Anput <br> voltage only |  | SPCHGLF_0.CAS | SNCHGLF_0.CAS |
|  |  | SPCHGHF_0.CAS | SNCHGHF_0.CAS |
|  |  | SPCLGLF_0.CAS | SNCLGLF_0.CAS |
|  |  | SPCLGHF_0.CAS | SNCLGHF_0.CAS |
|  |  | SPCHGLF_40.CAS | SNCHGLF_-20.CAS |

### 8.3.1.4 ADC filter characteristic.



Figure 10. ADC input aliasing filter response.

### 8.2.3 Spherical probe high frequency calibration



Figure 11. The $a c$ test set-up.
Figure 11 show the test set-up used for the $a c$ calibration. The transfer function from Sph to Sps $a c$ is determined in this calibration (see block diagram).

Adding a constant current from the current generator to the $a c$ current from the Network Analyzer generates an $a c$ modulated current on the input of the probe. It should be noted that the input impedance for the preamplifier is in the order of a few Ohm at frequencies up to 10 kHz . That is why the voltage output from the Network Analyzer is converted, via the resistor, to a defined the $a c$ current at the input of the preamplifier. Half the $a c$ p-p current divided by the $d c$ current define the modulation $(\mathrm{m})$, which is used as the main input parameter for this calibration. The modulation is defined as:

$$
\mathrm{m}=\left(\mathrm{I}_{a c, p-\mathrm{p}} / 2\right) / \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{dc}}
$$

### 8.2.3.1 Converting telemetry values to physical units

The conversion from modulation $(\mathrm{m})$ to mean output voltage $\left(\mathrm{V}_{r m s}\right)$ is covered by this calibration. The estimate of signal power from modulation values requires a scientific model, which is not included here.

### 8.2.3.2 HF calibration tests / results for the WFR and WBR LP output.

Output voltage rms. vs. input m and the noise floor for a constant $d c$ current is given by the MX plots. Those quantities can also be extracted from the frequency response calibration (S) plots and the $d c$ Diff calibration. As the logarithmic factor varies with the input $d c$ current the Diff calibration must be used if accuracy better than $\pm 1.5 \mathrm{~dB}$ is required.

In the Modulation calibration (MX plots) the frequency is 660 Hz and m is varied from $50 \%$ to $0.05 \%$ in seven steps. The resulting instrument response is stored on paper plots (see figure 12).


Figure 12. M1 modulation plot. $\mathrm{I}_{d c}=+10 \mathrm{nAmp}$
The output voltage can be obtained from the following equation

$$
\log \left(V_{\text {out }}\right)=k+\log (m)
$$

where $\mathrm{k}=-0.625$ for an average of M1 to M15 levels.

Table 5. List of M plots:

| Plot No. | File name | Input current | Preamp. Gain | Noise floor $(<\mathrm{m})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | m01.tif | +10 nA | high | $0.01 \%$ |
| 2 | m01.tif | +1 nA | high | $0.1 \%$ |
| 3 | m02.tif | -1 nA | high | $0.1 \%$ |
| 4 | m03.tif | -10 nA | high | $0.01 \%$ |
| 5 | m03.tif | +100 nA | high | $0.005 \%$ |
| 6 | m04.tif | +100 nA | low | $0.005 \%$ |
| 7 | m04.tif | +10 nA | low | $0.01 \%$ |
| 8 | m05.tif | +1 uA | low | $0.001 \%$ |

The preamplifier is the dominating pole in the path from probe to LP output to WFR and WBR, and the frequency response is given by its gain. The 3 dB point is 6 kHz for high gain and 8 kHz for low gain and independent on input current. Figure 13 show the frequency response for high gain and Figure 14 show the response for low gain.


Figure 13. Frequency response for the Spherical probe output to the RPWS wave receivers. High gain, $\mathrm{m}=10 \%$ and $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{DC}}=100 \mathrm{nA}$.


Figure 14. Frequency response for the spherical probe output to the RPWS wave receivers. Low gain, $\mathrm{m}=10 \%$ and $\mathrm{I}_{d c}=10 \mathrm{nA}$.

Table 6. List of S plots:

| Plot No. | File name | $\mathrm{m} \%$ | Input DC current | Preamp. gain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | S1_2.tif | 10 | 1 uA | High |
| 2 | S1_2.tif | 10 | 100 nA | High |
| 3 | S3_4.tif | 10 | 10 nA | High |
| 4 | S3_4.tif | 10 | 1 nA | High |
| 5 | S5_6.tif | 10 | -1 nA | High |
| 6 | S5_6.tif | 10 | -10 nA | High |
| 7 | S7_8.tif | 10 | -100 nA | High |


| 8 | S7_8.tif | 10 | -1 uA | High |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | S9_13.tif | 10 | 10 uA | Low |
| 11 | S11_15.tif | 10 | 100 nA | Low |
| 13 | S9_13.tif | 10 | -10 uA | Low |
| 15 | S11_15.tif | 10 | -100 nA | Low |

### 8.3.3 Sphere probe bias voltage

The LP spherical probe bias voltage is under RPWSHR processor control. The Bias voltage can be set to 256 levels in four ranges. FUL covers a bias range from -33 V to +31 V in 256 steps and is used for sweeping and fixed bias. LOW, MID, and HIG have a range of 8 V in 256 steps and are centered around $-6 \mathrm{~V}, 0$, and +6 respectively. They are used for fine sweeps around zero input current and sweep in low plasma temperatures. This calibration gives the transfer function from Dig Com to Sph Bias (see block diagram).

Figure 15, 16, 17, and 18 show plots of bias voltage vs. digital 8 bit word input from RPWS HP for FUL, LOW, MID, and HIG respectively at 22C.


Figure 15. LP spherical probe full bias range.


Figure 16. LP spherical probe low bias range.


Figure 17. LP spherical probe middle bias range.


Figure 18. LP spherical probe high bias range.

Table 7. List of Spherical probe bias calibration files.

| Temp. | File name FUL | File name LOW | File name MID | File name HIG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -20 C | A_FUL_-20.CAS | A_LOW_-20.CAS | A_MID_-20.CAS | A_HIG_-20.CAS |
| 0C | A_FUL_0.CAS | A_LOW_0.CAS | A_MID_0.CAS | A_HIG_0.CAS |
| 22 C | A_FUL_22.CAS | A_LOW_22.CAS | A_MID_22.CAS | A_HIG_22.CAS |
| 40 C | A_FUL_40.CAS | A_LOW_40.CAS | A_MID_40.CAS | A_HIG_40.CAS |

### 8.4 Cylindrical probes

The LP cylindrical probes (cylp) are two of the three long antenna used by the HFR for E-field measurements from a few Hz to 10 MHz . The LP is connected to -cylp and +cylp via two relays, a diode and a 100 kohm resistor used for high voltage protection. The bias voltage is restricted to $\pm 10 \mathrm{~V}$ at the sensor due to satellite environment requirements.

### 8.4.1 Low frequency calibration

This Calibration is performed using the same test set-up as for the spherical probe $d c$ calibration. The transfer functions from - Cylp and +Cylp to ADC Dig are obtained from this calibration (see block diagram).

### 8.4.1.1 Converting telemetry values to physical units

The proper physical units for the cylindrical probe measurements will be obtained using a physical parameters model applied to the in-flight measured probe current vs. bias (not given here). The $d c$ probe current to TM unit conversion is given by calibration curves in 8.4.1.2.

### 8.4.1.2 Cylindrical probe $d c$ calibration tests/results

The calibration output data are stored in files with a unique file name describing the calibration type and at which temperature it was made.

Table 8. File name identification:

| Character position | Description |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1,2 | PC $=$ Positive Cylp. |  |
| 3,4 | PC $=$ positive input current $\quad$ NC $=$ negative input current |  |
| 5,6 | LF $=6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ filter | $\mathrm{HF}=$ No filter |
| 7,11 | Temperature in deg. Celsius. |  |

Table 9. Data file format:

| Column | Description |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Input current to probe | (Amp) |
| 2 | Digitized data from LP 12bit ADC | $(0-4095-5$ to 5 V) |



Figure 19. + Cylindrical probe $d c$ calibration curves for 22 C .6 Hz filter connected.


Figure 20. + Cylindrical probe $d c$ calibration curves for 22C. No filter connected.


Figure 21. - Cylindrical probe $d c$ calibration curves for 22 C .6 Hz filter connected.


Figure 22. - Cylindrical probe $d c$ calibration curves for 22 C . No filter connected.

Table 10. List of files for the $d c$ Cylindrical probe calibration:

| Temperature | Cylp. | Positive Current | Negative Current |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22C | neg | NCPCLF_22.CAS | NCNCLF_22.CAS |
|  | neg | NCPCHF_22.CAS | NCNCHF_22.CAS |
|  | pos | PCPCLF 22.CAS | PCNCLF 22.CAS |
|  | pos | PCPCHF 22.CAS | PCNCHF 22.CAS |
| -20C | neg | NCPCLF_-20.CAS | NCNCLF_-20.CAS |
|  | neg | NCPCHF_-20.CAS | NCNCHF_-20.CAS |
|  | pos | PCPCLF -20.CAS | PCNCLF -20.CAS |
|  | pos | PCPCHF_-20.CAS | PCNCHF_20.CAS |
| 0C | neg | NCPCLF_0.CAS | NCNCLF_0.CAS |
|  | neg | NCPCHF_0.CAS | NCNCHF_0.CAS |
|  | pos | PCPCLF_0.CAS | PCNCLF_0.CAS |
|  | pos | PCPCHF_0.CAS | PCNCHF_02.CAS |
| 40C | neg | NCPCLF_40.CAS | NCNCLF_40.CAS |
|  | -- | -- | -- |
| ADC input voltage only | pos | PCPCLF 40.CAS | PCNCLF 40.CAS |
|  | -- | -- | -- |

### 8.4.2 High frequency calibration.

As for the spherical probe m will be the main input parameter for all cylindrical probe HF calibrations. Unlike the spherical probe logarithmic processor the cylindrical processor have a constant logarithmic factor for the range of interest and the transfer functions can be obtained form the flat part of the NC and PC frequency response plots. The test set-up is the same as for the spherical probe (see Figure 5). The cylindrical probes frequency response is depending on the input current and range from a few Hz to tens of kHz .

### 8.4.2.1 Converting telemetry values to physical units.

The conversion from m to $\mathrm{V}_{r m s}$ output voltage is covered by this calibration. The estimate of signal power from m values requires a scientific model, which is not included here.

### 8.4.2.2 Cylindrical probes HF calibration tests/results.

The output voltage from the cylindrical probe to WBR is given by the same equation as for the spherical probe. Figure 23 and 24 show the frequency response for +100 nA and -100 nA current input to the negative cylindrical probe and Table 10 lists the frequency response obtained from all NC and PC plots. The relationship is given by

$$
\log \left(\mathrm{V}_{\text {out }}\right)=\mathrm{k}+\log (\mathrm{m})
$$

where $\mathrm{k}=-0.648$ obtained from plot NC5, NC6, PC5 and PC6.


Figure 23. -Cylindrical probe frequency response at 100 nA input current.


Figure 24. - Cylindrical probe frequency response at -100 nA input current.
Table 10. List of NC and PC plots.

| Plot No | File name | 3dB cut off freq. | Input current |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NC8 | NC8.tif | 2750 Hz | 1 uA |
| NC5 | NC4_5.tif | 1650 Hz | 100 nA |
| NC2 | NC1_2.tif | 216 Hz | 10 nA |


| NC1 | NC1_2.tif | 20 Hz | 1 nA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NC7 | NC6_7.tif | 3050 Hz | -1 uA |
| NC6 | NC6_7.tif | 1070 Hz | -100 nA |
| NC3 | NC3.tif | 148 Hz | -10 nA |
| NC4 | NC4_5.tif | 31 Hz | -1 nA |
| PC8 | PC8_7.tif | 3160 Hz | 1 uA |
| PC5 | PC5_6.tif | 1290 Hz | 100 nA |
| -- | -- | -- | 10 nA |
| PC1 | PC1_4.tif | 26 Hz | 1 nA |
| PC7 | PC8_7.tif | 2950 Hz | -1 uA |
| PC6 | PC5_6.tif | 1080 Hz | -100 nA |
| -- | -- | -- | -10 nA |
| PC4 | PC1_4.tif | 35 Hz | -1 nA |

### 8.4.3 $\pm$ Cylindrical probe bias voltage.

The cylindrical probe bias can be operated in two ranges, $\pm 10 \mathrm{~V}$ and $\pm 5 \mathrm{~V}$, with 256 steps in each range. To find the actual probe bias the voltage drop over the 100kohm resistor in series with the diode need to be subtracted. This calibration gives the bias voltage at the driver end. Figure 25 and 26 show plots of the two ranges at 22C.


Figure 25. $\pm$ Cylindrical probe full bias range at 22 C


Figure $26 . \pm$ Cylindrical probe middle bias range at 22 C .

Table 11. List of Cylindrical probe bias calibration files.

| Temp. | File name FUL | File name MID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -20 C | B_FUL_-20.CAS | B_MID_-20.CAS |
| 0C | B_FUL_0.CAS | B_MID_0.CAS |
| 22C | B_FUL_22.CAS | B_MID_22.CAS |
| 40 C | B_FUL_40.CAS | B_MID_40.CAS |

### 9.1 LFDR Subsystem Description

The Low Frequency Digital Receiver (LFDR) employs onboard FFT processing of one or two channels of the Wave Form Receiver (WFR) to produce a 1 Hz to 27 Hz spectrums which can be transmitted to the ground.

### 9.1.1 General LFDR Characteristics

The Low Frequency Digital Receiver combines hardware and software functions to provide an onboard spectrum analysis of low frequency signals. The hardware receiver which is used is the Waveform Receiver (WFR, see Section 13). Normally the WFR is configured in low band mode (also called 40 Hz mode) to provide waveform data sets to the LFDR software, but the high band mode could be configured as well. The calibrations described in this document apply only to the low band mode. In this mode a waveform is captured and an FFT performed on the data. Normally the waveform is of length 512 , but it is also possible to command the LFDR to process WFR snapshots of length 256 or 1024 , if more or less frequency resolution is desired. The calibrations in this document are valid for the default length of 512 samples, but they could easily be extended to the other snapshot lengths. Regardless of snapshot length, the data is processed by the on-board software as follows:

1) The data, which are 12 -bit values ranging from 0 to 4095 , is averaged. The average is subtracted from all data values, leaving data with no DC component.
2) The data is scanned for maximum and minimum values, and using these the data is pre-scaled. The data is multiplied by the largest factor of 2 which will still leave the data as 12 -bit items. This pre-scale factor is included in the output data packet, and is referred to as the digital gain factor.
3) A Hanning (or Hahn) window is applied to the data. This reduces edge effects and sidelobe spreading.
4) A Fast Fourier Transform is performed on the data. After the FFT, all data above 26 Hz is ignored. For the 512 sample snapshot size, this implies that FFT bins 1 through 133 are used. Note that the center frequency of each of these FFT bins is $100 * \mathrm{i} / 512$, where i varies from 1 through 133, so that these frequencies vary from 0.195 Hz through 25.977 Hz .
5) The FFT output for each bin is squared and these individual output values (which are proportional to power) are summed using a pseudo-logarithmic mapping function. The mapping function can be commanded to be strictly linear, but the default is logarithmic. The number of output channels is programmable, but the default is 32 . For this number of channels, the "logarithmic" mapping function is given in Table 9.1.1.1 (assuming an input snapshot size of 512).

Table 9.1.1.1 LFDR Logarithmic Frequency Mapping Function.

| LFDR <br> Step Number | FFT Bin <br> Numbers Included | LFDR Center <br> Frequency (Hz) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 0.195 |
| 2 | 2 | 0.390 |
| 3 | 3 | 0.586 |
| 4 | 4 | 0.781 |
| 5 | 5 | 0.977 |
| 6 | 6 | 1.172 |
| 7 | 7 | 1.367 |
| 8 | 8 | 1.563 |
| 9 | 9 | 1.758 |
| 10 | 10 | 1.953 |
| 11 | 11 | 2.148 |
| 12 | 12 | 2.344 |
| 13 | 13 | 2.539 |
| 14 | 14 | 2.734 |
| 15 | 15 | 2.930 |
| 16 | 16 | 3.125 |
| 17 | 17 | 3.320 |
| 18 | 18 | 3.515 |
| 19 | 19 | 3.711 |
| 20 | 20-21 | 4.004 |
| 21 | 22-25 | 4.590 |
| 22 | 26-29 | 5.371 |
| 23 | 30-34 | 6.250 |
| 24 | 35-39 | 7.227 |
| 25 | 40-46 | 8.398 |
| 26 | 47-53 | 9.766 |
| 27 | 54-62 | 11.328 |
| 28 | 63-72 | 13.184 |
| 29 | 73-84 | 15.332 |
| 30 | 85-98 | 17.871 |
| 31 | 99-115 | 20.898 |
| 32 | 116-133 | 24.316 |

6) Using this mapping function, the squares of the FFT output bins are summed. The square root of the sum is taken, producing 32 values proportional to the voltage in each LFDR frequency bin.
7) The values are then mapped to a floating point representation, which requires a byte for each value. The floating point number can be represented by EEEMMMMM, where the upper three bits are an exponent and the lower 5 bits are a mantissa. The exponent is a number ranging from 0 through 7 , and the mantissa is a number ranging from 0 through 31. The value can be recovered by using the equation:

$$
\text { Value }=\left(2^{\text {Exponent }}\right) * \text { Mantissa }+ \text { Base }(\text { Exponent })
$$

where $\operatorname{Base}($ Exponent $)$ is: $\quad \operatorname{Base}(0)=0$

$$
\operatorname{Base}(1)=32
$$

$$
\operatorname{Base}(2)=96
$$

$$
\operatorname{Base}(3)=224
$$

$$
\operatorname{Base}(4)=480
$$

$$
\operatorname{Base}(5)=992
$$

$$
\operatorname{Base}(6)=2016
$$

$$
\operatorname{Base}(7)=4064
$$

This floating point representation is given in the form of a lookup table in Table 9.1.1.2. The 8 -bit floating point representation is referred to as Data Number in this table, and the value is referred to as Counts.
8) The 32 bytes of data are packetized along with information about the sensor, the hardware gain state, the WFR channel number, the frequency band selection ( 40 Hz or 2.5 kHz ), and the digital gain factor.

Figure 9.1.1.1 summarizes the stages of the LFDR processing.

Table 9.1.1.2 LFDR Data Number to Counts Lookup Table

| DN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Hex) | LFDR | Count | (Dec | imal) |  |  |  |  |
| 00 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 08 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| 10 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |
| 18 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 |
| 20 | 32 | 34 | 36 | 38 | 40 | 42 | 44 | 46 |
| 28 | 48 | 50 | 52 | 54 | 56 | 58 | 60 | 62 |
| 30 | 64 | 66 | 68 | 70 | 72 | 74 | 76 | 78 |
| 38 | 80 | 82 | 84 | 86 | 88 | 90 | 92 | 94 |
| 40 | 96 | 100 | 104 | 108 | 112 | 116 | 120 | 124 |
| 48 | 128 | 132 | 136 | 140 | 144 | 148 | 152 | 156 |
| 50 | 160 | 164 | 168 | 172 | 176 | 180 | 184 | 188 |
| 58 | 192 | 196 | 200 | 204 | 208 | 212 | 216 | 220 |
| 60 | 224 | 232 | 240 | 248 | 256 | 264 | 272 | 280 |
| 68 | 288 | 296 | 304 | 312 | 320 | 328 | 336 | 344 |
| 70 | 352 | 360 | 368 | 376 | 384 | 392 | 400 | 408 |
| 78 | 416 | 424 | 432 | 440 | 448 | 456 | 464 | 472 |
| 80 | 480 | 496 | 512 | 528 | 544 | 560 | 576 | 592 |
| 88 | 608 | 624 | 640 | 656 | 672 | 688 | 704 | 720 |
| 90 | 736 | 752 | 768 | 784 | 800 | 816 | 832 | 848 |
| 98 | 864 | 880 | 896 | 912 | 928 | 944 | 960 | 976 |
| a0 | 992 | 1024 | 1056 | 1088 | 1120 | 1152 | 1184 | 1216 |
| a 8 | 1248 | 1280 | 1312 | 1344 | 1376 | 1408 | 1440 | 1472 |
| b0 | 1504 | 1536 | 1568 | 1600 | 1632 | 1664 | 1696 | 1728 |
| b8 | 1760 | 1792 | 1824 | 1856 | 1888 | 1920 | 1952 | 1984 |
| c0 | 2016 | 2080 | 2144 | 2208 | 2272 | 2336 | 2400 | 2464 |
| c8 | 2528 | 2592 | 2656 | 2720 | 2784 | 2848 | 2912 | 2976 |
| d0 | 3040 | 3104 | 3168 | 3232 | 3296 | 3360 | 3424 | 3488 |
| d8 | 3552 | 3616 | 3680 | 3744 | 3808 | 3872 | 3936 | 4000 |
| e0 | 4064 | 4192 | 4320 | 4448 | 4576 | 4704 | 4832 | 4960 |
| e8 | 5088 | 5216 | 5344 | 5472 | 5600 | 5728 | 5856 | 5984 |
| f0 | 6112 | 6240 | 6368 | 6496 | 6624 | 6752 | 6880 | 7008 |
| f8 | 7136 | 7264 | 7392 | 7520 | 7648 | 7776 | 7904 | 8032 |

Figure 9.1.1.1
RPWS LOW-FREQUENCY DIGITAL RECEIVER (LFDR)
(DATA PROCESSING FLOW)


### 9.1.2 LFDR Format and Computational Aspects

When operating in LFDR mode, the DCP must receive data in the form of WFR minipackets (raw, unpacked and unsegmented). There are 3 possible sample set lengths: $\mathrm{N}=256$, 512 , or 1024 . Since the $40-\mathrm{Hz}$ WFR data is sampled at 100 Hz , the frequency resolutions for these lengths are $0.390 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.195 \mathrm{~Hz}$, and 0.098 Hz respectively. This length is commandable, and the LFDR code reconfigures the FFT accordingly. For a longer sample length, a longer computation time is required. The current estimates for the computation times are:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { FFT length 256: } & 2.34 \text { seconds } \\
\text { FFT length 512: } & 4.80 \text { seconds } \\
\text { FFT length 1024: } & 10.74 \text { seconds }
\end{array}
$$

These times were measured using a "lightly-loaded" DCP running at 3 MHz (i.e., the same speed as the Flight Unit). Only the Real-Time Kernel and the necessary I/O modules were running in addition to the FFT module. Since for normal operations the DCP will be running compression code also, no more than $50 \%$ of available time should be dedicated to the LFDR process. For example, if it were desired to get 2 spectra, one for Ex and one for Bx, every 10 seconds, this could be done. The computation time, using a length 512 FFT would be 9.60 seconds for the two FFT's. But this would not leave much time for compression and other DCP responsibilities. So the FFT length would have to be decreased to 256 , resulting in a total LFDR computation time of 4.68 seconds for the two FFT's and a processor load of $4.68 / 10.00$ or $47 \%$ processor use.

### 9.1.3 LFDR Input Options

Any combination of WFR channels can be specified as the inputs to the FFT process. These are $\mathrm{Ex}, \mathrm{Ez}, \mathrm{Bx}, \mathrm{By}$, and Bz . The default mode is ( $\mathrm{Ex}, \mathrm{Bx}$ ). Each channel is actually processed independently of the others, and a separate mini-packet is output to the telemetry for each channel.

### 9.1.4 LFDR Output Options

The output of the FFT is truncated above 26 Hz . This means for 1024-point mode 266 FFT bins are used for the output, for 512-point mode 133 FFT bins are used for the output, and for 256 -point mode 67 FFT bins are used for the output. The number of output channels M is a commandable parameter. The FFT bins up to 26 Hz are "lumped together" into the M output channels, using either a linear mapping or a logarithmic mapping function. Typically for the lower FFT bins, the FFT bin size is too wide to do a logarithmic mapping. In other words, the logarithmic mapping function would imply fractional bins, and only integral bins are allowed in the approximation. In this case the FFT bin value is output directly without a mapping function, and there is a one-to-one mapping (actually a linear, not a log, map). The choice of a log or linear
function is commandable. The following example of the two mapping functions illustrates how the log function becomes linear at the lower end of the sample range:

For $N=512$ and $M=32, \Delta F=0.195 \mathrm{~Hz}$. However according to E.Oran Brigham, "The Fast Fourier Transform And Its Applications", the 3-dB bandwidth for a single FFT bin when using a Hanning window is $1.4 x \Delta F$.

| Output Channel \# | Log Mode FFT bin \#'s | Center <br> Freq(Hz) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3-\mathrm{dB} \\ \mathrm{BW}(\mathrm{~Hz}) \end{gathered}$ | BW / <br> Center Freq. | Linear Mode FFT bin \#'s |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 0.195 | 0.273 | 140.00\% | 1-4 |
| 2 | 2 | 0.390 | 0.273 | 70.00\% | 5-8 |
| 3 | 3 | 0.586 | 0.273 | 46.59\% | 9-12 |
| 4 | 4 | 0.781 | 0.273 | 34.96\% | 13-17 |
| 5 | 5 | 0.977 | 0.273 | 27.94\% | 18-21 |
| 6 | 6 | 1.172 | 0.273 | 23.29\% | 22-25 |
| 7 | 7 | 1.367 | 0.273 | 19.97\% | 26-29 |
| 8 | 8 | 1.563 | 0.273 | 17.47\% | 30-33 |
| 9 | 9 | 1.758 | 0.273 | 15.53\% | 34-37 |
| 10 | 10 | 1.953 | 0.273 | 13.98\% | 38-42 |
| 11 | 11 | 2.148 | 0.273 | 12.71\% | 43-46 |
| 12 | 12 | 2.344 | 0.273 | 11.65\% | 47-50 |
| 13 | 13 | 2.539 | 0.273 | 10.75\% | 51-54 |
| 14 | 14 | 2.734 | 0.273 | 9.99\% | 55-58 |
| 15 | 15 | 2.930 | 0.273 | 9.32\% | 59-62 |
| 16 | 16 | 3.125 | 0.273 | 8.74\% | 63-67 |
| 17 | 17 | 3.320 | 0.273 | 8.22\% | 68-71 |
| 18 | 18 | 3.515 | 0.273 | 7.77\% | 72-75 |
| 19 | 19 | 3.711 | 0.273 | 7.36\% | 76-79 |
| 20 | 20-21 | 4.004 | 0.469 | 11.71\% | 80-83 |
| 21 | 22-25 | 4.590 | 0.859 | 18.71\% | 84-87 |
| 22 | 26-29 | 5.371 | 0.859 | 15.99\% | 88-91 |
| 23 | 30-34 | 6.250 | 1.055 | 16.88\% | 92-96 |
| 24 | 35-39 | 7.227 | 1.055 | 14.60\% | 97-100 |
| 25 | 40-46 | 8.398 | 1.445 | 17.21\% | 101-104 |
| 26 | 47-53 | 9.766 | 1.445 | 14.80\% | 104-108 |
| 27 | 54-62 | 11.328 | 1.836 | 16.21\% | 109-112 |
| 28 | 63-72 | 13.184 | 2.031 | 15.41\% | 113-116 |
| 29 | 73-84 | 15.332 | 2.285 | 14.90\% | 117-121 |
| 30 | 85-98 | 17.871 | 2.813 | 15.74\% | 122-125 |
| 31 | 99-115 | 20.898 | 3.398 | 16.26\% | 126-129 |
| 32 | 116-133 | 24.316 | 3.594 | 14.78\% | 130-133 |

### 9.1.6 Logarithmic Compression of LFDR Amplitude Values

The FFT bins are combined by squaring the amplitudes for a group of FFT bins, adding the squared amplitudes, and taking the square root of the sum of squares. The resulting value is proportional to the signal voltage in that frequency band. The value can be a 13 -bit number, since the raw time series data is 12 -bit. It is then log-compressed to an 8 -bit value, which is output to the telemetry.

The logarithmic compression function has a maximum error of 0.5 parts per 32 , since 5 bits are used to express the mantissa, and rounding gives an additional bit of accuracy. Hence the error is on the order of $1 \%$. The compression function is given as follows:

> Bits D7-D5, Exponent Bits D4-D0, Mantissa Value $=\left(2^{\text {Exponent }}\right) *$ Mantissa $+\operatorname{Base}($ Exponent $)$  where Base(Exponent) is:            $\operatorname{Base}(0)=0$ $\operatorname{Base}(1)=32$ $\operatorname{Base}(3)=96$ $\operatorname{Base}(4)=480$ $\operatorname{Base}(5)=992$ $\operatorname{Base}(6)=2016$ $\operatorname{Base}(7)=4064$

### 9.1.6 LFDR Mini-packet Format

For each channel processed, there is an LFDR mini-packet produced. This requires 2 bytes for the mini-packet header, 2 bytes for the Spacecraft Time, and 2 bytes for the LFDR status field (channel \#, gain setting, Log/lin mode, etc.). Hence for an LFDR mini-packet holding 32 output channels, there is an overhead of $6 / 32$ or $19 \%$. Obviously for longer channel lengths the percentile overhead decreases.

### 9.1.7 LFDR Error Conditions and Error Handling

The following error conditions are possible during the LFDR process:

1) The data set length delivered to the FFT program is not one of its preferred lengths $(256,512$, or 1024). In this case, the default length of 512 is used. If any part of a data set is lost or corrupted in the transfer of data from the HRP to the DCP, then the entire data set must be discarded. If any 12 -bit data value in the raw data set has high bits set (i.e., is greater than 12 bits) then the high bits are masked off and the data is used as if it were normal. When an error
condition does not prevent data analysis, then the mini-packet produced will have a bit to flag the suspect data set.
2) Round-off error results in loss of amplitude resolution at low amplitudes. Total dynamic range is only about 60 dB , instead of the 72 dB hoped for. Also there is an aliasing effect caused by the interaction between round-off error and the use of an N/2 length real-only FFT to speed up the calculation of the length N FFT. This aliasing effect shows up as frequency components above 25 Hz wrapping around the 25 Hz frequency point and showing up as lower frequencies. This effect is about 60 dB down, so a maximum amplitude signal only shows up with a barely measurable FFT component.

To alleviate the round-off problem, a floating point algorithm was considered but rejected. A compromise was reached by adding a "pre-scale" function, which multiplies the amplitudes by some power of 2 (up to 1024). The exact factor is determined by scanning the raw data for the maximum value. High amplitude data is not scaled at all, low amplitude is scaled by as much as 60 dB (1024). The pre-scale power of 2, a number from 0 to 10 , is sent in the LFDR mini-packet header. Note that the calculation times for the different lengths of FFT has increased and it is now necessary to either decrease the number of samples in the FFT analysis to 256 , or else decrease the frequency of the LFDR snapshots from 10 seconds to 20 seconds.

### 9.2.1 Converting LFDR telemetry Values to Physical Units

In this section, the procedure for obtaining a calibrated data value from a raw LFDR measurement is described. For the specifics about the LFDR mini-packet structure, see the Users' Guide and Software Operations Manual.

1) The LFDR data numbers should all be converted to counts using either the formula in Section 9.1 or the lookup Table 9.1.1.2.
2) The digital scale factor should be applied to all the counts. The mini-packet contains a 4-bit field, called the digital gain factor (DGF). All counts should be divided by $2^{\text {DGF }}$, yielding adjusted counts.
3) The hardware gain state should be noted from the mini-packet. Using this gain state, the correct calibration factor table should be selected, from Tables 9.3.2.1 (corresponding to gain state 00 ) through 9.3.2.4 (corresponding to gain state 30 ). The adjusted counts for each LFDR step should be divided by the corresponding step's calibration factor from the selected table. This yields volts rms in that LFDR bin. This is the voltage difference Vdiff between the Ex+ and Ex- antennas.
4) The Tables 9.3.2.1 through 9.3.2.4 are appropriate for the Ex dipole input. Once the voltage Vdiff is found, the sensor-dependent conversion factor must be used to adjust the voltage. The voltage difference between the Ex+ antenna and the Ex- antenna is given the symbol VDEx. Since the voltage difference Vdiff calculated in steps 1 through 3 is for the voltage difference between Ex+ and Ex-, no conversion is necessary for VDEx. VDEx is equal to Vdiff. The voltage between the Ex+ antenna and spacecraft ground is given the symbol VEx+. The voltage between the Ex- antenna and spacecraft ground is given the symbol VEx. The voltage between the Ez antenna and spacecraft ground is given the symbol VEz. The voltage out of the Bx magnetic preamp is given the symbol Vbxpa. The voltage out of the By magnetic preamp is given the symbol Vbypa. The voltage out of the Bz magnetic preamp is given the symbol Vbzpa. Figure 9.2.1.1 shows the location of these voltages. The conversion factors and their symbols are listed below. The conversion factors convert the Vdiff values to the voltage at the various sensors.

| Symbol | Factor |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CF+ex | 1.0 | Conversion factor for a monopole using the the Ex+ antenna |
| CF-ex | 1.0 | Conversion factor for a monopole using the the Ex- antenna |
| CFez | 1.0 | Conversion factor for the Ez antenna |
| Cfbx | 24.0 | Conversion factor for the Bx Search Coil |
| Cfby | 24.0 | Conversion factor for the By Search Coil |
| Cfbz | 24.0 | Conversion factor for the Bz Search Coil |



Figure 9.2.1.1
Sensor to WFR Diagram

The formulas for converting the Vdiff value to the sensor input voltage are:

| VDEx | = | Vdiff | (units are volts rms) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VEx+ | = | $(\mathrm{CF}+\mathrm{ex}) *$ (Vdiff) |  |
| VEx- | $=$ | (CF-ex)*(Vdiff) |  |
| VEz | = | (CFez)*(Vdiff) |  |
| Vbxpa | = | (CFbx)*(Vdiff) |  |
| VBypa | = | (CFby)*(Vdiff) |  |
| VBzpa | = | (CFbz)*(Vdiff) |  |

5) From the mini-packet information, the sensor is noted. For the the Search Coils, the voltages at the outputs of the Search Coil preamplifiers must now be converted to nanotesla. Table 9.2.1.1 contains the conversion factors for the three Search Coils at each of the 32 LFDR center frequencies. These factors were derived by using a cubic spline interpolation on the Search Coil calibration data given in Section 7. Since the Search Coil calibrations are frequency-dependent, the value corresponding to the step of interest should be chosen. This conversion factor is given the symbol of CBxnt for the Bx Search Coil, CBynt for the By Search Coil, and CBznt for the Bz Search Coil. Their units are V/nT. To convert from voltage at the output of the Bx Search Coil preamplifier, VBxpa must be divided by CBxnt. Likewise, to convert from voltage at the output of the By Search Coil preamplifier, VBypa must be divided by Cbynt. And similarly, to convert from voltage at the output of the Bz Search Coil preamplifier, VBzpa must be divided by Cbznt. This is shown in the following equations:

| NTBx | $=$ | Vbxpa $/$ CBxnt |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad$ (units are nT rms)

NTBx is the field detected by the Bx Search Coil, NTBy is the field detected by the By Search Coil, and NTBz is the field detected by the Bz Search Coil. At this point we have meaningful physical units. For the electric antennas we have the voltage measured at the antenna elements. For the magnetic sensors we have the magnetic field in nanotesla at the sensors. Next the magnitude of the electric field for the electric antennas can be obtained. The voltages at the antennas are divided by the effective antenna length. This produces units of volts per meter. Here the effective antenna lengths are defined as the physical distances between the geometric centers of the antennas for the Ex dipole, and the geometric center for the Ez antenna. The effective length for the Ex+ to Ex- dipole antenna configuration is given the symbol LExdelta. The Ex+ monopole effective length is given the symbol L+Ex. The Ex- monopole effective length is given the symbol L-Ex. The Ez monopole is given the symbol LEz. See section 5.1 for a more detailed discussion of the effective lengths of the antennas.

Effective Antenna Length in Meters for various mode configurations

| Antenna | Effective Length (meters) |  | Configuration |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| LExdelta | 8.66 |  | dipole |
| L+Ex | 5.00 | monopole |  |
| $\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{Ex}$ | 5.00 | monopole |  |
| LEz | 5.00 | monopole |  |

The electric antenna field on the Ex dipole is represented by VMExdelta, the electric field on the Ex+ monopole by VM+Ex, the electric field on the Ex- monopole by VM-Ex, and the electric field on the Ez monopole by VMEz. The following equations show the method for calculating the electric antenna field on the antenna in volts per meter. These equations do not include effects due to stray capacitive divider effects between the antennas and the spacecraft.

| VMExdelta | $=$ | $($ VDEx $) /($ Lexdelta $)$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{VM}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $=$ | $(\mathrm{Vex}+) /(\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{Ex})$ |
| $\mathrm{VM}-\mathrm{Ex}$ | $=$ | $(\mathrm{Vex}-) /(\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{Ex})$ |
| VMEz | $=$ | $(\mathrm{Vez}) /(\mathrm{LEz})$ |

6) Finally, the power spectral density can be obtained by squaring the field strength and dividing by effective bandwidth EBW. The theoretical bandwidth is $1.5 *$ Df for LFDR steps 1 through 19 (i.e., single FFT bin channels), and approximately N*Df for higher LFDR channels (where N is the number of FFT bins included in the sum). More precise estimates of the effective bandwidths are given in Section 9.3.3. The EBW for the step of interest should be selected from Table 9.3.3.2, in the column labeled "Average Noise Bandwidth".
7) The spectral density of the signal on the Ex dipole is represented by Exdeltasd, the Ex+ monopole antenna by + Exsd and the -Ex antenna by -Exsd. The following equations show the method for calculating the electric antenna spectral density on the antennas in volts rms squared per meters squared per Hertz.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { Exdeltasd } & = & (\mathrm{VMExdelta})^{2} / \mathrm{EBW} \\
+ \text { Exsd } & = & (\mathrm{VM}+\mathrm{Ex})^{2} / \mathrm{EBW} \\
\text {-Exsd } & = & (\mathrm{VM}-\mathrm{Ex})^{2} / \mathrm{EBW} \\
\text { Ezsd } & = & (\mathrm{VMEz})^{2} / \mathrm{EBW}
\end{array}
$$

The spectral density of the signal from the Bx sensor is represented by Bxd . The spectral density of the signal from the By sensor is represented by Byd. The spectral density of the signal from the Bzd sensor is represented by Bzd. The following equations show the method for calculating the magnetic spectral density at the magnetic sensors in nanotesla squared per Hertz.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Bxd } & =\mathrm{NTBx}^{2} / \mathrm{EBW} \\
\text { Byd } & =\mathrm{NTBy}^{2} / \mathrm{EBW} \\
\text { Bzd } & =\mathrm{NTBz}^{2} / \mathrm{EBW}
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 9.2.1.1 LFDR Search Coil Conversion Factors.

| LFDR <br> Step Number | LFDR Center <br> Frequency (Hz) | Bx Conversion Factor (V/nT) | By Conversion <br> Factor (V/nT) | Bz Conversion <br> Factor (V/nT) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.195 | 0.00064 | 0.00063 | 0.00063 |
| 2 | 0.39 | 0.00163 | 0.00161 | 0.00161 |
| 3 | 0.586 | 0.00264 | 0.00262 | 0.00262 |
| 4 | 0.781 | 0.00362 | 0.00358 | 0.00359 |
| 5 | 0.977 | 0.00455 | 0.00449 | 0.00451 |
| 6 | 1.172 | 0.00547 | 0.00540 | 0.00542 |
| 7 | 1.367 | 0.00640 | 0.00631 | 0.00634 |
| 8 | 1.563 | 0.00733 | 0.00723 | 0.00727 |
| 9 | 1.758 | 0.00826 | 0.00816 | 0.00820 |
| 10 | 1.953 | 0.00920 | 0.00909 | 0.00913 |
| 11 | 2.148 | 0.01015 | 0.01002 | 0.01008 |
| 12 | 2.344 | 0.01110 | 0.01096 | 0.01102 |
| 13 | 2.539 | 0.01205 | 0.01190 | 0.01197 |
| 14 | 2.734 | 0.01301 | 0.01285 | 0.01292 |
| 15 | 2.930 | 0.01396 | 0.01379 | 0.01386 |
| 16 | 3.125 | 0.01492 | 0.01473 | 0.01481 |
| 17 | 3.320 | 0.01587 | 0.01567 | 0.01575 |
| 18 | 3.515 | 0.01682 | 0.01661 | 0.01669 |
| 19 | 3.711 | 0.01776 | 0.01754 | 0.01763 |
| 20 | 4.004 | 0.01917 | 0.01893 | 0.01903 |
| 21 | 4.590 | 0.02195 | 0.02167 | 0.02178 |
| 22 | 5.371 | 0.02554 | 0.02522 | 0.02533 |
| 23 | 6.250 | 0.02947 | 0.02910 | 0.02924 |
| 24 | 7.227 | 0.03382 | 0.03340 | 0.03356 |
| 25 | 8.398 | 0.03907 | 0.03860 | 0.03878 |
| 26 | 9.766 | 0.04511 | 0.04459 | 0.04480 |
| 27 | 11.328 | 0.05176 | 0.05117 | 0.05140 |
| 28 | 13.184 | 0.05923 | 0.05856 | 0.05881 |
| 29 | 15.332 | 0.06728 | 0.06651 | 0.06679 |
| 30 | 17.871 | 0.07596 | 0.07508 | 0.07537 |
| 31 | 20.898 | 0.08507 | 0.08410 | 0.08439 |
| 32 | 24.316 | 0.09389 | 0.09284 | 0.09311 |

### 9.2.2 Examples of Converting LFDR telemetry Values to Physical Units

Three examples of conversions from raw LFDR telemetry data values to science units are given below.

1) Let the LFDR sensor be the Ex dipole. Let the DGF be 3 and let the hardware gain state be gain state 20. Let the telemetry value for step 18 of the LFDR be 97 decimal (61 hexadecimal). Using Table 9.1.1.2, we see that this floating point representation corresponds to 232 LFDR counts. Using the digital gain factor, we find that adjusted counts are 232 / $2^{\text {DGF }}$ $=232 / 2^{3}=232 / 8=29$. Now consulting the calibration factor table for gain state 20 , Table9.3.2.3, we see that for LFDR step 18 the calibration factor is 41864 counts per Vrms. Hence the voltage Vdiff at frequency 3.515 Hz is Vdiff $=29 / 41864=6.93 \times 10^{-4}$ Vrms. Since the tables were produced from Ex dipole calibration tests, VDEx is equal to Vdiff. Since the LFDR sensor is the Ex dipole, the effective antenna length is 8.66 meters. Then the electric field strength is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { VMExdelta } & =(\text { VDEx }) /(\text { Lexdelta }) \\
& =6.93 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{Vrms} / 8.66 \text { meters } \\
& =8.00 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{Vrms} / \text { meter }
\end{aligned}
$$

VMExdelta is now squared and divided by the effective bandwidth of LFDR step 18 to obtain the spectral density of the signal on the Ex dipole antenna at 3.515 Hz . The effective bandwidth is found in Table 9.3.3.2 to be 0.2045 Hz .

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Exdeltasd } & =(\text { VMExdelta })^{2} / \text { EBW } \\
& =\left(8.00 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{Vrms}^{2} / \text { meter }\right)^{2} / 0.2045 \mathrm{~Hz} \\
& =3.13 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{Vrms}^{2} / \text { meter }^{2} \mathrm{~Hz}
\end{aligned}
$$

2) Let the LFDR sensor be the Ez antenna. Let the DGF be 7 and let the hardware gain state be gain state 00 . Let the telemetry value for step 32 of the LFDR be 167 decimal or A7
hexadecimal. Noting that for this value the Mantissa is 7 and the Exponent is 5, we calculate the Value for counts with the equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Value } & =\left(2^{\text {Exponent }}\right) * \text { Mantissa }+ \text { Base(Exponent) } \\
& =\left(2^{5}\right) * 7+992 \\
& =32 * 7+992 \\
& =1216 \text { counts }
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the digital gain factor, we find that adjusted counts are

$$
1216 / 2^{\text {DGF }}=1216 / 2^{7}=1216 / 128=9.5 \text { counts. }
$$

Now consulting the calibration factor table for gain state 00, Table 9.3.2.1, we see that for LFDR step 32 the calibration factor is 7185 counts per Vrms. Hence the voltage Vdiff at frequency 24.316 Hz is Vdiff $=9.5 / 7185=1.32 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{Vrms}$. Since the tables were produced from Ex dipole calibration tests, the voltage at the Ez antenna is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Vez } & =(\mathrm{Cfez}) *(\text { Vdiff }) \\
& =1.00 * 1.32 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{Vrms} \\
& =1.32 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{Vrms}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the LFDR sensor is the Ez monopole, the effective antenna length is 5.00 meters. Then the electric field strength is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{VMEz} & =(\mathrm{VEz}) /(\mathrm{Lez}) \\
& =1.32 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{Vrms} / 5.00 \text { meters } \\
& =2.64 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{Vrms} / \text { meter }
\end{aligned}
$$

VMEz is now squared and divided by the effective bandwidth of LFDR step 32 to obtain the spectral density of the signal on the Ez monopole antenna at 24.316 Hz . The effective bandwidth is found in Table 9.3.3.2 to be 2.6172 Hz .

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Ezsd } & =(\mathrm{VMEz})^{2} / \mathrm{EBW} \\
& =\left(2.64 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{Vrms} / \text { meter }\right)^{2} / 2.6172 \mathrm{~Hz} \\
& =2.67 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{Vrms}^{2} / \text { meter }^{2} \mathrm{~Hz}
\end{aligned}
$$

3) Let the LFDR sensor be the Bx Search Coil. Let the DGF be 8 and let the hardware gain state be gain state 30 . Let the telemetry value for step 20 of the LFDR be 208 decimal. Using Table 9.1.1.2, we see that this floating point representation corresponds to 3040 LFDR counts. Using the digital gain factor, we find that adjusted counts are $3040 / 2^{\text {DGF }}=3040 / 2^{8}$ $=3040 / 256=11.875$ counts. Now consulting the calibration factor table for gain state 30, Table 9.3.2.4, we see that for LFDR step 20 the calibration factor is 77930 counts per Vrms. Hence the voltage Vdiff at frequency 4.004 Hz is Vdiff $=11.875 / 77930=1.52 \times 10^{-4}$ Vrms. Since the tables were produced from Ex dipole calibration tests, the voltage at the Bx preamplifier is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { VBxpa } & =(\mathrm{Cfbx}) *(\mathrm{Vdiff}) \\
& =24.00 * 1.52 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{Vrms} \\
& =3.66 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{Vrms}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the LFDR sensor is the Bx Search Coil, the conversion factor CBxnt $=0.01917 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{nT}$ from Table 9.2.1.1 must be used to calculate magnetic field strength. Then the magnetic field strength at 4.004 Hz is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { NTBx } & =(\text { VBxpa }) / \mathrm{Cbxnt} \\
& =3.66 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{Vrms} / 0.01917 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{nT} \\
& =1.91 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{nT}
\end{aligned}
$$

NTBx is now squared and divided by the effective bandwidth of LFDR step 20 to obtain the spectral density of the signal on the Bx Search Coil at 4.004 Hz . The effective bandwidth is found in Table 9.3.3.2 to be 0.2871 Hz .

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Bxd } & =(\mathrm{NTBx})^{2} / \mathrm{EBW} \\
& =\left(1.91^{-1} \mathrm{nT}\right)^{2} / 0.2871 \mathrm{~Hz} \\
& =1.27 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{nT}^{2} / \mathrm{Hz}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 9.3.1 Input Voltage Versus Output Data Numbers

In this section, the results for the LFDR 2-dB calibration tests are presented and interpreted. The relationships between the LFDR raw counts (after expanding the floating point format and applying the digital gain factor) and the voltage at the Ex preamplifier inputs are presented. Differential signals of known amplitude are applied to the preamplifier inputs at the center frequency of several steps of the LFDR. The oscillator level is maintained at a constant 1 Vrms while an adjustable attenuator and a low frequency isolation buffer are placed between the oscillator and the Eu differential amplifier input. The stimulus amplitude is adjusted in 2 dB steps from 0 dBv (dB relative to 1 Vrms ) to -126 dBv . See Figure 9.3.1.1 for the stimulus setup.

The amplifiers are linear, and to find out the calibration factors, a linear least squares fit was performed on the data in the linear region. Two measurements are taken for each amplitude setting and both are included in the least squares fit. The raw data counts are then plotted as a function of dBv and the least squares linear fit shown also. The room temperature $2-\mathrm{dB}$ results are shown in Figures 9.3.1.2 through 9.3.1.9. The $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{dB}$ results are shown in Figures 9.3.1.10 through 9.3.1.14. The $+40^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{dB}$ results are shown in Figures 9.3.1.15 through 9.3.1.22.

The results relating input voltage to the data counts of the above process, for each step and for each gain state, is shown in Table 9.3.1.1. The results are also given for hot and cold temperatures for comparison. However, these simply illustrate that the room temperature results are adequate for calibration. These tables, along with the Channel-to-Channel gain test results (Section 9.3.2) are used to produce a calibration factor for all 32 LFDR steps and at all 4 gain states.

One note about the room temperature plot for LFDR Step 1: there are interference noise spikes about 20 dB above the noise floor for attenuations greater than 40 dB . It has been determined that these noise spikes occur whenever the MFR switches its input sensor from the Bz to the Ex sensor. It is noted that these noise spikes do not occur in the LFDR Step 1 plot at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For that test, the MFR was not toggling between antennas. In flight the LFDR will be configured to avoid taking data during the time of the MFR antenna switch.
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Table 9.3.1.1 LFDR Counts-to-Volts ${ }_{\text {RMS }}$ Calibration Factor Table

| Temperature | Gain State | LFDR Step | Frequency (Hz) | Calibration Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0 | 16 | 3.12 | 5340 counts/ Vrms |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0 | 24 | 7.23 | 7445 counts/ Vrms |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0 | 32 | 24.32 | 7185 counts/ Vrms |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 1 | 0.195 | 83 counts/ Vrms |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 8 | 1.56 | 16862 counts/ Vrms |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 16 | 3.12 | 47706 counts/ Vrms |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 24 | 7.23 | 133881 counts/ Vrms |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 32 | 24.32 | 218536 counts/ Vrms |
| $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 1 | 0.195 | 67 counts/ Vrms |
| $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 8 | 1.56 | 16944 counts/ Vrms |
| $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 16 | 3.12 | 47420 counts/ Vrms |
| $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 24 | 7.23 | 134729 counts/ Vrms |
| $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 32 | 24.32 | 226782 counts/ Vrms |
| $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0 | 16 | 3.12 | 5380 counts/ Vrms |
| $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0 | 24 | 7.23 | 7427 counts/ Vrms |
| $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0 | 32 | 24.32 | 7003 counts/ Vrms |
| $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 1 | 0.195 | 86 counts/ Vrms |
| $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 8 | 1.56 | 17454 counts/ Vrms |
| $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 16 | 3.12 | 48362 counts/ Vrms |
| $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 24 | 7.23 | 133680 counts/ Vrms |
| $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 32 | 24.32 | 213923 counts/ Vrms |

### 9.3.2 Channel-to-Channel Gains

The sensitivity of the LFDR varies from step to step across the band. Variations of insertion losses and filter roll-off, especially in the programmable gain amplifiers, affect the calibrations. Because of the significant dependence upon frequency, the programmable gain amplifiers do not actually provide the nominal gains which the gain state implies, except at frequencies above about 20 Hz . The programmable gain amplifiers have approximately 1-pole filters with the following nominal break frequencies:

| Gain State | Nominal 3-dB Frequency $(\mathrm{Hz})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 dB | 0.4 |
| 10 dB | 1.1 |
| 20 dB | 3.5 |
| 30 dB | 13.0 |

Additionally, a high-pass filter proceeds the programmable gain amplifiers, and this HPF has a sharp roll-off below the nominal break frequency of 1 Hz . The effects of this filter and the gain amps are combined in the channel-to-channel gain calibrations.

The channel to channel gains are performed by stimulating at the center frequency of each step at a fixed amplitude of -60 dBv . The ratios between the amplitudes for unknown steps and known steps can be used to produce calibration factors for the steps for which no $2-\mathrm{dB}$ calibration was run. The stimulus setup for the channel-to-channel gains test is shown in Figure 9.3.1.1. Figure 9.3.2.1 and Tables 9.3.2.1 through 9.3.2.4 show the channel-to-channel gains for each of the LFDR gain settings. One thing to notice in the channel-to-channel gains plots is the abrupt increase at LFDR step 20. This is due to the fact that for step numbers 1 through 19, one and only one FFT bin is included in the measurement. Above that point each LFDR step includes more than one FFT bin, so the effects of the Hanning window become apparent: the effective bandwidth is 1.5 FFT bins for Hanning-windowed data. This effect will be calibrated out when the effective noise bandwidth is included in the calculation to give spectral density.

The channel-to-channel gains results for the LFDR are presented for room temperature $\left(+24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ only, since the variation with temperature is negligible, as noted in Section 9.3.1.


Table 9.3.2.1 LFDR Channel-to-Channel Gain results for 0-dB Gain State

| Temperature | Gain State | LFDR Step | Frequency <br> $(\mathrm{Hz})$ | Average <br> Ch-Ch counts | Cal. Factor <br> counts / Vrms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0 | 1 | 0.195 | <noise level | 51 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0 | 2 | 0.390 | 0.4219 | 410 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0 | 3 | 0.586 | 0.8984 | 872 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0 | 4 | 0.781 | 1.672 | 1623 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0 | 5 | 0.977 | 2.031 | 1972 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0 | 6 | 1.172 | 2.875 | 2791 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0 | 7 | 1.367 | 3.094 | 3004 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0 | 8 | 1.563 | 3.750 | 3641 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0 | 9 | 1.758 | 3.875 | 3762 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0 | 10 | 1.953 | 4.375 | 4248 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0 | 11 | 2.148 | 4.500 | 4369 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0 | 12 | 2.344 | 4.875 | 4733 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0 | 13 | 2.539 | 4.875 | 4733 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0 | 14 | 2.734 | 5.250 | 5097 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0 | 15 | 2.930 | 5.250 | 5097 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0 | 16 | 3.125 | 5.500 | 5340 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0 | 17 | 3.320 | 5.500 | 5340 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0 | 18 | 3.515 | 5.500 | 5340 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0 | 19 | 3.711 | 5.625 | 5461 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0 | 20 | 4.004 | 7.000 | 6835 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0 | 21 | 4.599 | 7.125 | 6957 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0 | 22 | 5.371 | 7.375 | 7201 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0 | 23 | 6.250 | 7.500 | 7323 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0 | 24 | 7.227 | 7.625 | 7445 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0 | 25 | 8.398 | 7.750 | 7567 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0 | 26 | 9.766 | 8.000 | 7811 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0 | 27 | 11.328 | 8.250 | 8055 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0 | 28 | 13.184 | 8.250 | 8037 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0 | 29 | 15.332 | 8.000 | 7794 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0 | 30 | 17.871 | 7.750 | 7550 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0 | 31 | 20.898 | 8.000 | 7794 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0 | 32 | 24.316 | 7.375 | 7185 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 9.3.2.2 LFDR Channel-to-Channel Gain results for 10-dB Gain State

| Temperature | Gain State | LFDR Step | Frequency <br> $(\mathrm{Hz})$ | Average <br> Ch-Ch counts | Cal. Factor <br> counts / Vrms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 10 | 1 | 0.195 | < noise level | 79 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 10 | 2 | 0.390 | 0.6797 | 637 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 10 | 3 | 0.586 | 2.188 | 2049 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 10 | 4 | 0.781 | 3.219 | 3015 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 10 | 5 | 0.977 | 6.063 | 5679 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 10 | 6 | 1.172 | 7.250 | 6792 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 10 | 7 | 1.367 | 9.750 | 9134 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 10 | 8 | 1.563 | 10.38 | 9719 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 10 | 9 | 1.758 | 12.50 | 11710 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 10 | 10 | 1.953 | 12.88 | 12061 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 10 | 11 | 2.148 | 14.63 | 13700 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 10 | 12 | 2.344 | 14.75 | 14360 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 10 | 13 | 2.539 | 16.00 | 15577 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 10 | 14 | 2.734 | 16.00 | 15577 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 10 | 15 | 2.930 | 17.00 | 16551 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 10 | 16 | 3.125 | 17.00 | 16551 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 10 | 17 | 3.320 | 17.50 | 17038 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 10 | 18 | 3.515 | 17.50 | 17038 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 10 | 19 | 3.711 | 18.50 | 18011 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 10 | 20 | 4.004 | 22.00 | 21980 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 10 | 21 | 4.599 | 23.50 | 23479 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 10 | 22 | 5.371 | 23.50 | 23479 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 10 | 23 | 6.250 | 24.50 | 24478 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 10 | 24 | 7.227 | 24.50 | 24478 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 10 | 25 | 8.398 | 25.50 | 25477 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 10 | 26 | 9.766 | 26.00 | 25977 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 10 | 27 | 11.328 | 27.00 | 26976 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 10 | 28 | 13.184 | 27.00 | 26820 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 10 | 29 | 15.332 | 26.50 | 26324 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 10 | 30 | 17.871 | 25.50 | 25330 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 10 | 31 | 20.898 | 26.50 | 26324 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 10 | 32 | 24.316 | 23.75 | 23592 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 9.3.2.3LFDR Channel-to-Channel Gain results for 20-dB Gain State

| Temperature | Gain State | LFDR Step | Frequency <br> $(\mathrm{Hz})$ | Average <br> Ch-Ch counts | Cal. Factor <br> counts / Vrms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 | 1 | 0.195 | <noise level | 89 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 | 2 | 0.390 | 0.7734 | 724 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 | 3 | 0.586 | 1.703 | 1595 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 | 4 | 0.781 | 4.375 | 4098 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 | 5 | 0.977 | 6.250 | 5855 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 | 6 | 1.172 | 10.50 | 9836 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 | 7 | 1.367 | 12.88 | 12061 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 | 8 | 1.563 | 17.50 | 16394 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 | 9 | 1.758 | 19.50 | 18267 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 | 10 | 1.953 | 24.00 | 22482 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 | 11 | 2.148 | 26.00 | 24357 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 | 12 | 2.344 | 30.00 | 29208 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 | 13 | 2.539 | 31.00 | 30181 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 | 14 | 2.734 | 35.00 | 34076 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 | 15 | 2.930 | 36.00 | 35049 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 | 16 | 3.125 | 39.00 | 37970 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 | 17 | 3.320 | 40.00 | 38944 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 | 18 | 3.515 | 43.00 | 41864 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 | 19 | 3.711 | 44.00 | 42838 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 | 20 | 4.004 | 56.00 | 55950 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 | 21 | 4.599 | 60.00 | 59947 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 | 22 | 5.371 | 65.00 | 64943 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 | 23 | 6.250 | 67.50 | 67440 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 | 24 | 7.227 | 72.00 | 71936 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 | 25 | 8.398 | 74.00 | 73934 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 | 26 | 9.766 | 78.00 | 77931 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 | 27 | 11.328 | 82.00 | 81927 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 | 28 | 13.184 | 84.00 | 83441 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 | 29 | 15.332 | 80.00 | 79468 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 | 30 | 17.871 | 80.00 | 79468 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 | 31 | 20.898 | 82.00 | 81454 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 | 32 | 24.316 | 76.00 | 75494 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 9.3.2.4 LFDR Channel-to-Channel Gain results for 30-dB Gain State

| Temperature | Gain State | LFDR Step | Frequency <br> (Hz) | Average Ch-Ch counts | Cal. Factor counts / Vrms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 1 | 0.195 | < noise level | 83 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 2 | 0.390 | 0.7188 | 673 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 3 | 0.586 | 1.719 | 1610 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 4 | 0.781 | 4.250 | 3981 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 5 | 0.977 | 6.125 | 5738 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 6 | 1.172 | 10.50 | 9836 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 7 | 1.367 | 13.00 | 12178 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 8 | 1.563 | 18.00 | 16862 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 9 | 1.758 | 20.75 | 19438 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 10 | 1.953 | 26.00 | 24356 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 11 | 2.148 | 29.00 | 27167 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 12 | 2.344 | 34.00 | 33102 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 13 | 2.539 | 37.00 | 36023 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 14 | 2.734 | 42.00 | 40891 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 15 | 2.930 | 44.00 | 42838 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 16 | 3.125 | 49.00 | 47706 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 17 | 3.320 | 52.00 | 50627 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 18 | 3.515 | 56.00 | 54521 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 19 | 3.711 | 58.50 | 56955 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 20 | 4.004 | 78.00 | 77930 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 21 | 4.599 | 90.00 | 89920 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 22 | 5.371 | 104.0 | 103908 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 23 | 6.250 | 119.0 | 118894 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 24 | 7.227 | 134.0 | 133881 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 25 | 8.398 | 152.0 | 151865 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 26 | 9.766 | 172.0 | 171847 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 27 | 11.328 | 192.0 | 191829 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 28 | 13.184 | 208.0 | 206616 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 29 | 15.332 | 212.0 | 210589 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 30 | 17.871 | 220.0 | 218536 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 31 | 20.898 | 236.0 | 234430 |
| $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 | 32 | 24.316 | 220.0 | 218536 |

### 9.3.3 Filter Bandwidths

In this section detection bandwidth calibration data for the LFDR are presented. There are two ways to evaluate detection bandwidth. One is to measure the frequency response of the filter. A sinusoidal stimulus is swept over the filter passband and the response of the receiver is noted. The other method is to determine the effective bandwidth by noting the receiver response to a white noise stimulus. In the following paragraphs, both methods are discussed and filter bandwidth data are presented for the LFDR filters.

### 9.3.3.1 Filter Frequency Response Curves

The shapes of the LFDR digital filters are shown in Figures 9.3.3.1.1 and 9.3.3.1.2. For these tests, a tone of fixed amplitude ( -60 dB Vrms) was swept over the frequency range from 0.1 Hz to 30 Hz in steps of 0.1 Hz . The stimulus was injected into the Ex dipole inputs. The output of all LFDR steps was measured at each frequency (see Figure 9.3.1.1 for a diagram of the test setup). Tests were run at room temperature only. The results are shown for the $30-\mathrm{dB}$ gain state in Figure 9.3.3.1.1, and for the $0-\mathrm{dB}$ gain state in Figure 9.3.3.1.2. For the LFDR steps consisting of one and only one FFT bin, i.e., those with a center frequency less than 4 Hz , one can note that the overlap agrees quite well with the theoretical prediction for a Hanning window. This theoretical overlap is 6 dB down for adjacent steps. The deviations from this theoretical filter shape are probably due to the high-pass filter roll-off of the gain amplifiers. The higher LFDR steps show sharper roll-off.

Figure 9.3.3.1.1: LFDR Filter Frequency Response at $\mathbf{3 0} \mathbf{d B}$ Gain

Figure 9.3.3.1.2: LFDR Filter Frequency Response at 0 dB Gain

### 9.3.3.2 Effective Bandwidths

Here we determine the effective bandwidth of the LFDR by observing the receiver response to a white noise stimulus. These white noise tests were conducted on June 29, 1996, at room temperature. The stimulus configuration is shown in Figure 9.3.2.2.1. The noise source used was the Hewlett Packard 8057A Precision Noise Generator, which is a pseudo-random noise generator which closely approximates Gaussian amplitude distribution. It is the best noise source for low frequency applications. To produce very low frequency noise, an external clock input of 5 kHz was used. According to the specification, with this configuration the nominal output is 1.563 Vrms (with the 50 ohm load) over a nominal bandwidth of 259.6 Hz . This would give a nominal spectral density of $9.41 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{rms}}{ }^{2} / \mathrm{Hz}$, or $-20.26 \mathrm{dBv} / \mathrm{Hz}^{1 / 2}$. Several measurements were made with the HP3585 Spectrum Analyzer (which can measure as low as 20 Hz ), and a typical measurement is show in Figure 9.3.2.2.2. The spectral density which will be used to determine the noise bandwidths is $-21.5 \mathrm{dBv} / \mathrm{Hz}^{1 / 2}$.

The white noise generator output was run through the low-frequency driver of the GSE and the attenuation stepped from 0 dB to 120 dB in 2 dB increments. At each attenuation 10 measurements of the LFDR data were taken. Simultaneously the Low-Band Waveform Receiver took several measurements for comparison. It is desired that the receiver be operating in the linear region; for this reason attenuations less than 40 dB were discarded, since the receiver is near clipping (with the 30 dB gain state active). Also for LFDR channels 8 through 19, attenuations greater than 80 dB are discarded since this is near noise floor. For the higher channels 20-32 (frequencies at 4 Hz and above), attenuations as high as 94 dB were used in the analysis. For the lowest channels (1-7), the response is very poor, hence the data was not used in the analysis. Since for all the lower channels 1-19 it is the case that one and only one FFT bin is included in the measurement, it follows that their bandwidths are all the same. Therefore one bandwidth was obtained for these channels by averaging the individual bandwidths determined for channels 8 through 19. For the high frequencies, averages of similar channels were used to determine the bandwidth. It is believed that the deviations from the theoretical bandwidths cited in Section 9.2.1 are due to the high-pass filter roll-off in the gain amplifiers.

Over the linear range of interest, an rms average was done using the 10 measurements obtained at each attenuation. Then a least squares linear fit was done on these rms averages to obtain the best fit, knowing that for each 20 dB of attenuation, a corresponding decrease of a factor of ten should occur in the measured LFDR counts. The raw data and least squares fit analysis results are shown in Figures 9.3.3.2.3 through 9.3.3.2.27. Those results are summarized in Table 9.3.3.2. It is recommended that the Average Noise Bandwidth be used in calculating spectral density.


Figure 9.3.3.2.2: HP8535 Spectrum Analyzer Display LFDR White Noise Test


Table 9.3.3.2 LFDR Equivalent Noise Bandwidths.

| LFDR Step Number | LFDR Center Frequency (Hz) | FFT Bin <br> Numbers Included | Measured Noise Bandwidth (Hz) | Average Noise Bandwidth (Hz) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.195 | 1 | --- | 0.2045 |
| 2 | 0.390 | 2 | --- | 0.2045 |
| 3 | 0.586 | 3 | --- | 0.2045 |
| 4 | 0.781 | 4 | --- | 0.2045 |
| 5 | 0.977 | 5 | --- | 0.2045 |
| 6 | 1.172 | 6 | --- | 0.2045 |
| 7 | 1.367 | 7 | --- | 0.2045 |
| 8 | 1.563 | 8 | 0.2075 | 0.2045 |
| 9 | 1.758 | 9 | 0.2154 | 0.2045 |
| 10 | 1.953 | 10 | 0.2085 | 0.2045 |
| 11 | 2.148 | 11 | 0.2072 | 0.2045 |
| 12 | 2.344 | 12 | 0.1982 | 0.2045 |
| 13 | 2.539 | 13 | 0.2026 | 0.2045 |
| 14 | 2.734 | 14 | 0.1767 | 0.2045 |
| 15 | 2.930 | 15 | 0.2114 | 0.2045 |
| 16 | 3.125 | 16 | 0.1984 | 0.2045 |
| 17 | 3.320 | 17 | 0.2193 | 0.2045 |
| 18 | 3.515 | 18 | 0.2040 | 0.2045 |
| 19 | 3.711 | 19 | 0.2044 | 0.2045 |
| 20 | 4.004 | 20-21 | 0.2871 | 0.2871 |
| 21 | 4.590 | 22-25 | 0.5628 | 0.5719 |
| 22 | 5.371 | 26-29 | 0.5809 | 0.5719 |
| 23 | 6.250 | 30-34 | 0.7354 | 0.7373 |
| 24 | 7.227 | 35-39 | 0.7391 | 0.7373 |
| 25 | 8.398 | 40-46 | 1.0520 | 1.0429 |
| 26 | 9.766 | 47-53 | 1.0338 | 1.0429 |
| 27 | 11.328 | 54-62 | 1.3182 | 1.3182 |
| 28 | 13.184 | 63-72 | 1.4466 | 1.4466 |
| 29 | 15.332 | 73-84 | 1.8386 | 1.8386 |
| 30 | 17.871 | 85-98 | 2.0797 | 2.0797 |
| 31 | 20.898 | 99-115 | 2.5488 | 2.5488 |
| 32 | 24.316 | 116-133 | 2.6172 | 2.6172 |



Figure 9.3.3.2.4: LFDR Step 09 Noise Bandwidth

Figure 9.3.3.2.5: LFDR Step 10 Noise Bandwidth


Figure 9.3.3.2.7: LFDR Step 12 Noise Bandwidth

Figure 9.3.3.2.8: LFDR Step 13 Noise Bandwidth

Figure 9.3.3.2.9: LFDR Step 14 Noise Bandwidth


Figure 9.3.3.2.11: LFDR Step 16 Noise Bandwidth



Figure 9.3.3.2.14: LFDR Step 19 Noise Bandwidth



Figure 9.3.3.2.17: LFDR Step 22 Noise Bandwidth

Figure 9.3.3.2.18: LFDR Step 23 Noise Bandwidth



Figure 9.3.3.2.21: LFDR Step 26 Noise Bandwidth



Figure 9.3.3.2.24: LFDR Step 29 Noise Bandwidth




### 9.3.4 LFDR In-Flight Noise Levels

For an estimate of the LFDR noise levels, data was taken both before and after the electric boom deployment on October 10,1997. Those results are presented in this section.

Figure 9.3.4.1 and Table 9.3.4.1 show the pre-deployment noise level for the Ex dipole (red line) and the post-deployment noise level (green line). The shot noise on the antenna is 10 to 20 dB above the receiver noise floor for all frequencies above 1 Hz . For the two LFDR channels below 0.5 Hz , the noise floor of the Ex dipole is not visible above the receiver noise floor, since the receiver noise floor is so high. The interference lines at 8 Hz and 24 Hz are no longer visible after deployment.

Figure 9.3.4.2 and Table 9.3.4.2 show the pre-deployment noise level for the Ez monopole (red line) and the post-deployment noise level (green line). The shot noise on the antenna is 10 to 20 dB above the receiver noise floor for all frequencies. For the two LFDR channels below 0.5 Hz , it is not understood why the receiver noise floor is lower than that of the Ex dipole; it is possible that antenna switching transients caused the elevated noise floors in the Ex dipole data. Again, the interference lines at 8 Hz and 24 Hz are no longer visible after deployment.

Figure 9.3.4.3 and Table 9.3.4.3 show the Bx search coil post-deployment noise level (green line). For the two LFDR channels below 0.5 Hz , the receiver noise floor obscures the Bx sensor noise floor. The interference lines at 8 Hz and 24 Hz are still visible after deployment.

LFDR In-Flight Noise Level, Ex Dipole


Figure 9.3.4.1: LFDR Ex Dipole Noise Levels

Table 9.3.4.1 LFDR In-Flight Noise Level, Ex Dipole

| Frequency <br> $(\mathrm{Hz})$ | Pre-Deploy <br> Noise Level <br> $\mathrm{V}^{2} / \mathrm{Hz}$ | Post-Deploy <br> Noise Level <br> $\mathrm{V}^{2} / \mathrm{Hz}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.195 | $7.637 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $7.677 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| 0.391 | $3.382 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $1.080 \mathrm{e}-05$ |
| 0.586 | $1.033 \mathrm{e}-06$ | $6.387 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| 0.781 | $3.733 \mathrm{e}-07$ | $3.239 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| 0.977 | $4.920 \mathrm{e}-07$ | $3.566 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| 1.172 | $2.166 \mathrm{e}-07$ | $1.474 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| 1.367 | $1.178 \mathrm{e}-07$ | $1.284 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| 1.562 | $8.324 \mathrm{e}-08$ | $1.165 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| 1.758 | $7.642 \mathrm{e}-08$ | $9.439 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| 1.953 | $4.136 \mathrm{e}-08$ | $6.781 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| 2.148 | $5.611 \mathrm{e}-08$ | $6.093 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| 2.344 | $3.326 \mathrm{e}-08$ | $4.818 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| 2.539 | $2.607 \mathrm{e}-08$ | $4.846 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| 2.734 | $1.671 \mathrm{e}-08$ | $4.628 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| 2.930 | $1.692 \mathrm{e}-08$ | $3.960 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| 3.125 | $1.721 \mathrm{e}-08$ | $2.106 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| 3.320 | $1.250 \mathrm{e}-08$ | $2.440 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| 3.516 | $1.012 \mathrm{e}-08$ | $2.542 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| 3.711 | $9.497 \mathrm{e}-09$ | $2.867 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| 4.004 | $5.619 \mathrm{e}-09$ | $1.575 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| 4.590 | $6.259 \mathrm{e}-09$ | $9.601 \mathrm{e}-08$ |
| 5.371 | $3.135 \mathrm{e}-09$ | $9.406 \mathrm{e}-08$ |
| 6.250 | $1.891 \mathrm{e}-09$ | $6.006 \mathrm{e}-08$ |
| 7.227 | $1.217 \mathrm{e}-09$ | $4.377 \mathrm{e}-08$ |
| 8.398 | $3.840 \mathrm{e}-09$ | $3.351 \mathrm{e}-08$ |
| 9.766 | $5.837 \mathrm{e}-10$ | $3.446 \mathrm{e}-08$ |
| 11.328 | $5.051 \mathrm{e}-10$ | $2.597 \mathrm{e}-08$ |
| 13.184 | $2.604 \mathrm{e}-10$ | $1.972 \mathrm{e}-08$ |
| 15.332 | $1.661 \mathrm{e}-10$ | $1.496 \mathrm{e}-08$ |
| 17.871 | $1.334 \mathrm{e}-10$ | $1.335 \mathrm{e}-08$ |
| 20.898 | $9.459 \mathrm{e}-11$ | $9.027 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 24.316 | $1.730 \mathrm{e}-10$ | $8.187 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
|  |  |  |

LFDR In-Flight Noise Level, Ez Monopole


Figure 9.3.4.2: LFDR Ez Monopole Noise Levels

Table 9.3.4.2 LFDR In-Flight Noise Level, Ez Monopole

| Frequency <br> (Hz) | Pre-Deploy <br> Noise Level <br> $\mathrm{V}^{2} / \mathrm{Hz}$ | Post-Deploy <br> Noise Level <br> $\mathrm{V}^{2} / \mathrm{Hz}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.195 | $1.704 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $6.865 \mathrm{e}-03$ |
| 0.391 | $7.871 \mathrm{e}-07$ | $3.498 \mathrm{e}-05$ |
| 0.586 | $1.363 \mathrm{e}-06$ | $1.490 \mathrm{e}-05$ |
| 0.781 | $1.852 \mathrm{e}-06$ | $6.416 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| 0.977 | $1.409 \mathrm{e}-06$ | $9.013 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| 1.172 | $8.874 \mathrm{e}-07$ | $5.647 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| 1.367 | $1.167 \mathrm{e}-06$ | $4.537 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| 1.562 | $5.052 \mathrm{e}-07$ | $2.444 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| 1.758 | $3.958 \mathrm{e}-07$ | $1.980 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| 1.953 | $2.831 \mathrm{e}-07$ | $1.516 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| 2.148 | $2.490 \mathrm{e}-07$ | $1.915 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| 2.344 | $2.326 \mathrm{e}-07$ | $1.626 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| 2.539 | $2.454 \mathrm{e}-07$ | $1.124 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| 2.734 | $8.943 \mathrm{e}-08$ | $6.311 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| 2.930 | $1.105 \mathrm{e}-07$ | $7.011 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| 3.125 | $8.746 \mathrm{e}-08$ | $4.906 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| 3.320 | $4.618 \mathrm{e}-08$ | $4.988 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| 3.516 | $6.550 \mathrm{e}-08$ | $4.349 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| 3.711 | $6.727 \mathrm{e}-08$ | $5.940 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| 4.004 | $3.904 \mathrm{e}-08$ | $3.785 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| 4.590 | $2.574 \mathrm{e}-08$ | $3.014 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| 5.371 | $1.568 \mathrm{e}-08$ | $2.728 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| 6.250 | $9.234 \mathrm{e}-09$ | $1.237 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| 7.227 | $4.867 \mathrm{e}-09$ | $1.093 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| 8.398 | $2.498 \mathrm{e}-08$ | $6.715 \mathrm{e}-08$ |
| 9.766 | $2.374 \mathrm{e}-09$ | $5.138 \mathrm{e}-08$ |
| 11.328 | $1.407 \mathrm{e}-09$ | $4.245 \mathrm{e}-08$ |
| 13.184 | $6.571 \mathrm{e}-10$ | $3.553 \mathrm{e}-08$ |
| 15.332 | $4.154 \mathrm{e}-10$ | $2.567 \mathrm{e}-08$ |
| 17.871 | $2.168 \mathrm{e}-10$ | $1.691 \mathrm{e}-08$ |
| 20.898 | $2.527 \mathrm{e}-10$ | $1.022 \mathrm{e}-08$ |
| 24.316 | $1.216 \mathrm{e}-09$ | $8.941 \mathrm{e}-09$ |

LFDR In-Flight Noise Level, Bx Search Coil


Figure 9.3.4.3: LFDR Bx Search Coil Noise Levels

Table 9.3.4.3 LFDR In-Flight Noise Level, Bx Search Coil

| Frequency <br> (Hz) | In-Flight Noise Level nT ${ }^{2} / \mathrm{Hz}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.195 | $7.555 \mathrm{e}+05$ |
| 0.391 | $2.832 \mathrm{e}+01$ |
| 0.586 | $2.495 \mathrm{e}-01$ |
| 0.781 | $2.170 \mathrm{e}-02$ |
| 0.977 | $1.033 \mathrm{e}-02$ |
| 1.172 | $2.433 \mathrm{e}-03$ |
| 1.367 | $1.159 \mathrm{e}-03$ |
| 1.562 | $6.638 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| 1.758 | $5.354 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| 1.953 | $3.590 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| 2.148 | $3.704 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| 2.344 | $2.524 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| 2.539 | $2.153 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| 2.734 | $1.433 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| 2.930 | $9.530 \mathrm{e}-05$ |
| 3.125 | $6.727 \mathrm{e}-05$ |
| 3.320 | $6.283 \mathrm{e}-05$ |
| 3.516 | $4.823 \mathrm{e}-05$ |
| 3.711 | $5.396 \mathrm{e}-05$ |
| 4.004 | $4.351 \mathrm{e}-05$ |
| 4.590 | $2.815 \mathrm{e}-05$ |
| 5.371 | $1.557 \mathrm{e}-05$ |
| 6.250 | $1.347 \mathrm{e}-05$ |
| 7.227 | 1.195e-05 |
| 8.398 | $6.853 \mathrm{e}-05$ |
| 9.766 | $3.533 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| 11.328 | $2.908 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| 13.184 | $2.059 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| 15.332 | $2.597 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| 17.871 | $1.108 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| 20.898 | $4.400 \mathrm{e}-07$ |
| 24.316 | $2.305 \mathrm{e}-06$ |

### 10.0 Medium Frequency Receiver (MFR)

### 10.1 MFR Subsystem Description

This section of the RPWS Calibration Document augments the hardware overview given in Section 3 by providing a more detailed description of the Medium Frequency Receiver (MFR), a system based on designs flown on the ISEE, DE-1, Galileo, and CRRES spacecraft. The MFR processes signals from the $\mathrm{Bx}, \mathrm{Bz}$, Ex dipole, Ex+ monopole, Ex- monopole, or Ez monopole antennas, and provides electric field and magnetic field amplitude measurements over the frequency range 24 Hz to 12.6 kHz . The receiver design offers moderately good frequency and amplitude resolution, a balance in technical performance consistent with overall RPWS measurement objectives.

### 10.1.1 General MFR Characteristics

The MFR system sweeps over the frequency range 24 Hz to 12.6 kHz , and consists of three frequency bands each 1 octave wide. Designated 1,2 , and 3 , each frequency band is divided into narrow frequency steps which are synthesized using a two-stage frequency conversion scheme. A block diagram of the MFR system is shown in Figure 10.1.1.1.

Each band uses a front end (IF) mixer to up mix the desired frequency of interest to a set frequency IF bandpass filter. A second fixed frequency (baseband) mixer down-converts the IF bandpass filter output to baseband frequencies. This baseband signal is logarithmically compressed, rectified and summed to a $0-5$ volt DC output.

The primary mode of operation for the MFR is the Logarithmic Sweep mode. The Logarithmic Sweep mode provides 80 frequency steps (16 in Band 1, 32 each in Bands 2 and 3) with approximated logarithmic spacing over the frequency range of the receiver..

All 3 bands are driven from a front end buffer whose input is selectable between the Ex dipole, $\mathrm{Ez}, \mathrm{Bx}$, and Bz antennas. The LRP provides all control (antenna select, mixer reference) signals as well as processing the MFR bands 0-5.12 volts DC output signals. Regulated power for the MFR is provided by the RPWS power supply through the WFR.


### 10.1.2 Frequency Bands

The upper frequency limits of the three MFR frequency bands are determined by active filters which receive signals from the sensors and associated differential amplifiers through a filter driver amplifier. Lower frequency limits are imposed by the lower limit of the front end (IF) mixer chopping frequencies. The input filter cutoffs are scaled by a factor of eight relative to each other, which provides a frequency response of three octaves for the entire MFR. The bandpass filters provide rejection of out-of-band signals, which is required by the subsequent front end (IF) mixer stage. The passbands of the input filters are as follows:

| Band \# | $\underline{\text { Bandwidth }}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $24 \mathrm{~Hz}-200 \mathrm{~Hz}$ |
| 2 | $200 \mathrm{~Hz}-1600 \mathrm{~Hz}$ |
| 3 | $1.6 \mathrm{kHz}-12.6 \mathrm{kHz}$ |

### 10.1.3 Frequency Selection

The MFR uses a frequency conversion scheme to synthesize a very narrow detection passband. This narrow band is then stepped by varying the front end (IF) mixer clock signals to shift up the desired signal band into the IF filter passband. Mixed to $\sim 2.5$ times the given band's frequency limit, the signal band is passed through the IF bandpass filter which excludes extraneous components from the subsequent constant-frequency mixing stage.

Band 1, using single sideband topology, employs two separate baseband mixers which combine the IF output signal with conversion frequencies $f$ and $f$ shifted by 90 degrees. This conversion produces a pair of baseband signals with upper and lower sidebands superposed, but with a phase difference of 180 degrees. A quadrature phase shift network then shifts the converted signals by an additional 90 degrees so that when the signals are summed, the upper sideband components add and the lower sideband components cancel. Because of the low frequency range of Band 1, a design using the double sideband detection method would result in an extremely low detection frequency. By using the single sideband detection approach, the detected bandwidth is at a higher frequency allowing faster stepping rates. Unlike Band 1, Bands 2 and 3 do use the double sideband method (due to board space limitations and wider allowable bandwidth).

For all three MFR bands, the baseband signals are then bandpass-filtered and processed by a logarithmic compressor stage that provides a DC analog value proportional to the logarithm of the input signal. The dynamic range of each compressor is in the order of 100 dB with 1 Vrms applied $\approx 5.12 \mathrm{~V}$ DC out. These DC output values are sampled by the LRP.

For each band, the mixing frequencies needed to generate the discrete frequency steps are provided by the frequency control logic (FCL). The MFR uses separate frequency generators for
each band, allowing different stepping rates for the three bands. Because the lower frequency bands require longer time periods to stabilize at a given step before a measurement can be made, different stepping rates are needed in order to maximize the time resolution of measurements provided in each frequency band. Step selection and timing are managed by the LRP via reference clocks to the FCL. Although the MFR has the capability of being locked to a particular frequency step in any of the three bands, the normal manner of operation will be to step at regular intervals over the three frequency ranges as indicated below.

| Mode | Band | Freq. Range | \# Steps | Step Dwell | Sweep Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Log | 1 | 24-200 Hz | 16 | 1 sec | 16 sec |
|  | 2 | $200-1600 \mathrm{~Hz}$ | 32 | 0.5 sec | 16 sec |
|  | 3 | $1.6-12.5 \mathrm{kHz}$ | 32 | 0.25 sec | 8 sec |

The individual front end mixing frequencies for each band are selected to provide a logarithmic distribution of center frequencies. The center frequencies for the Logarithmic Sweep mode is shown in Table 10.1.3.1. Additional aspects of the MFR subsystem are discussed in detail in the following sections.

### 10.1.4 Mixing Signals

The MFR frequency bands are synthesized by a double conversion scheme as described earlier, with variable front-end IF mixers providing the narrow band sweep capabilities of the receiver system, and back-end mixers providing the frequency conversion to baseband. All three bands receive mixer control clocks from the LRP. These signals are level shifted, divided down and phase shifted by the MFR frequency control logic so as to provide proper control signals to the front and rear mixers.

For bands 1 and 2, front-end IF mixing signals are derived directly from a programmable digital divide-by located on the LRP board. The LRP clock signals are level and phase shifted by the MFR FCL. These signals up convert the desired narrow band of interest to a fixed IF bandpass frequency. Band 3 uses the LRP clock signal as a reference clock. This reference is multiplied up to the required Band 3 mixer (IF) frequency using a current controlled oscillator within a phase locked loop (PLL). This is required since direct digital divide by would not provide the frequency resolution required of the receivers step frequency function. The PLL oscillator signal is then level and phase shifted to provide the signal needed by the front end mixer.

All three bands' back-end (baseband) mixers use a fixed frequency set at or near the IF bandpass center frequency. The reference signal is provided by the LRP and is digitally divided and shifted to the appropriate levels on the MFR board.

Table 10.1.3.1
MFR Logarithmic Center Frequencies (Hz)
$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\hline \text { Step } & \text { Band 1 } & \text { Band 2 } & \text { Band 3 } \\ \hline 1 & 23.8910 & 192.1108 & 1536.8867 \\ \hline 2 & 26.2510 & 214.6289 & 1671.8125 \\ \hline 3 & 29.1468 & 225.9814 & 1785.1016 \\ \hline 4 & 32.4228 & 237.3965 & 1899.1719 \\ \hline 5 & 36.2695 & 260.4165 & 2083.3320 \\ \hline 6 & 40.8909 & 272.0229 & 2269.5547 \\ \hline 7 & 46.5109 & 295.4307 & 2316.4336 \\ \hline 8 & 52.8165 & 307.2329 & 2481.5547 \\ \hline 9 & 60.4319 & 331.0381 & 2648.3047 \\ \hline 10 & 69.4643 & 355.1138 & 2816.7148 \\ \hline 11 & 80.0469 & 379.4644 & 3011.2422 \\ \hline 12 & 92.3442 & 404.0947 & 3208.0039 \\ \hline 13 & 107.2421 & 429.0103 & 3432.0820 \\ \hline 14 & 124.3865 & 454.2153 & 3659.0898 \\ \hline 15 & 144.8837 & 492.5771 & 3992.2891 \\ \hline 16 & 169.0493 & 518.5288 & 4279.2383 \\ \hline 17 & & 558.0356 & 4651.2734 \\ \hline 18 & & 598.2544 & 4813.1094 \\ \hline 19 & & 639.2046 & 5086.1094 \\ \hline 20 & & 680.9058 & 5419.2148 \\ \hline 21 & & 723.3794 & 5758.4805 \\ \hline 22 & & 766.6470 & 6162.3164 \\ \hline 23 & & 825.6113 & 6575.0586 \\ \hline 24 & & 870.8198 & 7027.5117 \\ \hline 25 & & 932.4595 & 7490.9219 \\ \hline 26 & & 995.7109 & 7997.7500 \\ \hline 27 & & 1060.6372 & 8518.0195 \\ \hline 28 & & 1144.2549 & 9120.0586 \\ \hline 29 & & 1213.2041 & 9705.6328 \\ \hline 30 & & 1302.0835 & 10165.0117 \\ \hline 31 & & 1375.4399 & 11078.0430 \\ \hline 32 & & & 1470.0942\end{array}\right)$

### 10.1.5 Control Functions

Control functions are provided by the LRP board. The LRP provides the MFR with all variable front end mixing signals, fixed back end mixing signals, antenna selects, and accepts the MFR DC outputs. The LRP controls step and timing selection for each individual band. The variable conversion frequencies are generated when the LRP loads the appropriate control codes into a counter timer interrupt chip (an 82C54 located on the LRP). These outputs are used as the reference frequencies of the MFR FCL. Band 3 uses one of the timer outputs as a phase-locked loop (PLL) reference and multiplies up this reference for use as the front end mixer clock. Bands 1 and 2 use the remaining two outputs for inputs to their level and phase shifters that subsequently provide the mixing signals for each of their respective front end (IF) mixers. The LRP supplies a 125 kHz digital level signal for the MFR logic to generate the fixed back end (baseband) mixer signals. The LRP provides a two-bit antenna select signal and MFR data ( 0 to 5 volts) is accepted into the LRP by an A\D converter channel.

### 10.1.6 Antenna Selection

The MFR system is designed to process signals from either the Ex dipole, Ez monopole, Bx searchcoil, or the Bz searchcoil antenna providing information regarding wave amplitude. The sensors to be used are connected to the MFR inputs via analog switches which are controlled by the LRP in response to spacecraft commands. The following antennas may be selectively switched to the MFR receiver inputs:

| MFRANT1 | MFRANT0 | Selected Antenna |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | Ex (dipole) * |
| 0 | 1 | Ez (monopole) |
| 1 | 0 | Bx (search coil) |
| 1 | 1 | Bz (search coil) |

[^0]
### 10.1.7 Power

The instrument power supply provides the MFR with five regulated supply voltages. The supply voltages and expected average current loads are as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
+12 \mathrm{~V} & 13.85 \mathrm{~mA} \\
+6 \mathrm{~V} & 25.9 \mathrm{~mA} \\
+5 \mathrm{~V} & 8.68 \mathrm{~mA} \\
-6 \mathrm{~V} & 23.0 \mathrm{~mA} \\
-12 \mathrm{~V} & 9.9 \mathrm{~mA}
\end{array}
$$

The total power requirement for the MFR is approximately 628 mW .

### 10.1.8 Data Products

The MFR provides one DC voltage output per frequency band for a total of 3 analog values. The analog parameters are scaled from 0 to 5.12 V , which corresponds to a $\sim 100 \mathrm{~dB}$ dynamic range for measured signal amplitude. The lines carrying these voltages are sampled by the LRP at a rate determined by LRP software.

### 10.2.1 Conversion of Data Numbers to Science Units

This section describes the procedure for obtaining a calibrated data value from a MFR raw measurement.

1) First the voltage lookup tables in Section 10.3 .1 should be used to convert the data number DN to a voltage represented by the symbol Vtable. Select the appropriate table corresponding to the band and step of interest. These tables are the conversions between the DN out of the instrument and the voltage difference between the Ex+ and Ex- antennas.
2) Once the voltage Vtable is found, the sensor-dependent conversion factor must be used to adjust the voltage. The voltage difference between the Ex+ antenna and the Ex- antenna is given the symbol VDEx. Since the tables in Section 10.3.1 are for the voltage difference between Ex+ and Ex- no conversion is necessary for VDEx. VDEx is equal to Vtable. The voltage between the Ex+ antenna and spacecraft ground is given the symbol VEx+. The voltage between the Ex- antenna and spacecraft ground is given the symbol VEx-. The voltage between the Ez antenna and spacecraft ground is given the symbol VEz. The voltage out of the Bx magnetic preamp is given the symbol VBxpa. The voltage out of the Bz magnetic preamp is given the symbol VBzpa. Figure 10.2.1.1 shows the location of each of these voltages.

The conversion factors and their symbols are listed below. The conversion factors convert the Vtable values to the voltage at the various sensors.

| Symbol | Factor |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CF+ex | 1.0 | Conversion factor for a monopole using the Ex+ antenna |
| CF-ex | 1.0 | Conversion factor for a monopole using the Ex- antenna |
| CFez | 1.0 | Conversion factor for the Ez antenna |
| CFbx | 24.0 | Conversion factor for the Bx Search Coil |
| CFbz | 24.0 | Conversion factor for the Bz Search Coil |

The formulas for converting the Vtable value to the sensor input voltage are shown below.

| VDEx | $=$ | Vtable (units are volts rms. $)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VEx + | $=$ | $($ CF+ex $) *($ Vtable $)$ |
| VEx- | $=$ | $(\mathrm{CF}-\mathrm{ex}) *($ Vtable $)$ |
| VEz | $=$ | $(\mathrm{CFez}) *($ Vtable $)$ |
| Vbxpa | $=$ | $(\mathrm{CFbx}) *($ Vtable $)$ |
| Vbzpa | $=$ | $(\mathrm{CFbz}) *($ Vtable $)$ |

For the electric sensors there may be frequency dependent adjustments necessary because of the interaction of the antenna with the plasma, but these are dependent upon the plasma impedance. If the user wishes to adjust for these effects, see Section 6.0.

3) The voltages at the outputs of the Search Coil preamplifiers must now be converted to nanotesla. Table 10.2.1.1 contains the conversion factors for the Bx Search Coil and Figure 10.2.1.2 presents this data graphically. This conversion factor is frequency dependent and the value corresponding to the step of interest should be chosen. This conversion factor is given the symbol of CBxnt. The units of this factor are V/nT. Table 10.2.1.2 and Figure 10.2.1.3 present the conversion factors for the Bz Search Coil in tabular and graphical form. The conversion factor for the Bz Search Coil is given the symbol CBznt. It also has units of V/nT. To convert from voltage at the output of the Bx Search Coil preamplifier VBxpa must be divided by CBxnt. Likewise the voltage output of the Bz Search Coil must be divided by CBznt. This is shown in the following equations.

| NTBx | $=$ | Vbxpa $/$ CBxnt |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NTBz | $=$ | Vbzpa $/$ CBznt |$\quad$ (units are nT rms.)

NTBx is the field at the Bx Search Coil and NTBz is the field at the Bz Search Coil. At this point, we have meaningful physical units. For the electric antennas we have the voltage measured at the antenna elements. For the magnetic sensors we have the magnetic field in nanotesla at the sensors. Next the magnitude of the electric field for the electric antennas can be obtained. The voltages at the antennas are divided by the effective antenna length. This produces units of volts per meter. Here the effective lengths are defined as the physical distances between the geometric centers of the antennas for the Ex and the geometric center for the Ez antenna. The effective length for the Ex+ to Ex- dipole antenna configuration is given the symbol Exdelta. The Ex+ monopole effective length is given the symbol L+Ex. The Ex- monopole is given the symbol L-Ex. The Ez monopole is given the symbol LEz. See Section 6.3 for a more detailed discussion of the effective length of the antennas.

Effective Antenna Length in Meters for various mode configurations

| Antenna | Effective Length(in meters) |  | Configuration |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 8.66 |  | dipole |
| L+Ex | 5.0 | monopole |  |
| L-Ex | 5.0 | monopole |  |
| LEz | 5.0 | monopole |  |

The electric antenna field on the Ex dipole is represented by VMExdelta, the electric field on the Ex+ monopole by VM+Ex, the electric field on the Ex- monopole by VM-Ex and the electric field on the Ez monopole by VMEz. The following equations show the method for
calculating the electric antenna field on the antenna in volts per meter. These equations do not include effects due to the stray capacitive divider effects between the antennas and the spacecraft.

| VMExdelta | $=$ | $(\mathrm{VDEx}) /(\mathrm{LExdelta})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{VM}+E x$ | $=$ | $(\mathrm{VEx}+) /(\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{Ex})$ |
| $\mathrm{VM}-\mathrm{Ex}$ | $=$ | $(\mathrm{VEx}-) /(\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{Ex})$ |
| VMEz | $=$ | $(\mathrm{VEz}) /(\mathrm{LEz})$ |

4) To obtain spectral density one must square the value from Step 3 and divide by the effective bandwidth EBW. The effective bandwidth depends upon which MFR Band (1 through 3) is being used (See Section 10.3.3).

## Effective Bandwidth in Hz

MFR Band 15

MFR Band 219.4
MFR Band 3139

The spectral density of the signal on the Ex dipole antenna is represented by Exdeltasd, the Ex + monopole antenna by +Exsd and the Ex- antenna by -Exsd. The following equations show the method for calculating the electric antenna spectral density on the antennas in volts rms squared per hertz.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { Exdeltasd } & = & (\mathrm{VMExdelta})^{2} / \mathrm{EBW} \\
\text { +Exsd } & = & (\mathrm{VM}+\mathrm{Ex})^{2} / \mathrm{EBW} \\
\text {-Exsd } & = & (\mathrm{VM}-\mathrm{Ex})^{2} / \mathrm{EBW} \\
\text { Ezsd } & = & (\mathrm{VMEz})^{2} / \mathrm{EBW}
\end{array}
$$

The spectral density of the signal from the Bx sensor is represented by Bxd. The spectral density of the signal from the Bz sensor is represented by Bzd. The following equations show the method for calculating the magnetic spectral density at the magnetic sensors in nanotesla squared per hertz.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Bxd } & =\mathrm{NTBx}^{2} / \mathrm{EBW} \\
\text { Bzd } & =\mathrm{NTBz}^{2} / \mathrm{EBW}
\end{array}
$$
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Table 10.2.1.1
Conversion Table for Cassini Search Coil Bx (MFR)

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { MFR } \\ & \text { Band } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { MFR } \\ & \text { Step } \end{aligned}$ | Center Freq. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CFbx } \\ & \text { V/nT } \end{aligned}$ | MFR <br> Band | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { MFR } \\ & \text { Step } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Center Freq. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CFbx } \\ & \text { V/nT } \end{aligned}$ | MFR <br> Band | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { MFR } \\ & \text { Step } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Center Freq. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CFbx } \\ & \text { V/nT } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 23.89 | 0.0922 | 2 | 1 | 192.11 | 0.1505 | 3 | 1 | 1536.89 | 0.1466 |
| 1 | 2 | 26.25 | 0.0973 | 2 | 2 | 214.63 | 0.1506 | 3 | 2 | 1671.81 | 0.1464 |
| 1 | 3 | 29.15 | 0.1030 | 2 | 3 | 225.98 | 0.1505 | 3 | 3 | 1785.10 | 0.1463 |
| 1 | 4 | 32.42 | 0.1088 | 2 | 4 | 237.40 | 0.1504 | 3 | 4 | 1899.17 | 0.1462 |
| 1 | 5 | 36.27 | 0.1149 | 2 | 5 | 260.42 | 0.1503 | 3 | 5 | 2083.33 | 0.1459 |
| 1 | 6 | 40.89 | 0.1215 | 2 | 6 | 272.02 | 0.1502 | 3 | 6 | 2269.55 | 0.1456 |
| 1 | 7 | 46.51 | 0.1285 | 2 | 7 | 295.43 | 0.1501 | 3 | 7 | 2316.43 | 0.1455 |
| 1 | 8 | 52.82 | 0.1340 | 2 | 8 | 307.23 | 0.1500 | 3 | 8 | 2481.55 | 0.1452 |
| 1 | 9 | 60.43 | 0.1379 | 2 | 9 | 331.04 | 0.1499 | 3 | 9 | 2648.30 | 0.1450 |
| 1 | 10 | 69.46 | 0.1420 | 2 | 10 | 355.11 | 0.1498 | 3 | 10 | 2816.71 | 0.1447 |
| 1 | 11 | 80.05 | 0.1444 | 2 | 11 | 379.46 | 0.1497 | 3 | 11 | 3011.24 | 0.1445 |
| 1 | 12 | 92.34 | 0.1468 | 2 | 12 | 404.09 | 0.1496 | 3 | 12 | 3208.00 | 0.1443 |
| 1 | 13 | 107.24 | 0.1483 | 2 | 13 | 429.01 | 0.1495 | 3 | 13 | 3432.08 | 0.1440 |
| 1 | 14 | 124.39 | 0.1489 | 2 | 14 | 454.22 | 0.1494 | 3 | 14 | 3659.09 | 0.1437 |
| 1 | 15 | 144.88 | 0.1495 | 2 | 15 | 492.58 | 0.1493 | 3 | 15 | 3992.29 | 0.1434 |
| 1 | 16 | 169.05 | 0.1500 | 2 | 16 | 518.53 | 0.1492 | 3 | 16 | 4279.24 | 0.1432 |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | 17 | 558.04 | 0.1490 | 3 | 17 | 4651.27 | 0.1428 |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | 18 | 598.25 | 0.1488 | 3 | 18 | 4813.11 | 0.1427 |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | 19 | 639.20 | 0.1487 | 3 | 19 | 5086.11 | 0.1424 |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | 20 | 680.91 | 0.1485 | 3 | 20 | 5419.21 | 0.1419 |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | 21 | 723.38 | 0.1483 | 3 | 21 | 5758.48 | 0.1415 |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | 22 | 766.65 | 0.1482 | 3 | 22 | 6162.32 | 0.1409 |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | 23 | 825.61 | 0.1479 | 3 | 23 | 6575.06 | 0.1404 |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | 24 | 870.82 | 0.1478 | 3 | 24 | 7027.51 | 0.1399 |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | 25 | 932.46 | 0.1476 | 3 | 25 | 7490.92 | 0.1388 |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | 26 | 995.71 | 0.1474 | 3 | 26 | 7997.75 | 0.1377 |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | 27 | 1060.64 | 0.1473 | 3 | 27 | 8518.02 | 0.1366 |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | 28 | 1144.25 | 0.1471 | 3 | 28 | 9120.06 | 0.1354 |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | 29 | 1213.20 | 0.1470 | 3 | 29 | 9705.63 | 0.1343 |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | 30 | 1302.08 | 0.1469 | 3 | 30 | 10165.01 | 0.1325 |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | 31 | 1375.44 | 0.1468 | 3 | 31 | 11078.04 | 0.1255 |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | 32 | 1470.09 | 0.1466 | 3 | 32 | 11799.33 | 0.1204 |



Table 10.2.1.2
Conversion Table for Cassini Search Coil Bz (MFR)

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { MFR } \\ & \text { Band } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { MFR } \\ & \text { Step } \end{aligned}$ | Center Freq. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CFbx } \\ & \text { V/nT } \end{aligned}$ | MFR <br> Band | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { MFR } \\ & \text { Step } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Center Freq. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CFbx } \\ & \text { V/nT } \end{aligned}$ | MFR <br> Band | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { MFR } \\ & \text { Step } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Center Freq. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CFbx } \\ & \text { V/nT } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 23.89 | 0.0914 | 2 | 1 | 192.11 | 0.1495 | 3 | 1 | 1536.89 | 0.1455 |
| 1 | 2 | 26.25 | 0.0965 | 2 | 2 | 214.63 | 0.1495 | 3 | 2 | 1671.81 | 0.1453 |
| 1 | 3 | 29.15 | 0.1021 | 2 | 3 | 225.98 | 0.1494 | 3 | 3 | 1785.10 | 0.1452 |
| 1 | 4 | 32.42 | 0.1079 | 2 | 4 | 237.40 | 0.1493 | 3 | 4 | 1899.17 | 0.1450 |
| 1 | 5 | 36.27 | 0.1139 | 2 | 5 | 260.42 | 0.1492 | 3 | 5 | 2083.33 | 0.1447 |
| 1 | 6 | 40.89 | 0.1204 | 2 | 6 | 272.02 | 0.1491 | 3 | 6 | 2269.55 | 0.1443 |
| 1 | 7 | 46.51 | 0.1273 | 2 | 7 | 295.43 | 0.1490 | 3 | 7 | 2316.43 | 0.1442 |
| 1 | 8 | 52.82 | 0.1329 | 2 | 8 | 307.23 | 0.1489 | 3 | 8 | 2481.55 | 0.1439 |
| 1 | 9 | 60.43 | 0.1369 | 2 | 9 | 331.04 | 0.1488 | 3 | 9 | 2648.30 | 0.1436 |
| 1 | 10 | 69.46 | 0.1410 | 2 | 10 | 355.11 | 0.1487 | 3 | 10 | 2816.71 | 0.1433 |
| 1 | 11 | 80.05 | 0.1434 | 2 | 11 | 379.46 | 0.1485 | 3 | 11 | 3011.24 | 0.1430 |
| 1 | 12 | 92.34 | 0.1458 | 2 | 12 | 404.09 | 0.1484 | 3 | 12 | 3208.00 | 0.1428 |
| 1 | 13 | 107.24 | 0.1473 | 2 | 13 | 429.01 | 0.1483 | 3 | 13 | 3432.08 | 0.1425 |
| 1 | 14 | 124.39 | 0.1479 | 2 | 14 | 454.22 | 0.1482 | 3 | 14 | 3659.09 | 0.1422 |
| 1 | 15 | 144.88 | 0.1484 | 2 | 15 | 492.58 | 0.1481 | 3 | 15 | 3992.29 | 0.1418 |
| 1 | 16 | 169.05 | 0.1490 | 2 | 16 | 518.53 | 0.1480 | 3 | 16 | 4279.24 | 0.1415 |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | 17 | 558.04 | 0.1478 | 3 | 17 | 4651.27 | 0.1411 |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | 18 | 598.25 | 0.1476 | 3 | 18 | 4813.11 | 0.1409 |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | 19 | 639.20 | 0.1475 | 3 | 19 | 5086.11 | 0.1406 |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | 20 | 680.91 | 0.1473 | 3 | 20 | 5419.21 | 0.1400 |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | 21 | 723.38 | 0.1472 | 3 | 21 | 5758.48 | 0.1394 |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | 22 | 766.65 | 0.1471 | 3 | 22 | 6162.32 | 0.1387 |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | 23 | 825.61 | 0.1469 | 3 | 23 | 6575.06 | 0.1380 |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | 24 | 870.82 | 0.1468 | 3 | 24 | 7027.51 | 0.1373 |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | 25 | 932.46 | 0.1467 | 3 | 25 | 7490.92 | 0.1356 |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | 26 | 995.71 | 0.1466 | 3 | 26 | 7997.75 | 0.1338 |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | 27 | 1060.64 | 0.1464 | 3 | 27 | 8518.02 | 0.1321 |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | 28 | 1144.25 | 0.1462 | 3 | 28 | 9120.06 | 0.1303 |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | 29 | 1213.20 | 0.1461 | 3 | 29 | 9705.63 | 0.1286 |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | 30 | 1302.08 | 0.1459 | 3 | 30 | 10165.01 | 0.1266 |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | 31 | 1375.44 | 0.1458 | 3 | 31 | 11078.04 | 0.1203 |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | 32 | 1470.09 | 0.1456 | 3 | 32 | 11799.33 | 0.1156 |

### 10.2.2 Examples of Conversions from Data Numbers to Science Units

Three examples of conversions from data numbers to science units will be performed. Examples will include the Ex+ dipole electric antennas, the Ex+ monopole electric antenna and the Bx Search Coil as sensor inputs.

1) The first example will use the Ex+ dipole as the sensor input. For this example a data number of 97 for MFR Band 3 Step 18 will be converted to $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{rms}}{ }^{2} /\left(\right.$ meter $\left.^{2} * \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$. The first step is to use the look up tables in Section 10.3.1 and find the table for MFR Band 3 Step 18. In this table the value of $2.7967 \mathrm{E}-05 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{rms}}$ corresponds to a data number of 97 . Therefore Vtable is $2.7967 \mathrm{E}-05 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{rms}}$. Since the tables were produced from Ex dipole calibration tests VDEx is equal to Vtable. Next VDEx is divided by the effective length of the Ex dipole antenna (Lexdelta) to obtain the electric field, VMExdelta, in $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{rms}} /$ meter (see Section 10.2.1, Step 3).

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { VMExdelta } & =(\text { VDEx }) /(\text { Lexdelta }) \\
& =2.7967 \mathrm{E}-05 \mathrm{~V}_{\text {rms }} / 8.66 \text { meters } \\
& =3.2294 \mathrm{E}-06 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{rms}} / \text { meter }
\end{aligned}
$$

VMExdelta is now squared and divided by the effective bandwidth of MFR Band 3 of 139 Hz to obtain the spectral density of the signal on the Ex dipole antenna (see Section 10.2.1, Step 4).

Spectral density on the Ex dipole $=$ Exdelta $=(\text { VMExdelta })^{2} / \mathrm{EBW}_{\text {Band } 3}$ $=\quad\left(3.2294 \mathrm{E}-06 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{rms}} / \text { meter }\right)^{2} / 139 \mathrm{~Hz}$ $=\quad 7.5029 \mathrm{E}-14 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{rms}}^{2} /\left(\right.$ meters $\left.^{2} * \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$
2) The next example uses the Ex+ monopole electrical antenna as the sensor input. For this example MFR Band 2 Step 7 with a data number of 140 was chosen. The first step is to use the MFR Band 2 Step 7 look up table in Section 10.3.1. A data number of 140 corresponds to $1.3573 \mathrm{E}-04 \mathrm{~V}_{\text {rms }}$. Referring to Step 2 of Section 10.2.1 Vtable is multiplied by (CF+ex) to give the voltage on the Ex+ monopole antenna.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{VEx}+ & =(\mathrm{CF}+\mathrm{ex}) * \text { Vtable } \\
& =1.0 * 1.3573 \mathrm{e}-04 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{rms}} \\
& =1.3573 \mathrm{E}-04 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{rms}}
\end{aligned}
$$

VEx+ is now divided by the effective length of the Ex+ monopole ( $\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{Ex}$ ) which is 5.0 meters (see Section 10.2.1, Step 3).

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\mathrm{VM}+\mathrm{Ex}) & =(\mathrm{VEx}+) /(\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{Ex})=1.3573 \mathrm{E}-04 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{rms}} / 5.0 \text { meters } \\
& =2.7146 \mathrm{E}-05 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{rms}} / \text { meter }
\end{aligned}
$$

VM+Ex is now squared and divided by the effective bandwidth of MFR Band 2 of 19.4 Hz to obtain the spectral density of the signal on the Ex+ monopole antenna (see Section 10.2.1, Step 4).

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Spectral density on the Ex }+ \text { monopole } & =+ \text { Exsd }=(\mathrm{VM}+\mathrm{Ex})^{2} / \mathrm{EBW} \\
& =(2.7146 \mathrm{E}-05)^{2} / 19.4 \mathrm{~Hz} \\
& =3.785 \mathrm{E}-11 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{rms}} /\left(\text { meter }^{2} * \mathrm{~Hz}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

3) The last example will use the Bx Search Coil as the sensor input. For this example MFR Band 1 Step 12 with a data number of 125 was chosen. The first step is to use the MFR Band 1 Step 12 look up table in Section 10.3.1. A data number of 125 corresponds to $1.7153 \mathrm{E}-04$ $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{rms}}$. Referring to Step 2 of Section 10.2.1, Vtable is multiplied by CFbx to give the voltage, Vbxpa, at the output of the Bx Search Coil.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Vbxpa } & =(\mathrm{CFbx}) *(\text { Vtable })=24.0 * 1.7153 \mathrm{E}-04 \\
& =4.1167 \mathrm{E}-03 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{rms}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Next the voltage at the output of the Bx Search Coil is converted to nT by dividing it by the conversion factor CBxnt in Table 10.2.1.1 for MFR Band 1 Step 12 (see Section 10.2.1, Step $3)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { NTBx } & = \\
& =\quad \text { Vbxpa } / \text { Cbxnt } \\
& =2.1167 \mathrm{E}-03 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{rms}} / 0.1468 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{nT} \\
& 2.8043 \mathrm{E}-02 \mathrm{nT}_{\mathrm{rms}}
\end{aligned}
$$

NTBx is now squared and divided by the effective bandwidth of MFR Band 1 of 5.6 Hz to obtain the spectral density of the magnetic field at the Bx Search Coil (see Section 10.2.1, Step 4).

Spectral density at the Bx Search Coil $=$ Bxd
$=\quad(\mathrm{NTBx})^{2} / \mathrm{EBW}_{\text {Band } 1}$
$=\quad(2.8043 \mathrm{E}-02 \mathrm{nT})^{2} / 5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$
$=\quad 5.077 \mathrm{E}-03 \mathrm{nT}_{\mathrm{rms}}{ }^{2} / \mathrm{Hz}$

### 10.3.1 Amplitude Calibration (Input Voltage Versus Output Data Numbers)

This section contains the amplitude calibration of the MFR steps. The tables in this section contain the relationships between the 8 -bit data numbers at the output of the log compressors and the voltage at the Ex preamplifier inputs, VDEx. Differential signals of known amplitude are applied to the Ex+ and Ex- preamplifier inputs. The drive frequency is a step near the middle of each of the three MFR bands. The steps used for the MFR bands are MFR Band 1 Step 8, MFR Band 2 Step 16 and MFR Band 3 Step 10. An adjustable attenuator and a balancing transformer with a $1: 1$ turns ratio are placed between the oscillator and the Ex+ and Expreamplifier inputs. The stimulus amplitude is adjusted in 2 dB steps from 0 dBv (dB relative to 1 V-rms.) to -140 dBv . The oscillator level is switched from $1 \mathrm{~V}-\mathrm{rms}$. to $0.01 \mathrm{~V}-\mathrm{rms}$. when the output of the stimulus is stepped from to -118 dBv to -120 dBv . The stimulus set up for the amplitude calibration is shown in Figure 10.3.1.1.

All compressors have some degree of ripple in their amplitude response and averaging is necessary. Four or more measurements are taken for each amplitude setting and these are averaged arithmetically. The averaged output data numbers are then plotted as a function of dBv and linear fits between points are used in order to associate an input voltage with each possible data number from 0 to 255 .

A correction is made to each curve to take into account that the signal input to the log compressor actually consists of both the applied signal and the noise floor. This noise is made up of preamplifier noise, noise external to the instrument, and the receiver noise. This correction may be expressed as follows:

$$
\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{t})^{2}=\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{n})^{2}+\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{~s})^{2} \quad \text { Equation 10.3.1.1 }
$$

$\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{t})$ is the total signal at the input to the compressor. $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{n})$ is the background noise and $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{s})$ is the input signal. For most of the compressors, the noise level in the system is such that none of the compressor stages are saturated with no input signal present and a straight line fit provides a value for $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{n})$. Calibrations are run in 2 dB steps below -100 dBv for each step. From this data steps are chosen such that they are not saturating the compressor.

The result of the above process, for each MFR step, is a look up table relating input voltage to the output data number. For amplitudes higher than the saturation level of the compressor, the lookup tables are obtained by adjusting the center step 2 dB calibrations up or down using the Channel-to-Channel gain results (see Section 10.3.2). For lower amplitudes, the least squares linear fit is performed for each step. The results are presented in tabular form and in graphical form for each step, and are shown in the MFR Appendix.
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### 10.3.2 Channel-to-Channel Gains

The sensitivity of the MFR varies from step to step across the band. Variations of insertion losses and roll-off within MFR bands affect the calibrations of the steps of that band. The channel to channel gains were performed by stimulating the MFR with random noise at a fixed amplitude. If, for instance, a channel has a gain of +1 dB relative to a reference channel, then the input signal of that channel is 1 dB less than the reference channel input for the same output. The relative variations in random noise amplitude response were used to correct the sine wave look-up tables in Section 10.3.1. MFR Band 1 Step 8, MFR Band 2 Step 16 and MFR Band 3 Step 10 were used as the reference channels for this technique. This method adjusts for differences in the logarithmic section of the curves. The lower ends of the tables are filled in using the linear fit technique described in Section 10.3.1. The stimulus setup for the channel-to-channel gain test is shown in Figure 10.3.2.1.

The channel to channel gain test was performed using a random noise input signal. The random noise test data has interference at 60 Hz and at the $3^{\text {rd }}, 5^{\text {th }}$ and $7^{\text {th }}$ harmonics of 60 Hz . This interference has been corrected for by interpolating or extrapolating from adjacent channels. The effective bandwidth calculations in Section 10.3.3 provide the correction factor between the random noise input and the sine wave input. This is because a known spectral density random noise input is used to calculate the effective bandwidth. Refer to Section 10.3.3 for details. The corrected and uncorrected channel-to-channel gains for the MFR are presented in tabular and graphical formats in Tables 10.3.2.1 and 10.3.2.2 and Figures 10.3.2.2 and 10.3.2.3.



Table 10.3.2.2 MFR Corrected Chan-Chan Gain Data

| Frequency <br> $(H z)$ | Output <br> $(D N)$ | Frequency <br> $($ Hz $)$ | Output <br> $(D N)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2.3400 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.3998 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $9.4050 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8429 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $2.5800 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.4118 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.0037 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8439 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $2.8600 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.4189 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.0686 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8514 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $3.1900 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.4281 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.1522 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8517 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $3.5800 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.4289 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.2212 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8457 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $4.0900 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.4537 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.3101 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8538 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $4.6000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.4589 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.3834 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8397 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $5.2300 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.4657 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.4781 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8100 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $5.9900 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.4749 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5819 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9484 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $6.9000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.4860 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.7168 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9510 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $7.9500 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.4821 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8301 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9550 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $9.1800 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.4835 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.9442 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9591 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $1.0670 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5167 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.1283 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9673 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $1.2390 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5406 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.3146 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9752 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $1.4440 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5350 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.3614 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9778 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $1.6850 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5445 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.5266 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9840 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $2.0010 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8500 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.6933 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9923 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $2.2260 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8498 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.8617 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9913 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $2.3390 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8434 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.0562 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9994 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $2.4540 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8296 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.2530 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9935 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $2.6840 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8263 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.4771 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9986 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $2.8000 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8251 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.7041 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0023 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $3.0340 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8267 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $4.0373 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0037 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $3.1520 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8274 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $4.3242 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0067 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $3.3900 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8290 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $4.6963 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0140 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $3.6310 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8294 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $4.8581 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0140 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $3.8740 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8203 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $5.1311 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0159 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $4.1200 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8225 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $5.4642 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0181 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $4.3700 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8184 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $5.8035 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0257 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $4.6220 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8143 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $6.2073 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0254 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $5.0050 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8256 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $6.6201 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0286 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $5.2650 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8275 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $7.0725 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0307 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $5.6600 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8253 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $7.5359 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0326 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $6.0630 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8361 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $8.0427 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0357 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $6.4720 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8364 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $8.5630 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0338 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $6.8890 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8355 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $9.1651 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0396 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $7.3180 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8302 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $9.7506 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0340 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $7.7460 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8319 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.0210 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0402 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $8.3360 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8476 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.1123 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0307 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $8.7880 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8496 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.1844 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0164 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |



Table 10.3.2.1 MFR Uncorrected Chan-Chan Gain Data

| Frequency <br> $(H z)$ | Output <br> $(D N)$ | Frequency <br> $(\mathrm{Hz})$ | Output <br> $(\mathrm{DN})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2.3400 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.3998 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $9.4050 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8429 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $2.5800 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.4118 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.0037 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8439 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $2.8600 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.4189 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.0686 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8514 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $3.1900 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.4281 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.1522 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8517 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $3.5800 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.4289 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.2212 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8457 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $4.0900 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.4537 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.3101 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8538 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $4.6000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.4589 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.3834 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8397 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $5.2300 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.5145 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.4781 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.8100 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $5.9900 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.6401 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5819 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9484 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $6.9000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.4860 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.7168 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9510 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $7.9500 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.4821 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8301 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9550 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $9.1800 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.4835 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.9442 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9591 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $1.0670 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5167 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.1283 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9673 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $1.2390 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5406 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.3146 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9752 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $1.4440 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5350 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.3614 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9778 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $1.6850 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.5445 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.5266 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9840 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $2.0010 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.9767 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.6933 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9923 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $2.2260 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8498 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.8617 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9913 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $2.3390 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8434 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.0562 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9994 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $2.4540 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8296 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.2530 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9935 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $2.6840 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8263 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.4771 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.9986 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $2.8000 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8251 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.7041 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0023 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $3.0340 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8490 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $4.0373 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0037 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $3.1520 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8684 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $4.3242 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0067 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $3.3900 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8290 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $4.6963 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0140 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $3.6310 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8294 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $4.8581 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0140 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $3.8740 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8203 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $5.1311 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0159 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $4.1200 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8225 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $5.4642 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0181 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $4.3700 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8465 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $5.8035 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0257 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $4.6220 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8143 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $6.2073 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0254 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $5.0050 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8256 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $6.6201 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0286 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $5.2650 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8275 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $7.0725 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0307 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $5.6600 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8253 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $7.5359 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0326 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $6.0630 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8361 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $8.0427 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0357 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $6.4720 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8364 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $8.5630 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0338 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $6.8890 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8355 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $9.1651 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0396 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $7.3180 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8302 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $9.7506 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.0340 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $7.7460 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8319 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.0210 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0402 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $8.3360 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8476 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.1123 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0307 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| $8.7880 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.8496 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.1844 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0164 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
|  |  |  |  |

### 10.3.3 Effective Noise Bandwidth

In this section the detection bandwidth calibration data for the MFR is presented. There are two ways to evaluate detection bandwidth. One is to measure the frequency response of the filter. A sinusoidal stimulus is swept over the filter pass-band and the response of the compressor is noted. The other method is to determine the effective bandwidth by noting the compressor response to a white noise stimulus. In the following paragraphs, both methods are discussed and filter data are presented for the MFR filters

### 10.3.3.1 Filter Frequency Response Curves

The sine wave frequency responses of the detection filters used in the MFR are determined by applying a differential signal fixed at 0.001 volts rms to the Ex inputs and sweeping it over the filter pass-bands. Figures 10.3.3.1.1, 10.3.3.1.2 and 10.3.3.1.3 show the curves for Band 1 Step 8, Band 2 Step16, and Band 3 Step 10, respectively, using the Ex inputs. The data is also given in tabular form in Tables 10.3.3.1.1 through 10.3.3.1.3. The MFR plots show the upper and the lower side bands. The MFR Band 1 circuit is designed to reject the lower side band. The responses for any MFR step other than the center steps are determined by making an adjustment according to the channel-to-channel gain tables presented in Section 10.3.2 of this document. The response for sensors other than the Ex+ and Ex- is determined by referring to the differential amplifier calibrations and the sensor calibrations in Sections 6.0 and 7.0 of this document to determine an appropriate factor to adjust the amplitude by. The stimulus setup is shown in Figure 10.3.3.1.4.

### 10.3.3.2 Effective Bandwidths

The frequency response curves shown in Section 10.3.3.1 were generated with sine wave stimuli. While this is useful for observing the filter shape and estimating the bandwidth, many actual emissions more closely approximate random noise having bandwidths wide in comparison to the filter bandwidth. It is necessary to be able to interpret the amplitude measurements in terms of the noise spectral density of the received signal. To determine the spectral density $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{f}}{ }^{2}$ the following equation is used:

$$
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}}^{2} / \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{f}} \quad \text { Equation 10.3.3.2.1 }
$$

For a given output data number, the look-up tables in Section 10.3.1 can be used to find the corresponding input voltage Vs. The effective bandwidth $D_{f}$ is defined to be the bandwidth which $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{2}$ must be divided by to give the input voltage spectral density if the same log compressor output voltage were due entirely to a random noise input. Note that this effective bandwidth includes the effects of both the filter bandwidth and the non-ideal detection characteristics of the $\log$ compressor. If the compressor were a true rms detector (square-law device) then the effective bandwidth defined in equation 10.3.3.2.1 would be equivalent to the well-known 'noise-
bandwidth' commonly used to characterize the bandwidth of a filter. The effective bandwidth $D_{f}$ cannot be interpreted as the 'noise bandwidth' in the usual sense.

The noise bandwidth of each of the three MFR bands was determined in the following manner. A random noise signal of known density was applied to the MFR. The density was calculated by measuring the random noise amplitude before the step attenuators with a spectrum analyzer. Several readings were averaged together to improve the accuracy of the measurement. The test setup for determining the effective bandwidths of the MFR filters consists of a random noise generator with a white spectrum over the frequency range of the filters. Figures 10.3.3.2.1, 10.3.3.2.2, and 10.3.3.2.3 show the stimulus set ups used to determine the effective bandwidths. Different setups are used depending on the noise frequency range. In every case, a white noise stimulus of known power spectral density is injected into the programmable attenuator. The differential signal is applied to the Ex+ and Ex- inputs. A 2-dB calibration was performed using white noise. Large amplitude noise inputs which saturated the receiver and low level inputs at the receiver noise level were discarded. Both of these cause error in bandwidth calculation. For each amplitude number corresponding to a given spectral density, the program finds a value out of a file containing 2-dB calibration values. A computation is performed according to the expression in Equation 10.3.3.2.1 to determine the effective bandwidth. Several bandwidths, corresponding to various input noise densities, were averaged together.

Tables 10.3.3.2.1, 10.3.3.2.2, and 10.3.3.2.3 consists of 3 tables for the MFR effective bandwidths. These were calculated for the center step of each band of the MFR in logarithmic mode. The effective bandwidths are constant for any step on a given band because the same detection filters and log compressors area used in that band.


Table 10.3.3.1.1 MFR Band 1 Normalized Frequency Response

| Frequency <br> (Hz) | Amplitude <br> (normalized) | Frequency <br> (Hz) | Amplitude <br> (normalized) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22.3000 | 0.0060 | 52.3000 | 1.0000 |
| 23.3000 | 0.0063 | 53.3000 | 1.0000 |
| 24.3000 | 0.0065 | 54.3000 | 0.9551 |
| 25.3000 | 0.0071 | 55.3000 | 0.8427 |
| 26.3000 | 0.0079 | 56.3000 | 0.8023 |
| 27.3000 | 0.0083 | 57.3000 | 0.7074 |
| 28.3000 | 0.0089 | 58.3000 | 0.6113 |
| 29.3000 | 0.0099 | 59.3000 | 0.4983 |
| 30.3000 | 0.0108 | 60.3000 | 0.4247 |
| 31.3000 | 0.0115 | 61.3000 | 0.3443 |
| 32.3000 | 0.0115 | 62.3000 | 0.2993 |
| 33.3000 | 0.0125 | 63.3000 | 0.2551 |
| 34.3000 | 0.0097 | 64.3000 | 0.2145 |
| 35.3000 | 0.0075 | 65.3000 | 0.1777 |
| 36.3000 | 0.0042 | 66.3000 | 0.1518 |
| 37.3000 | 0.0024 | 67.3000 | 0.1254 |
| 38.3000 | 0.0019 | 68.3000 | 0.1025 |
| 39.3000 | 0.0017 | 69.3000 | 0.0830 |
| 40.3000 | 0.0018 | 70.3000 | 0.0721 |
| 41.3000 | 0.0020 | 71.3000 | 0.0560 |
| 42.3000 | 0.0023 | 72.3000 | 0.0455 |
| 43.3000 | 0.0024 | 73.3000 | 0.0352 |
| 44.3000 | 0.0030 | 74.3000 | 0.0275 |
| 45.3000 | 0.0148 | 75.3000 | 0.0219 |
| 46.3000 | 0.0374 | 76.3000 | 0.0172 |
| 47.3000 | 0.1139 | 77.3000 | 0.0125 |
| 48.3000 | 0.2551 | 78.3000 | 0.0093 |
| 49.3000 | 0.4689 | 79.3000 | 0.0069 |
| 50.3000 | 0.7382 | 80.3000 | 0.0051 |
| 51.3000 | 0.9325 | 81.3000 | 0.0033 |



Table 10.3.3.1.2 MFR Band 2 Normalized Frequency Response

| Frequency <br> (Hz) | Amplitude (normalized ) | Frequency (Hz) | Amplitude (normalized ) | Frequency (Hz) | Amplitude (normalized ) | Frequency <br> (Hz) | Amplitude (normalized ) | Frequency (Hz) | Amplitude (normalized ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 451.5000 | 0.0022 | 481.5000 | 0.0054 | 511.5000 | 0.9602 | 541.5000 | 0.0306 | 571.5000 | 0.0008 |
| 452.5000 | 0.0020 | 482.5000 | 0.0077 | 512.5000 | 0.9205 | 542.5000 | 0.0247 | 572.5000 | 0.0006 |
| 453.5000 | 0.0056 | 483.5000 | 0.0081 | 513.5000 | 0.8146 | 543.5000 | 0.0160 | 573.5000 | 0.0006 |
| 454.5000 | 0.0024 | 484.5000 | 0.0085 | 514.5000 | 0.6331 | 544.5000 | 0.0112 | 574.5000 | 0.0007 |
| 455.5000 | 0.0040 | 485.5000 | 0.0076 | 515.5000 | 0.4450 | 545.5000 | 0.0088 | 575.5000 | 0.0005 |
| 456.5000 | 0.0044 | 486.5000 | 0.0095 | 516.5000 | 0.2304 | 546.5000 | 0.0072 | 576.5000 | 0.0005 |
| 457.5000 | 0.0012 | 487.5000 | 0.0085 | 517.5000 | 0.0654 | 547.5000 | 0.0059 | 577.5000 | 0.0005 |
| 458.5000 | 0.0017 | 488.5000 | 0.0104 | 518.5000 | 0.0221 | 548.5000 | 0.0041 | 578.5000 | 0.0006 |
| 459.5000 | 0.0011 | 489.5000 | 0.0118 | 519.5000 | 0.0634 | 549.5000 | 0.0032 | 579.5000 | 0.0006 |
| 460.5000 | 0.0013 | 490.5000 | 0.0175 | 520.5000 | 0.1648 | 550.5000 | 0.0025 | 580.5000 | 0.0006 |
| 461.5000 | 0.0009 | 491.5000 | 0.0194 | 521.5000 | 0.3927 | 551.5000 | 0.0020 | 581.5000 | 0.0006 |
| 462.5000 | 0.0012 | 492.5000 | 0.0228 | 522.5000 | 0.6106 | 552.5000 | 0.0016 | 582.5000 | 0.0005 |
| 463.5000 | 0.0010 | 493.5000 | 0.0215 | 523.5000 | 0.6448 | 553.5000 | 0.0013 | 583.5000 | 0.0005 |
| 464.5000 | 0.0011 | 494.5000 | 0.0365 | 524.5000 | 0.8016 | 554.5000 | 0.0012 | 584.5000 | 0.0007 |
| 465.5000 | 0.0016 | 495.5000 | 0.0510 | 525.5000 | 0.7349 | 555.5000 | 0.0011 | 585.5000 | 0.0005 |
| 466.5000 | 0.0025 | 496.5000 | 0.0634 | 526.5000 | 0.8016 | 556.5000 | 0.0009 | 586.5000 | 0.0006 |
| 467.5000 | 0.0026 | 497.5000 | 0.0883 | 527.5000 | 0.7530 | 557.5000 | 0.0007 | 587.5000 | 0.0006 |
| 468.5000 | 0.0019 | 498.5000 | 0.1139 | 528.5000 | 0.7470 | 558.5000 | 0.0008 | 588.5000 | 0.0005 |
| 469.5000 | 0.0013 | 499.5000 | 0.1859 | 529.5000 | 0.7108 | 559.5000 | 0.0010 | 589.5000 | 0.0005 |
| 470.5000 | 0.0041 | 500.5000 | 0.2840 | 530.5000 | 0.6568 | 560.5000 | 0.0007 | 590.5000 | 0.0006 |
| 471.5000 | 0.0025 | 501.5000 | 0.4581 | 531.5000 | 0.6331 | 561.5000 | 0.0008 | 591.5000 | 0.0005 |
| 472.5000 | 0.0023 | 502.5000 | 0.5548 | 532.5000 | 0.5548 | 562.5000 | 0.0006 | 592.5000 | 0.0005 |
| 473.5000 | 0.0019 | 503.5000 | 0.6568 | 533.5000 | 0.4716 | 563.5000 | 0.0008 | 593.5000 | 0.0004 |
| 474.5000 | 0.0046 | 504.5000 | 0.7530 | 534.5000 | 0.3686 | 564.5000 | 0.0008 | 594.5000 | 0.0004 |
| 475.5000 | 0.0023 | 505.5000 | 0.8542 | 535.5000 | 0.2572 | 565.5000 | 0.0006 | 595.5000 | 0.0005 |
| 476.5000 | 0.0029 | 506.5000 | 0.8807 | 536.5000 | 0.1930 | 566.5000 | 0.0006 | 596.5000 | 0.0005 |
| 477.5000 | 0.0038 | 507.5000 | 0.9205 | 537.5000 | 0.1228 | 567.5000 | 0.0006 | 597.5000 | 0.0005 |
| 478.5000 | 0.0067 | 508.5000 | 0.9205 | 538.5000 | 0.0897 | 568.5000 | 0.0007 | 598.5000 | 0.0006 |
| 479.5000 | 0.0061 | 509.5000 | 0.9867 | 539.5000 | 0.0622 | 569.5000 | 0.0006 | 599.5000 | 0.0006 |
| 480.5000 | 0.0041 | 510.5000 | 1.0000 | 540.5000 | 0.0452 | 570.5000 | 0.0006 | 600.5000 | 0.0005 |



Table 10.3.3.1.3 MFR Band 3 Normalized Frequency Response

| Frequency <br> (Hz) | Amplitude <br> (normalized) | Frequency <br> (Hz) | Amplitude <br> (normalized) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2461.7000 | 0.0023 | 2861.7000 | 0.9011 |
| 2471.7000 | 0.0034 | 2871.7000 | 0.8505 |
| 2481.7000 | 0.0075 | 2881.7000 | 0.8168 |
| 2491.7000 | 0.0036 | 2891.7000 | 0.7663 |
| 2501.7000 | 0.0019 | 2901.7000 | 0.6771 |
| 2511.7000 | 0.0016 | 2911.7000 | 0.5350 |
| 2521.7000 | 0.0017 | 2921.7000 | 0.4513 |
| 2531.7000 | 0.0017 | 2931.7000 | 0.3079 |
| 2541.7000 | 0.0021 | 2941.7000 | 0.1864 |
| 2551.7000 | 0.0022 | 2951.7000 | 0.1096 |
| 2561.7000 | 0.0019 | 2961.7000 | 0.0656 |
| 2571.7000 | 0.0019 | 2971.7000 | 0.0367 |
| 2581.7000 | 0.0031 | 2981.7000 | 0.0237 |
| 2591.7000 | 0.0033 | 2991.7000 | 0.0142 |
| 2601.7000 | 0.0038 | 3001.7000 | 0.0093 |
| 2611.7000 | 0.0055 | 3011.7000 | 0.0060 |
| 2621.7000 | 0.0075 | 3021.7000 | 0.0040 |
| 2631.7000 | 0.0122 | 3031.7000 | 0.0031 |
| 2641.7000 | 0.0203 | 3041.7000 | 0.0024 |
| 2651.7000 | 0.0260 | 3051.7000 | 0.0019 |
| 2661.7000 | 0.0409 | 3061.7000 | 0.0015 |
| 2671.7000 | 0.0814 | 3071.7000 | 0.0013 |
| 2681.7000 | 0.1281 | 3081.7000 | 0.0013 |
| 2691.7000 | 0.2186 | 3091.7000 | 0.0012 |
| 2701.7000 | 0.3854 | 3101.7000 | 0.0012 |
| 2711.7000 | 0.5917 | 3111.7000 | 0.0010 |
| 2721.7000 | 0.7308 | 3121.7000 | 0.0009 |
| 2731.7000 | 0.8842 | 3131.7000 | 0.0010 |
| 2741.7000 | 0.9349 | 3141.7000 | 0.0010 |
| 2751.7000 | 1.0000 | 3151.7000 | 0.0010 |
| 2761.7000 | 1.0000 | 3161.7000 | 0.0010 |
| 2771.7000 | 0.9865 | 3171.7000 | 0.0011 |
| 2781.7000 | 0.9602 | 3181.7000 | 0.0011 |
| 2791.7000 | 0.9349 | 3191.7000 | 0.0012 |
| 2801.7000 | 0.8000 | 3201.7000 | 0.0012 |
| 2811.7000 | 0.3044 | 3211.7000 | 0.0009 |
| 2821.7000 | 0.3451 | 3221.7000 | 0.0011 |
| 2831.7000 | 0.7663 | 3231.7000 | 0.0010 |
| 2841.7000 | 0.8337 | 3241.7000 | 0.0009 |
| 2851.7000 | 0.8505 | 3251.7000 | 0.0012 |
|  |  |  |  |


$10.34$

$10.35$

$10.36$

$10.37$

Table 10.3.3.2.1 MFR Band 1 Noise Bandwidth Calculation

| Attenuation <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ | Noise <br> Bandwidth <br> $(\mathrm{Hz})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 40.00000 | 3.95021 |
| 42.00000 | 4.71771 |
| 44.00000 | 5.44352 |
| 46.00000 | 5.45427 |
| 48.00000 | 4.80216 |
| 50.00000 | 5.57220 |
| 52.00000 | 5.03907 |
| 54.00000 | 7.46237 |
| 56.00000 | 7.67222 |
| 58.00000 | 7.13399 |
| 60.00000 | 5.28326 |
| 62.00000 | 5.48081 |
| 64.00000 | 5.67438 |
| 66.00000 | 4.45331 |
| 68.00000 | 5.30630 |
| 70.00000 | 5.45724 |
| 74.00000 | 7.4654 |
| 74.00000 | 5.65557 |
| 7600000 | 5.89967 |
| 78.00000 | 6.08212 |
| 80.00000 | 4.84844 |

Average Noise Bandwidth $=5.65949 \mathrm{~Hz}$

Table 10.3.3.2.2 MFR Band 2 Noise Bandwidth Calculations

| Attenuation <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ | Noise <br> Bandwidth <br> $(\mathrm{Hz})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 40.00000 | 23.16115 |
| 42.00000 | 18.32768 |
| 44.00000 | 20.09491 |
| 46.00000 | 30.10968 |
| 48.00000 | 19.30607 |
| 50.00000 | 19.76923 |
| 52.00000 | 19.63375 |
| 54.00000 | 15.33376 |
| 56.00000 | 12.49454 |
| 58.00000 | 17.21832 |
| 60.00000 | 25.28433 |
| 62.00000 | 20.03923 |
| 64.00000 | 28.66408 |
| 66.00000 | 26.96831 |
| 68.00000 | 18.16952 |
| 70.00000 | 17.46194 |
| 72.00000 | 11.97135 |
| 74.00000 | 16.02716 |
| 76.00000 | 15.62077 |
| 78.00000 | 13.97217 |
| 80.00000 | 17.90250 |

Average Noise Bandwidth $=19.40621 \mathrm{~Hz}$

Table 10.3.3.2.3 MFR Band 3 Noise Bandwidth Calculation

| Attenuation <br> $(\mathrm{dB})$ | Noise <br> Bandwidth <br> $(\mathrm{Hz})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 40.00000 | 126.94929 |
| 42.00000 | 150.54008 |
| 44.00000 | 117.92113 |
| 46.00000 | 167.13432 |
| 48.00000 | 170.56709 |
| 50.00000 | 165.75240 |
| 52.00000 | 183.88214 |
| 54.00000 | 183.68256 |
| 56.00000 | 168.28555 |
| 58.00000 | 116.19930 |
| 60.00000 | 104.42429 |
| 62.00000 | 120.06332 |
| 64.00000 | 123.04401 |
| 66.00000 | 116.88811 |
| 68.00000 | 152.99593 |
| 70.00000 | 156.18509 |
| 72.00000 | 109.23030 |
| 74.00000 | 143.60846 |
| 76.00000 | 106.50285 |
| 78.00000 | 128.32590 |
| 80.00000 | 103.17294 |

Average Noise Bandwidth $=138.82643 \mathrm{~Hz}$

### 10.3.4 Receiver Noise Levels

### 10.3.4.1 In-Flight Receiver Noise Levels

In-flight noise levels of the MFR were obtained during the deployment of the electric antennas on Oct. 24-25, 1997. The noise levels were measured both with the antennas retracted, before the extension of the antennas, and after the antennas were extended. The noise levels were determined by looking for the data number with the peak number of occurrences in each channel. These peak data numbers were then converted into voltage from the amplitude tables in Section 10.3.1. The square of the voltage of each step was then divided by the effective noise bandwidth of the respective band. This noise level data is listed in Tables 10.3.4.1.1 and 10.3.4.1.2 and shown in Figures 10.3.4.1.1 and 10.3.4.1.2.

In-flight noise levels were also measured after the antennas were extended. The noise levels were also determined by looking for the data number with the peak number of occurrences in each channel. This was done during the time after the antennas were extended and when not in direction finding mode. The number of occurrences of data numbers would increase with increasing data number values. A peak number of occurrences would be reached and then the number of occurrences would decrease. The noise level is assumed to be at this peak. This is because the signals at the input to the receiver will be at the noise levels the greatest amount of time. Real signals will stay at constant levels for much less time then at the noise level. On some channels a second peak occurred greater than the first peak. The first peak was chosen as the noise level and the other peaks to be time dependent interference signals. There are times that the in-flight data is below the noise level. Data numbers below the measured noise level are handled by extending the calibration curves. The extended noise level data is listed in Tables 10.3.4.1.3 and 10.3.4.1.4 and shown in Figures 10.3.4.1.3 and 10.3.4.1.4.

The in-flight search coil noise levels were performed in the same manner as the electric antenna. The results are shown in Tables 10.3.4.1.5 and 10.3.4.1.6 and Figures 10.3.4.1.5 and 10.3.4.1.6.

### 10.3.4.2 Bench Level Receiver Noise Levels (Pre-flight)

A series of tests were performed on the ground before launch to determine the instrument noise level with a variety of loads across the inputs of the instrument. Figure 10.3.4.2.1 and Table 10.3.4.2.1 show the measured noise level of the MFR with a 220 pF capacitor across the input to the Ex antenna input. Figure 10.3.4.2.2 and Table 10.3.4.2.2 show the measured noise level of the MFR with a 220 pF capacitor in parallel with a $10 \mathrm{M} \Omega$ resistor across the input to the Ex antenna input. Figure 10.3.4.2.3 and Table 10.3.4.2.3 show the measured noise level of the MFR attached to the Bx search coil. The large signals observed at 60 Hz (and harmonics) is due to power line interference.


Table 10.3.4.1.1 MFR In-Flight ExLo Noise Plot (Antennas Retracted)

| Channel | Frequency <br> $(H z)$ | $\mathrm{V}^{2} / \mathrm{Hz}$ | Channel | Frequency <br> $(\mathrm{Hz})$ | $\mathrm{V}^{2} / \mathrm{Hz}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 23.8910 | $1.1354 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 41 | 932.4595 | $6.0518 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 2 | 26.2510 | $4.0518 \mathrm{e}-11$ | 42 | 995.7109 | $7.9681 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 3 | 29.1468 | $1.0558 \mathrm{e}-11$ | 43 | 1060.6372 | $7.7984 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 4 | 32.4228 | $6.6563 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 44 | 1144.2549 | $4.5675 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 5 | 36.2695 | $1.2472 \mathrm{e}-11$ | 45 | 1213.2041 | $5.5245 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 6 | 40.8909 | $1.2282 \mathrm{e}-11$ | 46 | 1302.0835 | $5.8439 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 7 | 46.5109 | $2.4400 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 47 | 1375.4399 | $3.5243 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 8 | 52.8165 | $6.6600 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 48 | 1470.0942 | $6.6346 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 9 | 60.4319 | $1.8689 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 49 | 1536.8867 | $7.7708 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 10 | 69.4643 | $2.7574 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 50 | 1671.8125 | $1.3394 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 11 | 80.0469 | $1.1537 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 51 | 1785.1016 | $1.3780 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 12 | 92.3442 | $1.0451 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 52 | 1899.1719 | $3.3167 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 13 | 107.2421 | $9.7571 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 53 | 2083.3320 | $2.0104 \mathrm{e}-13$ |
| 14 | 124.3865 | $3.7530 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 54 | 2269.5547 | $6.5814 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 15 | 144.8837 | $3.6609 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 55 | 2316.4336 | $2.0190 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 16 | 169.0493 | $2.4586 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 56 | 2481.5547 | $1.1940 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 17 | 192.1108 | $1.8522 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 57 | 2648.3047 | $8.4237 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 18 | 214.6289 | $7.7150 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 58 | 2816.7148 | $1.6227 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 19 | 225.9814 | $5.0610 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 59 | 3011.2422 | $9.0051 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 20 | 237.3965 | $1.1206 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 60 | 3208.0039 | $1.1666 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 21 | 260.4165 | $1.0636 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 61 | 3432.0820 | $1.1336 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 22 | 272.0229 | $7.3057 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 62 | 3659.0898 | $6.4628 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 23 | 295.4307 | $5.8699 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 63 | 3992.2891 | $1.3433 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 24 | 307.2329 | $5.2347 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 64 | 4279.2383 | $4.5689 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 25 | 331.0381 | $3.2599 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 65 | 4651.2734 | $6.5666 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 26 | 355.1138 | $5.3664 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 66 | 4813.1094 | $2.8069 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 27 | 379.4644 | $3.3990 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 67 | 5086.1094 | $8.9071 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 28 | 404.0947 | $3.3388 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 68 | 5419.2148 | $9.2386 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 29 | 429.0103 | $2.8252 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 69 | 5758.4805 | $1.5816 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 30 | 454.2153 | $1.6498 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 70 | 6162.3164 | $1.0529 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 31 | 492.5771 | $1.4039 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 71 | 6575.0586 | $7.3684 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 32 | 518.5288 | $1.4177 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 72 | 7027.5117 | $3.2088 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 33 | 558.0356 | $1.0322 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 73 | 7490.9219 | $2.6560 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 34 | 598.2544 | $1.0136 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 74 | 7997.7500 | $7.8360 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 35 | 639.2046 | $1.0971 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 75 | 8518.0195 | $1.2326 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 36 | 680.9058 | $8.6330 \mathrm{e}-15$ | 76 | 9120.0586 | $8.2070 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 37 | 723.3794 | $2.4637 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 77 | 9705.6328 | $1.2938 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 38 | 766.6470 | $6.1046 \mathrm{e}-15$ | 78 | 10165.0117 | $4.7480 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 39 | 825.6113 | $9.1727 \mathrm{e}-15$ | 79 | 11078.0430 | $1.4294 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 40 | 870.8198 | $9.3085 \mathrm{e}-15$ | 80 | 11799.3281 | $8.5456 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |



Table 10.3.4.1.2 MFR In-Flight EzLo Noise Plot (Antennas Retracted)

| Channel | Frequency <br> $(H z)$ | $\mathrm{V}^{2} / \mathrm{Hz}$ | Channel | Frequency <br> $(\mathrm{Hz})$ | $\mathrm{V}^{2} / \mathrm{Hz}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 23.8910 | $6.4583 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 41 | 932.4595 | $1.2627 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 2 | 26.2510 | $2.8648 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 42 | 995.7109 | $7.9681 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 3 | 29.1468 | $4.2874 \mathrm{e}-11$ | 43 | 1060.6372 | $4.9904 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 4 | 32.4228 | $7.3389 \mathrm{e}-11$ | 44 | 1144.2549 | $8.6352 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 5 | 36.2695 | $1.2401 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 45 | 1213.2041 | $1.0445 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 6 | 40.8909 | $1.4093 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 46 | 1302.0835 | $8.7299 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 7 | 46.5109 | $1.3709 \mathrm{e}-11$ | 47 | 1375.4399 | $1.4101 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 8 | 52.8165 | $7.6005 \mathrm{e}-11$ | 48 | 1470.0942 | $1.2543 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 9 | 60.4319 | $9.2187 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 49 | 1536.8867 | $1.0102 \mathrm{e}-13$ |
| 10 | 69.4643 | $4.3276 \mathrm{e}-11$ | 50 | 1671.8125 | $1.3394 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 11 | 80.0469 | $7.5904 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 51 | 1785.1016 | $1.3780 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 12 | 92.3442 | $3.6184 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 52 | 1899.1719 | $2.7152 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 13 | 107.2421 | $4.5976 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 53 | 2083.3320 | $8.0246 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 14 | 124.3865 | $1.8400 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 54 | 2269.5547 | $4.1397 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 15 | 144.8837 | $3.4570 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 55 | 2316.4336 | $8.0554 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 16 | 169.0493 | $2.3490 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 56 | 2481.5547 | $1.0400 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 17 | 192.1108 | $2.0960 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 57 | 2648.3047 | $7.2624 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 18 | 214.6289 | $5.6508 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 58 | 2816.7148 | $3.5095 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 19 | 225.9814 | $1.5850 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 59 | 3011.2422 | $9.0051 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 20 | 237.3965 | $1.3955 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 60 | 3208.0039 | $1.3530 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 21 | 260.4165 | $3.1481 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 61 | 3432.0820 | $1.1336 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 22 | 272.0229 | $5.7636 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 62 | 3659.0898 | $5.5068 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 23 | 295.4307 | $1.8974 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 63 | 3992.2891 | $9.3285 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 24 | 307.2329 | $2.0410 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 64 | 4279.2383 | $2.9719 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 25 | 331.0381 | $4.5115 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 65 | 4651.2734 | $1.1051 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 26 | 355.1138 | $1.1650 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 66 | 4813.1094 | $1.0962 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 27 | 379.4644 | $1.1679 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 67 | 5086.1094 | $6.6896 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 28 | 404.0947 | $8.8877 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 68 | 5419.2148 | $7.9658 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 29 | 429.0103 | $3.9839 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 69 | 5758.4805 | $1.5816 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 30 | 454.2153 | $6.5997 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 70 | 6162.3164 | $7.9083 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 31 | 492.5771 | $4.0209 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 71 | 6575.0586 | $8.4580 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 32 | 518.5288 | $4.8316 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 72 | 7027.5117 | $1.5384 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 33 | 558.0356 | $5.3318 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 73 | 7490.9219 | $2.0335 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 34 | 598.2544 | $4.4316 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 74 | 7997.7500 | $7.8360 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 35 | 639.2046 | $6.6102 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 75 | 8518.0195 | $1.0834 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 36 | 680.9058 | $5.3949 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 76 | 9120.0586 | $7.0762 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 37 | 723.3794 | $4.2132 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 77 | 9705.6328 | $8.7747 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 38 | 766.6470 | $2.7207 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 78 | 10165.0117 | $1.1117 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 39 | 825.6113 | $4.0454 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 79 | 11078.0430 | $1.1128 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 40 | 870.8198 | $1.9695 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 80 | 11799.3281 | $6.4191 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |



Table 10.3.4.1.3 MFR In-Flight ExLo Noise Plot (Antennas Extended)

| Channel | Frequency (Hz) | $\mathrm{V}^{2} / \mathrm{Hz}$ | Channel | Frequency (Hz) | $\mathrm{V}^{2} / \mathrm{Hz}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 23.8910 | $4.0811 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 41 | 932.4595 | $3.1964 \mathrm{e}-13$ |
| 2 | 26.2510 | $6.0413 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 42 | 995.7109 | $3.6061 \mathrm{e}-13$ |
| 3 | 29.1468 | $5.7717 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 43 | 1060.6372 | $3.1367 \mathrm{e}-13$ |
| 4 | 32.4228 | $1.2465 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 44 | 1144.2549 | $3.0997 \mathrm{e}-13$ |
| 5 | 36.2695 | $2.1238 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 45 | 1213.2041 | $1.9161 \mathrm{e}-13$ |
| 6 | 40.8909 | $3.8463 \mathrm{e}-11$ | 46 | 1302.0835 | $1.3314 \mathrm{e}-13$ |
| 7 | 46.5109 | $3.7212 \mathrm{e}-11$ | 47 | 1375.4399 | $1.5268 \mathrm{e}-13$ |
| 8 | 52.8165 | $3.5880 \mathrm{e}-11$ | 48 | 1470.0942 | $2.0488 \mathrm{e}-13$ |
| 9 | 60.4319 | $2.1751 \mathrm{e}-11$ | 49 | 1536.8867 | $3.7918 \mathrm{e}-13$ |
| 10 | 69.4643 | $3.2183 \mathrm{e}-11$ | 50 | 1671.8125 | $1.6659 \mathrm{e}-13$ |
| 11 | 80.0469 | $3.2811 \mathrm{e}-11$ | 51 | 1785.1016 | $2.1584 \mathrm{e}-13$ |
| 12 | 92.3442 | $1.9510 \mathrm{e}-11$ | 52 | 1899.1719 | $2.1997 \mathrm{e}-13$ |
| 13 | 107.2421 | $1.7957 \mathrm{e}-11$ | 53 | 2083.3320 | $3.9318 \mathrm{e}-13$ |
| 14 | 124.3865 | $1.1814 \mathrm{e}-11$ | 54 | 2269.5547 | $1.5625 \mathrm{e}-13$ |
| 15 | 144.8837 | $7.1360 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 55 | 2316.4336 | $9.2016 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 16 | 169.0493 | $7.1546 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 56 | 2481.5547 | $8.4904 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 17 | 192.1108 | $1.6191 \mathrm{e}-11$ | 57 | 2648.3047 | $7.3338 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 18 | 214.6289 | $5.9162 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 58 | 2816.7148 | $8.7134 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 19 | 225.9814 | $3.5466 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 59 | 3011.2422 | $5.6296 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 20 | 237.3965 | $3.9471 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 60 | 3208.0039 | $8.5563 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 21 | 260.4165 | $1.2268 \mathrm{e}-11$ | 61 | 3432.0820 | $7.1924 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 22 | 272.0229 | 3.2576e-12 | 62 | 3659.0898 | $4.6846 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 23 | 295.4307 | $2.3336 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 63 | 3992.2891 | $5.0787 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 24 | 307.2329 | $2.4793 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 64 | 4279.2383 | $6.8657 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 25 | 331.0381 | $2.3636 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 65 | 4651.2734 | $6.9076 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 26 | 355.1138 | $2.5875 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 66 | 4813.1094 | $5.9693 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 27 | 379.4644 | $2.0027 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 67 | 5086.1094 | $3.8049 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 28 | 404.0947 | $2.4788 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 68 | 5419.2148 | $4.5291 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 29 | 429.0103 | $1.6639 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 69 | 5758.4805 | $4.2108 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 30 | 454.2153 | $1.6574 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 70 | 6162.3164 | $3.9354 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 31 | 492.5771 | $1.8739 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 71 | 6575.0586 | $3.6124 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 32 | 518.5288 | $1.7124 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 72 | 7027.5117 | $4.5620 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 33 | 558.0356 | $7.8100 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 73 | 7490.9219 | $3.8777 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 34 | 598.2544 | $9.6934 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 74 | 7997.7500 | $2.5386 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 35 | 639.2046 | $7.9098 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 75 | 8518.0195 | $3.5099 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 36 | 680.9058 | $7.5913 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 76 | 9120.0586 | $3.0526 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 37 | 723.3794 | $4.7622 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 77 | 9705.6328 | $3.2634 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 38 | 766.6470 | $4.3711 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 78 | 10165.0117 | $4.7480 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 39 | 825.6113 | $4.3101 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 79 | 11078.0430 | $3.0913 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 40 | 870.8198 | $3.7124 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 80 | 11799.3281 | $1.8380 \mathrm{e}-14$ |


$10.48$

Table 10.3.4.1.4 MFR In-Flight EzLo Noise Plot (Antennas Extended)

| Channel | Frequency (Hz) | $\mathrm{V}^{2} / \mathrm{Hz}$ | Channel | Frequency (Hz) | $\mathrm{V}^{2} / \mathrm{Hz}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 23.8910 | $1.3205 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 41 | 932.4595 | $1.7463 \mathrm{e}-13$ |
| 2 | 26.2510 | $8.5894 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 42 | 995.7109 | $1.9572 \mathrm{e}-13$ |
| 3 | 29.1468 | $2.6828 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 43 | 1060.6372 | 3.1367e-13 |
| 4 | 32.4228 | $1.8139 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 44 | 1144.2549 | $1.6479 \mathrm{e}-13$ |
| 5 | 36.2695 | $1.1104 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 45 | 1213.2041 | $1.5071 \mathrm{e}-13$ |
| 6 | 40.8909 | $6.1224 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 46 | 1302.0835 | $1.3314 \mathrm{e}-13$ |
| 7 | 46.5109 | $4.5507 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 47 | 1375.4399 | $1.3988 \mathrm{e}-13$ |
| 8 | 52.8165 | $5.2392 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 48 | 1470.0942 | $1.2315 \mathrm{e}-13$ |
| 9 | 60.4319 | $1.9753 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 49 | 1536.8867 | $2.9194 \mathrm{e}-13$ |
| 10 | 69.4643 | $1.5389 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 50 | 1671.8125 | $1.2008 \mathrm{e}-13$ |
| 11 | 80.0469 | $1.5702 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 51 | 1785.1016 | $1.8700 \mathrm{e}-13$ |
| 12 | 92.3442 | $4.8643 \mathrm{e}-11$ | 52 | 1899.1719 | $1.8434 \mathrm{e}-13$ |
| 13 | 107.2421 | $3.9302 \mathrm{e}-11$ | 53 | 2083.3320 | $2.2221 \mathrm{e}-13$ |
| 14 | 124.3865 | $1.5432 \mathrm{e}-11$ | 54 | 2269.5547 | $1.4127 \mathrm{e}-13$ |
| 15 | 144.8837 | $1.3529 \mathrm{e}-11$ | 55 | 2316.4336 | $1.2469 \mathrm{e}-13$ |
| 16 | 169.0493 | $5.9709 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 56 | 2481.5547 | $7.6628 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 17 | 192.1108 | $6.3376 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 57 | 2648.3047 | $6.8599 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 18 | 214.6289 | $5.9162 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 58 | 2816.7148 | $7.3605 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 19 | 225.9814 | $6.4063 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 59 | 3011.2422 | $8.2629 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 20 | 237.3965 | $6.0294 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 60 | 3208.0039 | $9.6107 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 21 | 260.4165 | $4.1584 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 61 | 3432.0820 | $1.0198 \mathrm{e}-13$ |
| 22 | 272.0229 | $4.1539 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 62 | 3659.0898 | $4.1646 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 23 | 295.4307 | $4.8131 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 63 | 3992.2891 | $5.0787 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 24 | 307.2329 | $2.0596 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 64 | 4279.2383 | $7.6183 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 25 | 331.0381 | $1.9877 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 65 | 4651.2734 | $8.0039 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 26 | 355.1138 | $2.0570 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 66 | 4813.1094 | $6.3150 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 27 | 379.4644 | $2.7209 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 67 | 5086.1094 | $6.8368 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 28 | 404.0947 | $1.3039 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 68 | 5419.2148 | $3.1860 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 29 | 429.0103 | $2.1406 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 69 | 5758.4805 | $5.6788 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 30 | 454.2153 | $1.1709 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 70 | 6162.3164 | $3.9354 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 31 | 492.5771 | $1.0805 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 71 | 6575.0586 | $5.1463 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 32 | 518.5288 | $7.8642 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 72 | 7027.5117 | $5.1694 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 33 | 558.0356 | 8.5808e-13 | 73 | 7490.9219 | $4.4314 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 34 | 598.2544 | $3.9693 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 74 | 7997.7500 | $2.1763 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 35 | 639.2046 | $4.9760 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 75 | 8518.0195 | $5.5658 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 36 | 680.9058 | $6.3583 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 76 | 9120.0586 | $2.4120 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 37 | 723.3794 | $4.0019 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 77 | 9705.6328 | $4.8754 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 38 | 766.6470 | $4.3711 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 78 | 10165.0117 | $4.4467 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 39 | 825.6113 | $4.3101 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 79 | 11078.0430 | $2.8488 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 40 | 870.8198 | $2.1118 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 80 | 11799.3281 | $2.0092 \mathrm{e}-14$ |


$10.50$

Table 10.3.4.1.5 MFR In-Flight Bx Noise Plot

| Channel | Frequency <br> $(\mathrm{Hz})$ | $\mathrm{nT}^{2} / \mathrm{Hz}$ | Channel | Frequency <br> $(\mathrm{Hz})$ | $\mathrm{nT}^{2} / \mathrm{Hz}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 23.8910 | $1.1523 \mathrm{e}-06$ | 41 | 932.4595 | $1.1378 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 2 | 26.2510 | $3.7454 \mathrm{e}-07$ | 42 | 995.7109 | $9.4126 \mathrm{e}-10$ |
| 3 | 29.1468 | $3.1279 \mathrm{e}-07$ | 43 | 1060.6372 | $7.4746 \mathrm{e}-10$ |
| 4 | 32.4228 | $1.6671 \mathrm{e}-07$ | 44 | 1144.2549 | $6.8548 \mathrm{e}-10$ |
| 5 | 36.2695 | $3.0351 \mathrm{e}-07$ | 45 | 1213.2041 | $8.3044 \mathrm{e}-10$ |
| 6 | 40.8909 | $2.4326 \mathrm{e}-07$ | 46 | 1302.0835 | $6.9529 \mathrm{e}-10$ |
| 7 | 46.5109 | $1.0187 \mathrm{e}-07$ | 47 | 1375.4399 | $6.9429 \mathrm{e}-10$ |
| 8 | 52.8165 | $1.0431 \mathrm{e}-07$ | 48 | 1470.0942 | $6.2471 \mathrm{e}-10$ |
| 9 | 60.4319 | $5.6566 \mathrm{e}-08$ | 49 | 1536.8867 | $4.2049 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 10 | 69.4643 | $4.6870 \mathrm{e}-08$ | 50 | 1671.8125 | $1.0582 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 11 | 80.0469 | $2.3761 \mathrm{e}-08$ | 51 | 1785.1016 | $1.6004 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 12 | 92.3442 | $4.4587 \mathrm{e}-08$ | 52 | 1899.1719 | $1.9488 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 13 | 107.2421 | $4.0754 \mathrm{e}-08$ | 53 | 2083.3320 | $7.7664 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 14 | 124.3865 | $1.7959 \mathrm{e}-08$ | 54 | 2269.5547 | $2.6058 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 15 | 144.8837 | $1.7385 \mathrm{e}-08$ | 55 | 2316.4336 | $1.2799 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 16 | 169.0493 | $1.1700 \mathrm{e}-08$ | 56 | 2481.5547 | $1.3926 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 17 | 192.1108 | $4.7096 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 57 | 2648.3047 | $8.5861 \mathrm{e}-10$ |
| 18 | 214.6289 | $3.1502 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 58 | 2816.7148 | $1.4835 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 19 | 225.9814 | $1.6969 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 59 | 3011.2422 | $1.2182 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 20 | 237.3965 | $2.8536 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 60 | 3208.0039 | $1.8366 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 21 | 260.4165 | $3.0461 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 61 | 3432.0820 | $1.5443 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 22 | 272.0229 | $1.5111 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 62 | 3659.0898 | $1.0244 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 23 | 295.4307 | $1.5013 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 63 | 3992.2891 | $1.1157 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 24 | 307.2329 | $2.7097 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 64 | 4279.2383 | $2.1414 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 25 | 331.0381 | $1.8803 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 65 | 4651.2734 | $1.9501 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 26 | 355.1138 | $1.7432 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 66 | 4813.1094 | $1.6882 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 27 | 379.4644 | $1.3940 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 67 | 5086.1094 | $1.3772 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 28 | 404.0947 | $2.9860 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 68 | 5419.2148 | $1.6506 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 29 | 429.0103 | $1.0266 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 69 | 5758.4805 | $1.5448 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 30 | 454.2153 | $9.0919 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 70 | 6162.3164 | $1.8802 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 31 | 492.5771 | $1.2365 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 71 | 6575.0586 | $1.5853 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 32 | 518.5288 | $7.8362 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 72 | 7027.5117 | $2.2740 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 33 | 558.0356 | $1.2749 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 73 | 7490.9219 | $2.2504 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 34 | 598.2544 | $1.2549 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 74 | 7997.7500 | $1.7064 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 35 | 639.2046 | $8.5323 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 75 | 8518.0195 | $2.7488 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 36 | 680.9058 | $1.6440 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 76 | 9120.0586 | $2.5965 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 37 | 723.3794 | $2.7861 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 77 | 9705.6328 | $2.7908 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 38 | 766.6470 | $7.1390 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 78 | 10165.0117 | $3.4846 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 39 | 825.6113 | $8.7139 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 79 | 11078.0430 | $4.4883 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 40 | 870.8198 | $7.3234 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 80 | 11799.3281 | $4.3636 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |



Table 10.3.4.1.6 MFR In-Flight Bz Noise Plot

| Channel | Frequency <br> $(\mathrm{Hz})$ | $\mathrm{nT}^{2} / \mathrm{Hz}$ | Channel | Frequency <br> $(\mathrm{Hz})$ | $\mathrm{nT}^{2} / \mathrm{Hz}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 23.8910 | $9.1277 \mathrm{e}-07$ | 41 | 932.4595 | $3.3804 \mathrm{e}-10$ |
| 2 | 26.2510 | $3.0419 \mathrm{e}-07$ | 42 | 995.7109 | $5.9347 \mathrm{e}-10$ |
| 3 | 29.1468 | $4.6934 \mathrm{e}-07$ | 43 | 1060.6372 | $2.5353 \mathrm{e}-10$ |
| 4 | 32.4228 | $1.5834 \mathrm{e}-07$ | 44 | 1144.2549 | $6.9404 \mathrm{e}-10$ |
| 5 | 36.2695 | $9.8221 \mathrm{e}-08$ | 45 | 1213.2041 | $4.5673 \mathrm{e}-10$ |
| 6 | 40.8909 | $7.8757 \mathrm{e}-08$ | 46 | 1302.0835 | $2.3619 \mathrm{e}-10$ |
| 7 | 46.5109 | $2.1677 \mathrm{e}-08$ | 47 | 1375.4399 | $4.3871 \mathrm{e}-10$ |
| 8 | 52.8165 | $3.0336 \mathrm{e}-08$ | 48 | 1470.0942 | $3.4071 \mathrm{e}-10$ |
| 9 | 60.4319 | $2.2778 \mathrm{e}-08$ | 49 | 1536.8867 | $3.9897 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 10 | 69.4643 | $2.3534 \mathrm{e}-08$ | 50 | 1671.8125 | $9.8639 \mathrm{e}-10$ |
| 11 | 80.0469 | $8.0765 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 51 | 1785.1016 | $1.5070 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 12 | 92.3442 | $9.4981 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 52 | 1899.1719 | $1.5019 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 13 | 107.2421 | $1.0332 \mathrm{e}-08$ | 53 | 2083.3320 | $4.5017 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 14 | 124.3865 | $6.1034 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 54 | 2269.5547 | $1.3527 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 15 | 144.8837 | $5.9092 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 55 | 2316.4336 | $1.0469 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 16 | 169.0493 | $1.1862 \mathrm{e}-08$ | 56 | 2481.5547 | $9.9770 \mathrm{e}-10$ |
| 17 | 192.1108 | $3.7693 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 57 | 2648.3047 | $7.5012 \mathrm{e}-10$ |
| 18 | 214.6289 | $1.7545 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 58 | 2816.7148 | $1.2342 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 19 | 225.9814 | $1.3059 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 59 | 3011.2422 | $9.4287 \mathrm{e}-10$ |
| 20 | 237.3965 | $1.4832 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 60 | 3208.0039 | $1.3190 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 21 | 260.4165 | $1.8473 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 61 | 3432.0820 | $1.5776 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 22 | 272.0229 | $1.5335 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 62 | 3659.0898 | $9.8083 \mathrm{e}-10$ |
| 23 | 295.4307 | $9.2171 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 63 | 3992.2891 | $1.2936 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 24 | 307.2329 | $1.2534 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 64 | 4279.2383 | $2.0814 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 25 | 331.0381 | $9.4531 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 65 | 4651.2734 | $2.3160 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 26 | 355.1138 | $1.1752 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 66 | 4813.1094 | $1.7309 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 27 | 379.4644 | $1.3002 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 67 | 5086.1094 | $1.1086 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 28 | 404.0947 | $6.9871 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 68 | 5419.2148 | $1.5092 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 29 | 429.0103 | $1.6640 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 69 | 5758.4805 | $1.8866 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 30 | 454.2153 | $8.2904 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 70 | 6162.3164 | $1.9420 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 31 | 492.5771 | $9.6200 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 71 | 6575.0586 | $1.5559 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 32 | 518.5288 | $7.9638 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 72 | 7027.5117 | $2.1010 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 33 | 558.0356 | $4.4088 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 73 | 7490.9219 | $1.9805 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 34 | 598.2544 | $4.3394 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 74 | 7997.7500 | $1.9164 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 35 | 639.2046 | $6.9412 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 75 | 8518.0195 | $2.6711 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 36 | 680.9058 | $3.8728 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 76 | 9120.0586 | $2.2083 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 37 | 723.3794 | $6.5521 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 77 | 9705.6328 | $2.8832 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 38 | 766.6470 | $2.4288 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 78 | 10165.0117 | $3.8164 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 39 | 825.6113 | $5.5078 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 79 | 11078.0430 | $4.8872 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 40 | 870.8198 | $2.4876 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 80 | 11799.3281 | $6.0993 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |



Table 10.3.4.2.1 MFR ExLo Bench Noise Plot ( $220 \mathrm{pF}|\mid 10 \mathrm{M} \Omega$ )

| Channel | Frequency <br> $(\mathrm{Hz})$ | $\mathrm{V}^{2} / \mathrm{Hz}$ | Channel | Frequency <br> $(\mathrm{Hz})$ | $\mathrm{V}^{2} / \mathrm{Hz}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 23.8910 | $3.8826 \mathrm{e}-11$ | 41 | 932.4595 | $4.0367 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 2 | 26.2510 | $1.0598 \mathrm{e}-11$ | 42 | 995.7109 | $1.8801 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 3 | 29.1468 | $9.5246 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 43 | 1060.6372 | $8.5983 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 4 | 32.4228 | $1.5304 \mathrm{e}-11$ | 44 | 1144.2549 | $5.0360 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 5 | 36.2695 | $1.7657 \mathrm{e}-11$ | 45 | 1213.2041 | $4.6635 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 6 | 40.8909 | $4.4648 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 46 | 1302.0835 | $7.9548 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 7 | 46.5109 | $4.9808 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 47 | 1375.4399 | $5.0760 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 8 | 52.8165 | $1.7952 \mathrm{e}-11$ | 48 | 1470.0942 | $4.1985 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 9 | 60.4319 | $9.6492 \mathrm{e}-11$ | 49 | 1536.8867 | $3.5152 \mathrm{e}-13$ |
| 10 | 69.4643 | $1.9065 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 50 | 1671.8125 | $1.8381 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 11 | 80.0469 | $2.4007 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 51 | 1785.1016 | $8.4549 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 12 | 92.3442 | $3.6885 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 52 | 1899.1719 | $1.0964 \mathrm{e}-13$ |
| 13 | 107.2421 | $3.4145 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 53 | 2083.3320 | $2.5231 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 14 | 124.3865 | $4.9362 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 54 | 2269.5547 | $2.7978 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 15 | 144.8837 | $2.7084 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 55 | 2316.4336 | $5.5457 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 16 | 169.0493 | $6.6306 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 56 | 2481.5547 | $1.3224 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 17 | 192.1108 | $6.1263 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 57 | 2648.3047 | $5.4647 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 18 | 214.6289 | $2.5308 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 58 | 2816.7148 | $1.7627 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 19 | 225.9814 | $2.4801 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 59 | 3011.2422 | $1.1679 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 20 | 237.3965 | $1.9852 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 60 | 3208.0039 | $6.2201 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 21 | 260.4165 | $2.1159 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 61 | 3432.0820 | $4.8378 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 22 | 272.0229 | $2.5866 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 62 | 3659.0898 | $4.5950 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 23 | 295.4307 | $5.1135 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 63 | 3992.2891 | $8.8737 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 24 | 307.2329 | $1.3298 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 64 | 4279.2383 | $2.9541 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 25 | 331.0381 | $5.3696 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 65 | 4651.2734 | $5.2517 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 26 | 355.1138 | $1.4792 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 66 | 4813.1094 | $7.0365 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 27 | 379.4644 | $1.7545 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 67 | 5086.1094 | $1.4193 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 28 | 404.0947 | $1.2339 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 68 | 5419.2148 | $5.7480 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 29 | 429.0103 | $1.7521 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 69 | 5758.4805 | $1.7403 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 30 | 454.2153 | $1.0763 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 70 | 6162.3164 | $4.9639 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 31 | 492.5771 | $1.5479 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 71 | 6575.0586 | $5.3756 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 32 | 518.5288 | $4.5320 \mathrm{e}-15$ | 72 | 7027.5117 | $7.3359 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 33 | 558.0356 | $1.3545 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 73 | 7490.9219 | $3.9658 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 34 | 598.2544 | $1.8104 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 74 | 7997.7500 | $4.1861 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 35 | 639.2046 | $3.5986 \mathrm{e}-15$ | 75 | 8518.0195 | $4.8568 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 36 | 680.9058 | $1.1516 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 76 | 9120.0586 | $5.0310 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 37 | 723.3794 | $7.1553 \mathrm{e}-15$ | 77 | 9705.6328 | $4.6990 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 38 | 766.6470 | $6.7307 \mathrm{e}-15$ | 78 | 10165.0117 | $7.5990 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 39 | 825.6113 | $8.1921 \mathrm{e}-15$ | 79 | 11078.0430 | $7.0746 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 40 | 870.8198 | $4.1560 \mathrm{e}-15$ | 80 | 11799.3281 | $4.5642 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |



Table 10.3.4.2.2 MFR In-Flight ExLo Noise Plot (220pf)

| Channel | Frequency <br> $(H z)$ | $\mathrm{V}^{2} / \mathrm{Hz}$ | Channel | Frequency <br> $(\mathrm{Hz})$ | $\mathrm{V}^{2} / \mathrm{Hz}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 23.8910 | $1.2353 \mathrm{e}-11$ | 41 | 932.4595 | $8.2379 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 2 | 26.2510 | $9.2171 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 42 | 995.7109 | $7.5204 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 3 | 29.1468 | $4.3857 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 43 | 1060.6372 | $8.5983 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 4 | 32.4228 | $4.4753 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 44 | 1144.2549 | $6.3738 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 5 | 36.2695 | $4.8878 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 45 | 1213.2041 | $2.7507 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 6 | 40.8909 | $1.8450 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 46 | 1302.0835 | $3.8980 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 7 | 46.5109 | $1.3824 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 47 | 1375.4399 | $3.8858 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 8 | 52.8165 | $2.8241 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 48 | 1470.0942 | $2.1423 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 9 | 60.4319 | $1.0326 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 49 | 1536.8867 | $3.2676 \mathrm{e}-13$ |
| 10 | 69.4643 | $5.8028 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 50 | 1671.8125 | $1.1118 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 11 | 80.0469 | $4.7054 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 51 | 1785.1016 | $1.1510 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 12 | 92.3442 | $4.9113 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 52 | 1899.1719 | $2.4736 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 13 | 107.2421 | $2.4477 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 53 | 2083.3320 | $1.9642 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 14 | 124.3865 | $1.2341 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 54 | 2269.5547 | $1.4802 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 15 | 144.8837 | $1.5236 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 55 | 2316.4336 | $7.7457 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 16 | 169.0493 | $2.9466 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 56 | 2481.5547 | $6.2499 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 17 | 192.1108 | $4.7442 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 57 | 2648.3047 | $2.6021 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 18 | 214.6289 | $1.7574 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 58 | 2816.7148 | $8.6379 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 19 | 225.9814 | $1.5003 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 59 | 3011.2422 | $1.3186 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 20 | 237.3965 | $7.3837 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 60 | 3208.0039 | $1.5596 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 21 | 260.4165 | $2.4289 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 61 | 3432.0820 | $3.9982 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 22 | 272.0229 | $2.8998 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 62 | 3659.0898 | $7.4435 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 23 | 295.4307 | $1.0742 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 63 | 3992.2891 | $6.6655 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 24 | 307.2329 | $2.0780 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 64 | 4279.2383 | $1.5312 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 25 | 331.0381 | $2.4962 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 65 | 4651.2734 | $3.3612 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 26 | 355.1138 | $2.3113 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 66 | 4813.1094 | $3.6703 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 27 | 379.4644 | $2.6575 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 67 | 5086.1094 | $1.1362 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 28 | 404.0947 | $1.2339 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 68 | 5419.2148 | $4.8976 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 29 | 429.0103 | $3.1150 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 69 | 5758.4805 | $1.2575 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 30 | 454.2153 | $8.7180 \mathrm{e}-15$ | 70 | 6162.3164 | $4.1712 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 31 | 492.5771 | $1.0002 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 71 | 6575.0586 | $3.7333 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 32 | 518.5288 | $1.1191 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 72 | 7027.5117 | $3.5160 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 33 | 558.0356 | $9.4059 \mathrm{e}-15$ | 73 | 7490.9219 | $9.4714 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 34 | 598.2544 | $7.4816 \mathrm{e}-15$ | 74 | 7997.7500 | $3.4601 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 35 | 639.2046 | $8.0974 \mathrm{e}-15$ | 75 | 8518.0195 | $5.7002 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 36 | 680.9058 | $6.0920 \mathrm{e}-15$ | 76 | 9120.0586 | $5.0310 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 37 | 723.3794 | $5.6536 \mathrm{e}-15$ | 77 | 9705.6328 | $3.9485 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 38 | 766.6470 | $8.3094 \mathrm{e}-15$ | 78 | 10165.0117 | $1.0118 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 39 | 825.6113 | $6.4728 \mathrm{e}-15$ | 79 | 11078.0430 | $5.1976 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 40 | 870.8198 | $5.4283 \mathrm{e}-15$ | 80 | 11799.3281 | $5.4316 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |



Table 10.3.4.2.3 MFR Bx Bench Noise Plot

| Channel | Frequency <br> $(\mathrm{Hz})$ | $\mathrm{nT}^{2} / \mathrm{Hz}$ | Channel | Frequency <br> $(\mathrm{Hz})$ | $\mathrm{nT}^{2} / \mathrm{Hz}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 23.8910 | $8.7224 \mathrm{e}-07$ | 41 | 932.4595 | $4.9002 \mathrm{e}-10$ |
| 2 | 26.2510 | $3.0116 \mathrm{e}-07$ | 42 | 995.7109 | $7.1969 \mathrm{e}-10$ |
| 3 | 29.1468 | $1.3429 \mathrm{e}-07$ | 43 | 1060.6372 | $3.8582 \mathrm{e}-10$ |
| 4 | 32.4228 | $1.0627 \mathrm{e}-07$ | 44 | 1144.2549 | $6.0502 \mathrm{e}-10$ |
| 5 | 36.2695 | $8.3312 \mathrm{e}-08$ | 45 | 1213.2041 | $5.7067 \mathrm{e}-10$ |
| 6 | 40.8909 | $1.9907 \mathrm{e}-07$ | 46 | 1302.0835 | $6.1370 \mathrm{e}-10$ |
| 7 | 46.5109 | $8.4046 \mathrm{e}-07$ | 47 | 1375.4399 | $6.1284 \mathrm{e}-10$ |
| 8 | 52.8165 | $4.0902 \mathrm{e}-06$ | 48 | 1470.0942 | $3.8782 \mathrm{e}-10$ |
| 9 | 60.4319 | $3.0581 \mathrm{e}-05$ | 49 | 1536.8867 | $3.0074 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 10 | 69.4643 | $1.3217 \mathrm{e}-06$ | 50 | 1671.8125 | $9.5305 \mathrm{e}-10$ |
| 11 | 80.0469 | $5.1971 \mathrm{e}-08$ | 51 | 1785.1016 | $1.2400 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 12 | 92.3442 | $1.4943 \mathrm{e}-08$ | 52 | 1899.1719 | $1.3470 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 13 | 107.2421 | $2.3355 \mathrm{e}-07$ | 53 | 2083.3320 | $1.4771 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 14 | 124.3865 | $5.3205 \mathrm{e}-07$ | 54 | 2269.5547 | $1.5098 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 15 | 144.8837 | $1.7509 \mathrm{e}-08$ | 55 | 2316.4336 | $1.1225 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 16 | 169.0493 | $4.3995 \mathrm{e}-07$ | 56 | 2481.5547 | $1.2696 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 17 | 192.1108 | $3.1684 \mathrm{e}-06$ | 57 | 2648.3047 | $9.0248 \mathrm{e}-10$ |
| 18 | 214.6289 | $2.3264 \mathrm{e}-08$ | 58 | 2816.7148 | $1.0188 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 19 | 225.9814 | $4.1438 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 59 | 3011.2422 | $1.1329 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 20 | 237.3965 | $7.7991 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 60 | 3208.0039 | $1.3824 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 21 | 260.4165 | $9.9422 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 61 | 3432.0820 | $1.2838 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 22 | 272.0229 | $7.9735 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 62 | 3659.0898 | $1.2967 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 23 | 295.4307 | $9.1908 \mathrm{e}-08$ | 63 | 3992.2891 | $1.2768 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 24 | 307.2329 | $1.5470 \mathrm{e}-07$ | 64 | 4279.2383 | $1.7217 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 25 | 331.0381 | $2.2296 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 65 | 4651.2734 | $2.0345 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 26 | 355.1138 | $2.0669 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 66 | 4813.1094 | $2.9729 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 27 | 379.4644 | $7.7112 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 67 | 5086.1094 | $1.2157 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 28 | 404.0947 | $1.1662 \mathrm{e}-08$ | 68 | 5419.2148 | $1.2602 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 29 | 429.0103 | $6.5289 \mathrm{e}-08$ | 69 | 5758.4805 | $1.7143 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 30 | 454.2153 | $1.3440 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 70 | 6162.3164 | $1.4432 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 31 | 492.5771 | $1.5999 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 71 | 6575.0586 | $1.2603 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 32 | 518.5288 | $7.7544 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 72 | 7027.5117 | $2.0443 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 33 | 558.0356 | $2.1965 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 73 | 7490.9219 | $1.4152 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 34 | 598.2544 | $6.9421 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 74 | 7997.7500 | $1.5176 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 35 | 639.2046 | $4.8049 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 75 | 8518.0195 | $1.8376 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 36 | 680.9058 | $8.0556 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 76 | 9120.0586 | $2.5294 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 37 | 723.3794 | $6.6836 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 77 | 9705.6328 | $1.7510 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 38 | 766.6470 | $2.0952 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 78 | 10165.0117 | $2.4805 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 39 | 825.6113 | $8.6228 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 79 | 11078.0430 | $6.4390 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 40 | 870.8198 | $3.7801 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 80 | 11799.3281 | $4.6106 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
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## Introduction.

This document summarizes the procedures used for the calibration of the flight model of the receiver KRONOS/HFR on Cassini-RPWS. It contains all the informations allowing to convert raw data from telemetry to calibrated measurements in physical units.

Four types of files are found in the appendices:

1) data tables (*.dat), complete if not too long, with indications of the order in which data are organized.
2) Matlab programs (*.m) used for this document.
3) a few other useful files.
4) IDL programs (*.pro) putting in practice the above tables for actual data calibration (amplitudes and phases). ${ }^{2}$

## Preliminary discussion.

The figure below is a very simplified sketch of the HFR, which main purpose is to identify calibration needs :


There are thus eight analog physical receivers. On the one hand, there are the $2 \times 3$ ABC receivers covering contiguous frequency ranges of relative width $\mathrm{f}_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{f}_{\text {min }}=4.5$ each. These bands are more finely analyzed by the digital analyzer (ADSP) in 8,16 , or 32 logarithmically spaced channels.

[^2]On the other hand, there are the two high frequency (HF) heterodyne receivers covering each a broad frequency range thanks to tunable oscillators and quartz filters, not shown on the figure. These receivers select an instantaneous band of 25 kHz width, a finer analysis of which is possible with the ADSP.

The calibrations mainly concern the analog part of the receivers (but the "gain" of the digital part must also be known). The receivers have a variable gain, as a function of the frequency as well as of the input level. A more complete sketch of one receiver (of $A B C$ type, i.e. without the additional frequency shifting circuit) connected to the Ex dipole is shown below for clarity :


There is a preamplifier for each monopole antenna. Telecommand allows to select either one, of both in differential mode. A 30 dB attenuator may also be selected. This front-end part of the receiver has a fixed transfer function independent of the input level but may exhibit frequency dependent variations.

The following stage of the receiver has a variable gain, and is called AGC (for Automatic $\underline{G}$ ain $\underline{\text { Control). It }}$. has two purposes : amplify the signal up to a detectable level, and provide a way to measure the signal with an accuracy little dependent on the input level. The signal is amplified to a constant level of $0.5 \mathrm{~V}_{\text {eff. }}$. This is the optimal level for the subsequent digitization. After detection, a error-amplifying circuit retroacts on the variable gain stage so that the above fixed output level is reached (on average). The input voltage to the correction circuit is digitized in order to know the instantaneous gain. The gain response will be discussed in the next section.

The bandpass filter being part of the feedback loop restricts the frequency range to the one which will be subsequently analyzed digitally.

Digitization is performed with the ADC ( $\underline{\text { Analog to } \underline{\text { Digital }} \text { Converter). }}$
The philosophy chosen for calibration and retrieval of measurement values in physical units consists of modeling separately the analog parts depending or not on signal amplitude, i.e. the AGC or the front-end of the receiver. The contribution of the digital part is taken into account automatically by the same measurements.

## Receivers modeling.

Below is an example of plot of the output of a receiver as a function of the input signal. It shows a nearly linear dependency between the input voltage $\log (\mathrm{V})$ in dB and the continuous AGC output in telemetry points.


It has been shown that this curve is well described by the formula :

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=\mathrm{A}_{2} \cdot \log _{10}\left\{\left[10^{\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}-x\right) / 10}+10^{\mathrm{A}_{4} / 10}\right]^{1 / 4}-1\right\}+\mathrm{A}_{3} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this expression, N is the telemetry level (number of points) and x represents the attenuation of the input signal in dB . We can see that $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ defines the general slope of the curve, in points/dB/40. $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ plays the role of the receiver's gain, in dB . $\mathrm{A}_{4}$ represents either the receiver noise, or the constant level of an external perturbating noise. The coefficient $A_{3}$ does not have any clear significance. It could correspond to an internal receiver offset, but such an offset should not actually exist. However taking it into account may improve the quality of the fits.

A Matlab program was written to fit the values of the four coefficients $A_{1}$ to $A_{4}$. It has a graphical interface which allows to select the points to fit. An example is given in the figure below :
band H1-antenne $+\mathrm{X}-425 \mathrm{kHz}$ - fichier : logh1_20.020


This curve was built as follows : a very stable noise generator (see discussion below) was used to feed the instrument through a programmable attenuator. 64 steps of 2 dB each have been measured. The "+" symbols represent all the raw telemetry values. The "*" symbols represent the points selected interactively for the fit. The solid line is the fitted curve. The blue dots, which refer to the ordinate scale on the right, are the residuals between the raw values and the fit. The values found for parameters $A_{1}-A_{4}$ are displayed.

The AGC is characterized mostly by $A_{2}$ and $A_{3}$. $A_{1}$ corresponds to the overall receiver gain, and thus to the horizontal position of the curve - a gain modification would only shift the curve to the right or to the felt. $\mathrm{A}_{4}$ concerns the conditions at the receiver input, not the AGC.

For the $A B C$ receivers, the $A_{1}$ coefficients are considered to be constant in the corresponding frequency ranges. Our measures characterize thus average values over the whole frequency bands. Gain variations inside a band are taken into account via the "dBcal" tables (see below). For the HF receivers, it is necessary to measure the gain at each channel center frequency.

Note that on the above two curves, the abscissa ( dB attenuation) does not have any absolute reference. Actually, the " 0 dB " level corresponds to a level that we will name below dBV0, minus the value of possible fixed (and known) attenuators which are part of the circuit.

## Attenuators

Calibrations of the log responses (1) can be made with or without the internal fixed selectable attenuators. We have found that calibrations "with" give better results because the effect of electrical perturbations at the receiver input is reduced. We use mainly the calibrations with a variable input level in order to determine the coefficients $A_{2}$ et $A_{3}$ characterizing the AGCs.

For ABC receivers, the coefficients $A_{1}$ (characterizing the gain) are obtained through fits with "attenuator ON", and modified for the case "attenuator OFF" through a direct measurement of the attenuation performed at a constant adequate level. For these receivers, the characteristics of attenuators are thus very easy to take into account. This is because the attenuators simply consist of two resistors cabled as shown on the above synoptic sketch. Thus we found that the attenuations do not vary with frequency in the $A B C$ bands. The values found are 30.1 dB for the Ex receiver and 30.0 dB for the Ez receiver.

For the HF receivers, implementation is more difficult, and attenuation is consequently frequency-dependent. This results in one set of coefficients $A_{1}$ per frequency, with and without attenuator.

## DBcal

The previous sections only concern the analog front end of our receivers. Moreover, gain variations inside the analyzed bands are not taken into account, but only an average gain is determined. These variations will be taken into account during the analysis of the outputs of the digital analysis part, the "autos" (autocorrelations).

Let us remind that the receiver's AGC sends to the digital processor (ADSP) a signal with constant power in the band to be analyzed. The processor performs a finer spectral analysis of the signal in the band. Its "auto" outputs give the measured power in each sub-band, with a quasi-logarithmical coding of the form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=2^{E *}(M+8) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

the information being transmitted as 8-bit words [e4 e3 e2 e1 e0 m2 m1 m0].
When we send a signal with flat spectrum (white noise) at the receiver's input, we get a series of output "autos" approximately equal but not quite, because they include the variations of gain with frequency, for the analog part as well as for the digital part of the receiver. But, if the signal contains spectral variations within the band analyzed, some "autos" output will increase while others will necessarily decrease. This is illustrated in the next figure (raw "autos" outputs converted to dB , versus channel number, with variable SNR) :


The six curves represent the output levels (converted in dB ) of the Cx receiver ( C band, Ex sensor - Flight Model \#2), when fed by the sum of a white noise and of a sinusoid at 174 kHz . The curve labeled " $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}=0$ " corresponds to a white noise input only. The curve labeled " $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}=0 \mathrm{~dB}$ " corresponds to a mixture of white noise plus sinusoidal signal with approximately equal powers. The other curves result from increasing attenuation applied to the noise in order to increase progressively the Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR).

The values for white noise alone, recorded in the best possible experimental conditions, serve as a reference called "dBcal" values (see below).

The next figure displays the same data when both AGC and dBcal are taken into account. The noise level for the cases " $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}=0$ " and " $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}=0 \mathrm{~dB}$ " are the same and the signal level in channel \#19 (corresponding to the frequency of the sinusoid) is the same in every case, except of course for " $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}=0$ ". Note that this example suffers two imperfections : (i) the calibration coefficients used are those of FM\#1 (while the FM\#2 was used for obtaining the displayed curves), and (ii) a few electrical perturbations affected the experiment.


## Calibration methods.

## GSE

The heart of the calibration equipment is the so-called "stimuli" of the GSE (Ground Support Equipment). The stimuli are very stable and reliable generators of noise or sinusoidal signals, followed by programmable attenuators (as stable and reliable). The whole system is physically located in a bay dedicated to this application and can be entirely controlled by an external computer (PC).

The noise generator of the stimuli used is of the type "pseudo-random". Its output is a logic signal of amplitude $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{c}}$ and consists of a series of '0's and ' 1 's, with a seemingly random occurrence. The maximum frequency of switching between consecutive values if the clock frequency $F_{s}$. Vc is approximately constant but $F_{s}$ changes depending on the bandwidth used. A different clock frequency is used for calibrating each receiver.

The total output power is equal to $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2} / 4$. The distribution of the power inside the band varies as $\sin ^{2}(x) / x^{2}$, with a first zero at $F_{s}$, which implies $x=\pi^{*} f / F_{s}$. As the sum of $\sin ^{2}(x) / x^{2}$ between zero and infinity is equal to $\pi / 2$, and as $\sin ^{2}(x) / x^{2} \rightarrow 1$ for $x$ $\rightarrow 0$, the noise spectral density at low frequencies is $V_{c}^{2} / 4 / F_{s} / 2$ (in Volts squared per Hertz).

We refer to this spectral density as V 0 or dBV0 in our formulas. A way to measure it precisely is to measure the peak-to-peak voltage with a digital oscilloscope. The results are :

| Band | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{kHz})$ | dBV0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 1,86 | 40 | -43,6 |
| B | 1,86 | 180 | -50,2 |
| C | 1,835 | 800 | -56,8 |
| H1 | 1,805 | 4800 | -64,7 |
| H2 | 1,55-1,7 | 40000 | -75,2/-74,4 $\ddagger$-74,3 |

Measurements performed with an accurate voltmeter gave identical results for the bands $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H} 1$. Measurements are difficult for band H 2 , so that we use the method described below for better determining the spectral density in this band.

We have a good confidence for the determination of dBV0 in the H 1 band. In addition, we recorded pairs of test files with identical stimulation conditions except for the $\mathrm{H} 1 / \mathrm{H} 2$ clock, and an adequate frequency coverage ("flucthf1.020" and "flucthf.022" files). The analysis of these files shows a difference of 9,6 dB between the two generators at low frequencies. The difference in clock frequency contributes for a difference of $9,2 \mathrm{~dB}$, so that we find in addition a $0,4 \mathrm{~dB}$ decrease of the level (i.e. $\sim 1,7 \vee$ pour $V_{c}$ ), which seems reasonable. The dBV 0 value for H 2 band becomes thus -74,3.

The figure below displays the spectrum relative to the value of dBV0 calculated for the generator tuned to band C. The vertical dotted line at 320 kHz marks the maximum frequency of the C band.


## Specific discussion of bands ABC :

It must be noted that the average power in the useful (effective) band is thus not equal to dBVO. This is especially important for bands $A B C$, for which the whole band is analyzed simultaneously. This correction is described in the file "correction_sinx_x_abc.txt" given in appendix. The resulting final dBVO values for ABC are thus :

```
dBV0(A) = -44.61
dBV0(B) = -51.14
dBV0(C) = -57.76
```

In the previous section, we have explained that the dBcal's correspond to "autos" outputs in the presence of white noise, i.e. with a flat spectrum. For the bands $A B C$, we have determined these dBcal coefficients using measurements performed with the noise generator in position "H1". This brings in a worst case error of 0,06 dB. We have used the file "fluctabc.004", with the processing described in "dbcal32.txt" (see appendix).

This latter processing corresponds to the case of 32 channels in ABC bands. For the cases of 16 and 8 channels, we have used the specific files "32filabc.002", "16filabc.001", and "8filtabc.001", with the processing using "dbcal_2.m" and continued with "dbcal_2.txt" (see appendix).

A final step is to incorporate the dBVO in the coefficients found for the $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ values. With the polarities used, it is necessary to subtract them, i.e. to increase them in absolute value.

Final values of $A_{1}, A_{2}$, and $A_{3}$ coefficients are found in the file "a123.dat" given in appendix with annotations. dBcal's are listed in files "dBcal_08.dat", "dBcal_16.dat," and "dBcal_32.dat" also reproduced in appendix.

## Specific discussion of bands H1/2 :

We have explained above that the fitted coefficients $A_{2}$ and $A_{3}$ are those which characterize the AGC part of the receivers. $A_{1}$ corresponds to the receiver's gain and $\mathrm{A}_{4}$ to ambient noise, internal or external. But the receivers $\mathrm{H} 1 / 2$ are designed so that the AGC part works at constant frequency whatever the observing frequency. We consider thus that unique values can be used for $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ (for X and for Z ), but that $A_{1}$ must be determined for each center frequency of observation.

In order to obtain the best values for $A_{2}$ and $A_{3}$, we have performed several fittings over the whole frequency band, at selected "clean" frequencies (with little electrical perturbations). The results of these fittings is listed in the file "ahf.dat" in appendix. We have taken average values for $A_{2} X$ and $Z: 96,76$ and 94,81 . For $A_{3}$ we have chosen " 0 " for both receivers. This choice is not critical because it can be compensated by small variations of $A_{1}$.

Then the frequency-dependent $A_{1}$ are determined through measurements with white noise input (and correction for $\sin (\mathrm{x}) / \mathrm{x})$. We have adopted the following scheme : we have two files ("flucthf.021" and "flucthf.022") for which white noise has been injected at an adequate level and observed at all HF2 frequencies, starting from 25 kHz . The receiver was in direction-finding mode in order to measure the response of both X inputs, with and without the internal attenuator. We have used the program "a1h2find.m" (given in appendix) in order to determine the $A_{1}$ coefficients which would give the expected noise spectrum in $\sin (x) / x$. The value found above for dBV0 $(-74.3 \mathrm{dBV})$ was subtracted from the $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ in order to simplify the processing. This value should thus not be used again in this case.

The curve of the spectral variation of $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ versus HF2 frequency is given below. The observed undulations correspond to variations of the response of anti-image frequency filters.


Next, $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ values must be determined for HF1 frequencies, i.e. every 25 kHz instead of 50 kHz for HF2. It appeared to be more interesting to interpolate the $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ values found for HF2 than to recalculate a whole new set of coefficients with different experimental data, in order to avoid small discrepancies at common frequencies. This has been done with the routine "a1hf1find.m".

As H1/2 instantaneously analyze only relatively narrow spectral bands, the dBcal's do not need to be corrected for input spectral power variations of the form
$\sin (x) / x$ within the band because the level does not vary practically over the 25 kHz width of the filter. The processing of dBcal's for a number of sub-band channels of 1 , 2,4 , and 8 is identical to that applied to ABC (with data files "1filthf.001", "2filthf.001", "4filthf.001", and "8filthf.001").

## Phases

It is quite easy to measure the phase shifts introduced by the receivers, i.e. the one found at the input of digitizers with identical input signals on $+X$ and $Z$ or $-X$ and $Z$ antennas, due to phase advances or delays in the analog parts of the receiver. These phase shifts are obtained as the arctangent of cross-correlation outputs with adequate input conditions. This is done by the program "phasemag.m" listed in appendix.

Data files used for ABC are "llgar20.020", "llgbr20.020", and "llgcr20.020" for the measurements without the internal attenuator, and "logata20.020", "logatb20.020", and "logatc20.020" with the attenuator. Phase differences due to the presence of the attenuator are negligible $\left(\sim 0,1^{\circ}\right)$, so that a single table can be used in both cases. Slightly larger differences exist between $+X$ and $-X\left(+180^{\circ}\right)$ sensors, up to $3^{\circ}$.

We have used the Matlab program "phaseabc.m" to determine phase shift values. This program calls the subroutine "phasemag.m". Note that the values for the $+/-\mathrm{X}$ dipole mode are simply arithmetic averages of the values found for +X and -X separately.

The files mentioned above only correspond to the 32 channel case. Files for 16 and 8 channels have all been recorded with the $+X$ sensor only. Their analysis has shown that the phase shifts found for the 16 channel case are very close to arithmetic averages 2 by 2 of the 32 channel ones. So, this scheme was chosen to calculate the phases in files "phasenn_ABC.dat".

For HF1/2, two distinct calculations are necessary : one for the overall variations versus frequency (as for the $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ coefficients), and the other for $1,2,4$, or 8 filters. We have proceeded as follows :

We had two filed in HF2 ("flucthf.021" and "flucthf.022") covering the whole frequency range, resp. with and without the internal attenuator, with direction finding ON, and only one filter per 25 kHz band. As for ABC we have used the program "phasemag.m" to determine the phase shift. We have interpolated the results to obtain the values for frequencies $2 * n * 25 \mathrm{kHz}$, and simply duplicated the 25 kHz values for 0 Hz . Then, we have found interesting to fit the results with a smoothed spline curve, which has been done with the program "phase_hf_fit.m". An exempla of result from this fitting is displayed on the figure below :
phases HF1, +X, att off, avant et après fitting


These phases are tabulated in the files "phase_hf1.dat" and "phase_hf2.dat".
To compute the phase shifts inside the 25 kHz band, we have four files recorded in nearly identical conditions except for the number of filters in the band : "1filt.001", "2filt.001", "4filt.001", and "8filt.001". We have computed each phase shift with respect to that obtained for 1 filter taken as a reference. The resulting table is in "phase1248_hf.dat".

The two types of phase shifts must be added together.

## Back to measurements in physical units.

The conversion of raw TM data to measurements in physical units follows the general method described in the programs "physique.m" and "phasemag.m" or "correl_phase.m".

In a first stage, one must determine the total power in the band, or more exactly the spectral density of the white noise which would correspond to the same AGC level. This is done using the following formula ( $x$ is in $d B V / \sqrt{H z}$ ), which is simply the inverse of formula (1) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=-\mathrm{A}_{1}+40 \cdot \log _{10}\left[10^{\left(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{A}_{3}\right) / \mathrm{A}_{2}}+1\right] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the case when there is no auto-correlation measurement, for example for HF1/2 with a number of filters =1, this formula gives the signal amplitude in physical units. But in general, and especially for ABC, the spectrum in the band is not white noise, thus it will be necessary to analyze the autos. As described above, the available dBcal are the auto outputs in case of a white noise. The problem is thus to compare the measured auto with these dBcal .
dBcal are expressed in dB , while auto are expressed using the 8 -bit quasilogarithmic coding described above. We must then convert the auto in dB , as done by the program "ntodb.m" in appendix, and then perform the following calculation to obtain the spectral power in each channel :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{dBV} / \sqrt{ } \mathrm{Hz}=X+\mathrm{dBauto}-\mathrm{dBcal} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Cross-correlation data are transmitted with the same coding as autos. To compute the true correlation ratio ( $\tau$ ), the real and imaginary cross-correlation outputs must be compared to the two corresponding auto outputs ( X and Z ), according to the following calculation :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau_{\text {real }}=N_{\text {cross-real }} /\left(N_{\text {auto-X }} \times N_{\text {auto-Z }}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \tau_{\text {imag }}=N_{\text {cross-imag }} /\left(N_{\text {auto-X }} \times N_{\text {auto-Z }}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where N is calculated according to formula (2) [cf. "correl_phase.m" in appendix]. Also,

$$
\tau_{\text {total }}=\left(\tau_{\text {real }}^{2}+\tau_{\text {imag }}{ }^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{\text {real }}=\tau_{\text {total }}^{*} \cos (\theta+\psi) \\
& \tau_{\text {imag }}=\tau_{\text {total }}^{*} \sin (\theta+\psi)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\theta$ represents the phase to be measured and $\psi$ the phase shift introduced by the receivers. To determine $\theta$, one has to compute the arctangent of $\tau_{\text {imag }} / \tau_{\text {real }}$ and then subtract the $\psi$ taken from the phase shift tables.

## Appendices.

## Data tables.

## a123.dat

| A1 | A2 | A3 | A1 (att. ON) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ------ | ----- | ---- | ------ |  |  |  |
| 166.37 | 73.20 | -0.01 | 136.27 | Ex | band A |  |
| 164.84 | 73.16 | 3.21 | 134.84 | Ez | " | " |
| 168.48 | 85.61 | 0.08 | 138.38 | Ex | band | B |
| 168.70 | 85.03 | 1.31 | 138.70 | Ez | " | " |
| 168.43 | 82.58 | 0.01 | 138.33 | Ex | band |  |
| 169.46 | 82.30 | 0.00 | 139.46 | Ez | " | " |
| 0 | 94.81 | 0 | 0 | Ex | band | HF |
| 0 | 96.76 | 0 | 0 | Ez | " | " |

## ahf.dat

| X (dipole) |  | -- |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| n | $5 \mathrm{n}+8$ | $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{kHz})$ | A1 | A2 | A 3 | A 4 |
| 3 | 23 | 1175 | 76.65 | 96.74 | 0.00 | -13.98 |
| 7 | 43 | 2175 | 76.30 | 96.55 | 0.00 | -11.76 |
| 9 | 53 | 2675 | 76.00 | 96.50 | 0.00 | -11.12 |
| 11 | 63 | 3175 | 75.79 | 96.70 | 0.00 | -11.50 |
| 14 | 78 | 3925 | 75.45 | 96.89 | 0.00 | -11.24 |
| 17 | 93 | 4675 | 75.36 | 97.15 | 0.00 | -10.08 |
| 19 | 103 | 5175 | 75.46 | 97.11 | 0.00 | -7.71 |
| 24 | 128 | 6425 | 76.18 | 97.06 | 0.01 | -7.90 |
| 29 | 153 | 7675 | 72.86 | 93.51 | 16.05 | -7.02 |
| 35 | 183 | 9175 | 79.80 | 97.31 | 0.00 | -5.92 |
| 40 | 208 | 10425 | 77.74 | 96.21 | 12.65 | 6 e 4 |
| 49 | 253 | 12675 | 84.51 | 96.81 | 0.00 | -9.40 |
| 53 | 273 | 13675 | 81.16 | 96.61 | 7.83 | 1.9 e 5 |
| 57 | 293 | 14675 | 79.88 | 96.11 | 11.43 | 2.7 e 4 |
| 62 | 318 | 15925 | 82.38 | 96.89 | 6.65 | -3.72 |

        mean \(1-8 \& 10-15=96.76\)
                            mean \(1-15=96.54\)
    Z--

| n | $5 \mathrm{n}+8$ | $\mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{kHz})$ | A 1 | A 2 | A 3 | A 4 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 23 | 1175 | 77.12 | 94.86 | 0.0 | -13.31 |
| 7 | 43 | 2175 | 76.66 | 94.55 | 0.01 | -11.30 |
| 9 | 53 | 2675 | 76.11 | 94.88 | 0.01 | -10.68 |
| 11 | 63 | 3175 | 75.90 | 94.71 | 0.0 | -11.05 |
| 14 | 78 | 3925 | 75.58 | 94.55 | 0.0 | -10.54 |
| 17 | 93 | 4675 | 75.30 | 95.00 | 0.0 | -9.54 |
| 19 | 103 | 5175 | 75.48 | 94.54 | 0.01 | -6.85 |
| 24 | 128 | 6425 | 75.98 | 94.67 | 0.01 | -6.49 |
| 29 | 153 | 7675 | 77.13 | 95.05 | 0.01 | -7.62 |
| 35 | 183 | 9175 | 79.61 | 95.27 | 0.01 | -6.21 |
| 40 | 208 | 10425 | 76.06 | 93.25 | 16.9 | $1.6 e+006$ |


| 49 | 253 | 12675 | 80.27 | 93.22 | 9.3 | -6.93 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 53 | 273 | 13675 | 79.45 | 94.16 | 8.9 | $4 \mathrm{e}+004$ |
| 57 | 293 | 14675 | 78.48 | 92.96 | 12.7 | -4.64 |
| 62 | 318 | 15925 | 83.44 | 95.88 | 0.01 | -4.41 |
|  |  |  | ----- |  |  |  |
|  |  | mean | $1-10$ | $=94.81$ |  |  |

## a1hf1.dat

| +Ex | -Ex | +/-Ex | Ez | +Ex | -Ex | +/-Ex | Ez |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 168.78 | 165.78 | 167.27 | 168.21 | 144.70 | 141.78 | 143.23 | 144.24 | f0 | 0 |
| 168.78 | 165.78 | 167.27 | 168.21 | 144.70 | 141.78 | 143.23 | 144.24 | f1 | 25 |
| 167.38 | 165.72 | 166.55 | 166.27 | 142.08 | 140.43 | 141.25 | 141.06 | f2 | 50 |
| 165.99 | 165.66 | 165.82 | 164.33 | 139.46 | 139.08 | 139.27 | 137.87 | f3 | 75 |
|  |  |  | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 174.83 | 174.75 | 174.79 | 175.08 | 149.40 | 149.72 | 149.56 | 149.42 | f171 | 4275 |
| 174.81 | 174.75 | 174.78 | 175.08 | 149.45 | 149.72 | 149.58 | 149.42 | f172 | 4300 |

## a1hf2.dat



## dbcal_08.dat

| 70.26 | 70.87 | 70.57 | 70.51 | 71.41 | 71.58 | 71.49 | 71.24 | 69.66 | 69.63 | 69.65 | 69.21 | C0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69.92 | 70.26 | 70.09 | 70.21 | 71.92 | 71.98 | 71.95 | 71.84 | 69.14 | 69.11 | 69.12 | 68.84 |  |
| 69.39 | 69.74 | 69.56 | 69.76 | 71.53 | 71.48 | 71.50 | 71.46 | 68.42 | 68.31 | 68.36 | 68.20 |  |
| 69.06 | 69.40 | 69.23 | 69.29 | 70.58 | 70.55 | 70.57 | 70.56 | 67.73 | 67.68 | 67.70 | 67.65 |  |
| 68.87 | 68.99 | 68.93 | 69.08 | 69.39 | 69.40 | 69.40 | 69.40 | 67.16 | 67.16 | 67.16 | 67.20 |  |
| 68.85 | 68.89 | 68.87 | 68.92 | 68.43 | 68.43 | 68.43 | 68.42 | 66.94 | 66.93 | 66.94 | 67.10 |  |
| 68.93 | 68.82 | 68.88 | 68.81 | 67.38 | 67.38 | 67.38 | 67.37 | 66.71 | 66.68 | 66.69 | 66.87 |  |
| 67.70 | 67.53 | 67.61 | 67.52 | 65.87 | 65.82 | 65.84 | 65.92 | 65.08 | 65.16 | 65.12 | 65.21 | 7 |
| +X | -X | +/-X | Z | +X | -X | +/-X | Z | +X | -X | +/-X | Z |  |

## dbcal_16.dat

| 73.01 | 73.58 | 73.30 | 73.23 | 73.74 | 73.91 | 73.82 | 73.49 | 75.33 | 75.29 | 75.31 | 74 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 72.71 | 73.36 | 73.04 | 73.03 | 74.38 | 74.54 | 74.46 | 74.29 | 75.31 | 75.29 | 75.30 | 74.82 |
| 72.64 | 73.04 | 72.84 | 72.90 | 74.54 | 74.63 | 74.59 | 74.46 | 74.85 | 74.80 | 74.83 | 74.48 |
| 72.48 | 72.76 | 72.62 | 72.77 | 74.49 | 74.52 | 74.51 | 74.44 | 74.50 | 74.48 | 74.49 | 74.21 |
| 72.22 | 72.57 | 72.40 | 72.54 | 74.26 | 74.28 | 74.27 | 74.20 | 74.10 | 74.03 | 74.07 | 73.86 |
| 72.02 | 72.37 | 72.20 | 72.29 | 73.87 | 73.76 | 73.81 | 73.77 | 73.71 | 73.54 | 73.63 | 73.48 |
| 71.83 | 72.25 | 72.04 | 72.17 | 73.40 | 73.34 | 73.37 | 73.37 | 73.41 | 73.32 | 73.37 | 73.31 |
| 71.68 | 71.94 | 71.81 | 71.94 | 72.76 | 72.76 | 72.76 | 72.76 | 73.05 | 73.02 | 73.04 | 72.98 |
| 71.42 | 71.68 | 71.55 | 71.72 | 72.25 | 72.26 | 72.25 | 72.25 | 72.74 | 72.75 | 72.75 | 72.76 |
| 71.49 | 71.46 | 71.48 | 71.61 | 71.86 | 71.87 | 71.86 | 71.86 | 72.59 | 72.58 | 72.59 | 72.65 |
| 71.46 | 71.49 | 71.48 | 71.58 | 71.28 | 71.27 | 71.28 | 71.26 | 72.51 | 72.42 | 72.47 | 72.62 |
| 71.48 | 71.52 | 71.50 | 71.53 | 70.66 | 70.67 | 70.67 | 70.66 | 72.36 | 72.44 | 72.40 | 72.54 |
| 71.65 | 71.47 | 71.56 | 71.49 | 70.11 | 70.08 | 70.10 | 70.10 | 72.49 | 72.46 | 72.48 | 72.55 |
| 71.61 | 71.56 | 71.59 | 71.47 | 69.61 | 69.64 | 69.63 | 69.61 | 72.33 | 72.29 | 72.31 | 72.47 |
| 71.32 | 71.10 | 71.21 | 71.07 | 69.10 | 69.07 | 69.09 | 69.10 | 71.44 | 71.47 | 71.45 | 71.60 |
| 69.69 | 69.56 | 69.63 | 69.59 | 67.91 | 67.84 | 67.87 | 67.96 | 69.73 | 69.85 | 69.79 | 69.85 |
| +X | -X | +/-X | Z | +X | -X | +/-X | Z | +X | -X | +/-X | Z |

## dbcal_32.dat

| 75.39 | 76.01 | 75.71 | 75.63 | 75.34 | 75.58 | 75.46 | 75.18 | 77.09 | 77.10 | 77.09 | 76.57 | $C 0$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 75.39 | 75.92 | 75.66 | 75.61 | 75.92 | 76.04 | 75.98 | 75.72 | 77.25 | 77.16 | 77.21 | 76.75 | . |
| 75.24 | 75.91 | 75.57 | 75.55 | 76.18 | 76.33 | 76.26 | 76.04 | 77.21 | 77.15 | 77.18 | 76.73 | . |
| 75.15 | 75.77 | 75.42 | 75.46 | 76.36 | 76.54 | 76.45 | 76.29 | 77.03 | 77.06 | 77.05 | 76.63 |  |
| 75.11 | 75.57 | 75.28 | 75.32 | 76.56 | 76.65 | 76.61 | 76.46 | 76.88 | 76.87 | 76.88 | 76.49 |  |
| 75.03 | 75.39 | 75.18 | 75.23 | 76.55 | 76.64 | 76.59 | 76.47 | 76.67 | 76.59 | 76.63 | 76.28 |  |
| 74.92 | 75.20 | 75.06 | 75.14 | 76.50 | 76.49 | 76.50 | 76.42 | 76.42 | 76.35 | 76.38 | 76.06 |  |
| 74.92 | 75.20 | 75.06 | 75.12 | 76.36 | 76.45 | 76.40 | 76.33 | 76.12 | 76.16 | 76.14 | 75.87 |  |
| 74.80 | 75.11 | 74.96 | 75.06 | 76.29 | 76.29 | 76.29 | 76.20 | 75.94 | 75.89 | 75.91 | 75.69 |  |
| 74.63 | 75.03 | 74.83 | 74.94 | 76.07 | 76.12 | 76.10 | 76.05 | 75.73 | 75.63 | 75.68 | 75.46 |  |
| 74.52 | 74.88 | 74.71 | 74.84 | 75.90 | 75.85 | 75.88 | 75.84 | 75.55 | 75.38 | 75.47 | 75.28 |  |
| 74.41 | 74.76 | 74.59 | 74.74 | 75.74 | 75.55 | 75.65 | 75.61 | 75.31 | 75.17 | 75.23 | 75.15 |  |
| 74.33 | 74.75 | 74.54 | 74.70 | 75.48 | 75.33 | 75.40 | 75.39 | 75.16 | 75.08 | 75.12 | 75.03 |  |
| 74.24 | 74.67 | 74.46 | 74.62 | 75.17 | 75.19 | 75.18 | 75.18 | 75.00 | 74.92 | 74.96 | 74.89 |  |
| 74.15 | 74.45 | 74.30 | 74.47 | 75.01 | 75.00 | 75.01 | 74.99 | 74.85 | 74.82 | 74.83 | 74.77 |  |
| 74.03 | 74.25 | 74.14 | 74.32 | 74.80 | 74.82 | 74.81 | 74.82 | 74.72 | 74.68 | 74.70 | 74.65 |  |
| 73.97 | 74.22 | 74.09 | 74.27 | 74.50 | 74.52 | 74.51 | 74.51 | 74.57 | 74.59 | 74.58 | 74.55 |  |
| 73.83 | 74.11 | 73.97 | 74.14 | 74.17 | 74.18 | 74.17 | 74.16 | 74.57 | 74.58 | 74.57 | 74.58 |  |


| 73 | 73.89 | 73.89 | 74.04 | 73.92 | 73.92 | 73.92 | 73.91 | 37 | 74.42 | 0 | 74.44 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 73.93 | 73.87 | 73.90 | 74.02 | 73.63 | 73.65 | 73.64 | 73.64 | 74.36 | 74.30 | 74.33 | 74.40 |
| 73.90 | 73.96 | 73.93 | 74.04 | 73.33 | 73.35 | 73.34 | 73.33 | 74.37 | 74.22 | 74.29 | 74.41 |
| 73.88 | 73.88 | 73.88 | 73.97 | 73.09 | 73.06 | 73.08 | 73.08 | 74.26 | 74.24 | 74.25 | 74.42 |
| 73.86 | 73.86 | 73.86 | 73.92 | 72.72 | 72.76 | 72.74 | 72.74 | 74.24 | 74.25 | 74.24 | 74.39 |
| 73.84 | 73.91 | 73.88 | 73.88 | 72.52 | 72.49 | 72.50 | 72.51 | 74.17 | 74.30 | 74.24 | 74.39 |
| 74.06 | 73.81 | 73.94 | 73.93 | 72.24 | 72.23 | 72.23 | 72.23 | 74.25 | 74.28 | 74.27 | 74.42 |
| 74.07 | 73.97 | 74.02 | 73.96 | 72.07 | 72.02 | 72.05 | 72.04 | 74.28 | 74.20 | 74.24 | 74.41 |
| 74.21 | 74.08 | 74.14 | 74.02 | 71.87 | 71.89 | 71.88 | 71.86 | 74.12 | 74.17 | 74.14 | 74.30 |
| 74.03 | 74.05 | 74.04 | 73.92 | 71.62 | 71.65 | 71.64 | 71.63 | 73.96 | 73.85 | 73.91 | 74.09 |
| 73.98 | 73.72 | 73.85 | 73.70 | 71.27 | 71.29 | 71.28 | 71.29 | 73.56 | 73.54 | 73.55 | 73.70 |
| 73.59 | 73.41 | 73.50 | 73.37 | 70.87 | 70.79 | 70.83 | 70.86 | 72.89 | 72.98 | 72.93 | 73.05 |
| 72.67 | 72.54 | 72.61 | 72.54 | 70.19 | 70.19 | 70.19 | 70.28 | 72.01 | 72.10 | 72.06 | 72.15 |
| 71.60 | 71.47 | 71.54 | 71.53 | 69.33 | 69.19 | 69.24 | 69.39 | 70.75 | 70.91 | 70.83 | 70.93 |
| +X | -X | +/-X | Z | +X | -X | +/-X | Z | +X | -X | +/-X | Z |

A
B
C

## dbcalhf.dat

| 68.23 | 68.23 | 72.16 | 72.11 | 75.14 | 75.12 | 77.26 | 77.36 | C0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 72.10 | 72.19 | 75.48 | 75.39 | 78.14 | 78.00 | C1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 75.65 | 75.70 | 77.69 | 77.57 | C2 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74.81 | 75.00 | 78.15 | 78.05 | C3 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78.22 | 78.23 | C4 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77.90 | 77.96 | C5 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77.54 | 77.62 | C6 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 76.99 | 77.27 | C7 |
| X | Z | X | Z | X | Z | X | Z |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 8 |  |

## phase8_abc.dat

| A |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ------------------- |  |  |
| $+X$ | $-X$ | $+/-X$ |
| ---- | ------- | ---- |
| 2.30 | -177.23 | 2.53 |
| 1.65 | -176.81 | 2.42 |
| 1.01 | -176.68 | 2.17 |
| 0.43 | -176.73 | 1.85 |
| 0.02 | -176.90 | 1.56 |
| -0.09 | -177.01 | 1.45 |
| 0.65 | -176.30 | 2.17 |
| 2.78 | -174.35 | 4.22 |


| ------------------- |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $+X$ | $-X$ | $+/-X$ |
| ---- | ------- | ---- |
| 1.61 | -176.08 | 2.77 |
| 1.57 | -176.51 | 2.53 |
| 1.61 | -176.73 | 2.44 |
| 1.86 | -176.86 | 2.50 |
| 2.19 | -176.74 | 2.72 |
| 2.70 | -176.54 | 3.08 |
| 3.48 | -175.91 | 3.78 |
| 4.92 | -174.52 | 5.20 |

## phase16_abc.dat

| A |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +X | -X | +/-X |
| 2.46 | -177.33 | 2.57 |
| 2.14 | -177.13 | 2.50 |
| 1.82 | -176.88 | 2.47 |
| 1.48 | -176.74 | 2.37 |
| 1.17 | -176.65 | 2.26 |
| 0.85 | -176.70 | 2.07 |
| 0.55 | -176.68 | 1.94 |
| 0.31 | -176.78 | 1.76 |
| 0.10 | -176.86 | 1.62 |
| -0.07 | -176.94 | 1.50 |
| -0.14 | -177.03 | 1.42 |
| -0.05 | -177.00 | 1.47 |
| 0.28 | -176.64 | 1.82 |
| 1.02 | -175.96 | 2.53 |
| 2.20 | -174.85 | 3.68 |
| 3.36 | -173.85 | 4.76 |


| +X | -X | +/-X |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.59 | -176.06 | 2.77 |
| 1.64 | -176.10 | 2.77 |
| 1.59 | -176.40 | 2.60 |
| 1.54 | -176.63 | 2.46 |
| 1.57 | -176.65 | 2.46 |
| 1.65 | -176.82 | 2.42 |
| 1.78 | -176.84 | 2.47 |
| 1.94 | -176.88 | 2.53 |
| 2.09 | -176.76 | 2.67 |
| 2.28 | -176.72 | 2.78 |
| 2.54 | -176.66 | 2.94 |
| 2.87 | -176.43 | 3.22 |
| 3.23 | -176.15 | 3.54 |
| 3.74 | -175.68 | 4.03 |
| 4.52 | -174.91 | 4.81 |
| 5.32 | -174.14 | 5.59 |


| +X | -X | +/-X |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.50 | -176.35 | 3.58 |
| 3.54 | -176.25 | 3.65 |
| 3.57 | -176.29 | 3.64 |
| 3.70 | -176.40 | 3.65 |
| 3.78 | -176.13 | 3.83 |
| 3.88 | -176.28 | 3.80 |
| 3.93 | -176.43 | 3.75 |
| 3.87 | -176.49 | 3.69 |
| 3.66 | -176.56 | 3.55 |
| 3.45 | -176.85 | 3.30 |
| 3.21 | -177.26 | 2.98 |
| 2.90 | -177.49 | 2.71 |
| 2.65 | -177.65 | 2.50 |
| 2.49 | -177.98 | 2.25 |
| 2.29 | -178.12 | 2.09 |
| 2.10 | -178.32 | 1.89 |

## phase32_abc.dat

| +X | -X | +/-X |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.53 | -177.39 | 2.57 |
| 2.40 | -177.27 | 2.56 |
| 2.21 | -177.20 | 2.50 |
| 2.07 | -177.06 | 2.50 |
| 1.92 | -176.95 | 2.49 |
| 1.72 | -176.82 | 2.45 |
| 1.57 | -176.73 | 2.42 |
| 1.39 | -176.75 | 2.32 |
| 1.26 | -176.68 | 2.29 |
| 1.09 | -176.63 | 2.23 |
| 0.93 | -176.71 | 2.11 |
| 0.77 | -176.69 | 2.04 |
| 0.61 | -176.63 | 1.99 |
| 0.49 | -176.72 | 1.88 |
| 0.37 | -176.77 | 1.80 |
| 0.25 | -176.80 | 1.73 |
| 0.15 | -176.82 | 1.67 |
| 0.05 | -176.91 | 1.57 |
| 0.04 | -176.90 | 1.53 |


| $B$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ------------------- |  |  |
| $+X$ | $-X$ | $+/-X$ |
| ---- | ------- | ---- |
| 1.56 | -176.09 | 2.74 |
| 1.62 | -176.03 | 2.80 |
| 1.65 | -176.06 | 2.80 |
| 1.63 | -176.15 | 2.74 |
| 1.62 | -176.32 | 2.65 |
| 1.55 | -176.47 | 2.54 |
| 1.54 | -176.64 | 2.45 |
| 1.54 | -176.62 | 2.46 |
| 1.56 | -176.61 | 2.48 |
| 1.59 | -176.68 | 2.45 |
| 1.61 | -176.72 | 2.44 |
| 1.69 | -176.91 | 2.39 |
| 1.74 | -176.86 | 2.44 |
| 1.81 | -176.82 | 2.49 |
| 1.89 | -176.86 | 2.51 |
| 2.00 | -176.90 | 2.55 |
| 2.06 | -176.76 | 2.65 |
| 2.12 | -176.75 | 2.69 |
| 2.23 | -176.75 | 2.74 |


| C |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +X | -X | +/-X |
| 3.49 | -176.34 | 3.57 |
| 3.51 | -176.35 | 3.58 |
| 3.54 | -176.25 | 3.64 |
| 3.54 | -176.24 | 3.65 |
| 3.55 | -176.22 | 3.67 |
| 3.60 | -176.36 | 3.62 |
| 3.67 | -176.41 | 3.63 |
| 3.72 | -176.39 | 3.67 |
| 3.75 | -176.19 | 3.78 |
| 3.82 | -176.08 | 3.87 |
| 3.84 | -176.18 | 3.83 |
| 3.92 | -176.37 | 3.77 |
| 3.91 | -176.44 | 3.74 |
| 3.94 | -176.43 | 3.76 |
| 3.93 | -176.48 | 3.73 |
| 3.82 | -176.51 | 3.66 |
| 3.67 | -176.53 | 3.57 |
| 3.65 | -176.59 | 3.53 |
| 3.49 | -176.80 | 3.35 |

$\left.\begin{array}{lllllllll}0.09 & -176.98 & 1.46 & 2.34 & -176.69 & 2.82 & 3.41 & -176.91 & 3.25 \\ 0.13 & -177.06 & 1.41 & 2.48 & -176.68 & 2.90 & 3.29 & -177.15 & 3.07 \\ 0.15 & -176.99 & 1.43 & 2.60 & -176.64 & 2.98 & 3.13 & -177.36 & 2.88 \\ 0.10 & -177.01 & 1.45 & 2.79 & -176.51 & 3.14 & 2.97 & -177.47 & 2.75 \\ 0.00 & -177.00 & 1.50 & 2.95 & -176.35 & 3.30 & 2.83 & -177.51 & 2.66 \\ 0.15 & -176.77 & 1.69 & 3.12 & -176.26 & 3.43 & 2.70 & -177.57 & 2.57 \\ 0.41 & -176.51 & 1.95 & 3.34 & -176.05 & 3.65 & 2.60 & -177.74 & 2.43 \\ 0.76 & -176.18 & 2.29 & 3.55 & -175.85 & 3.85 & 2.53 & -177.90 & 2.32 \\ 1.28 & -175.74 & 2.77 & 3.93 & -175.51 & 4.21 & 2.44 & -178.07 & 2.19 \\ 1.86 & -175.16 & 3.35 & 4.31 & -175.14 & 4.59 & 2.34 & -178.07 & 2.14 \\ 2.54 & -174.54 & 4.00 & 4.73 & -174.67 & 5.03 & 2.23 & -178.17 & 2.03 \\ 3.12 & -174.15 & 4.49 & 5.22 & -174.29 & 5.46 & 2.15 & -178.29 & 1.93\end{array}\right)$.

## phase_hf1.dat

| Attenuator OFF |  |  | Attenuator ON |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +X | -X | + / - X | +X | -X | + / - X |  |  |
| 11.96 | -167.00 | 12.48 | 11.65 | -167.00 | 12.32 | f0 | 0 |
| 11.96 | -167.00 | 12.48 | 11.65 | -167.00 | 12.32 | f1 | 25 |
| 12.49 | -166.40 | 13.05 | 12.15 | -166.53 | 12.81 | f2 | 50 |
| 13.02 | -165.79 | 13.62 | 12.65 | -166.05 | 13.30 | f3 | 75 |
|  |  |  | : |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | : |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | : |  |  |  |  |
| 14.00 | -165.18 | 14.41 | 10.41 | -171.23 | 9.59 | f171 | 4275 |
| 13.90 | -165.22 | 14.34 | 10.46 | -171.23 | 9.62 | f172 | 4300 |

## phase_hf2.dat

| Attenuator OFF |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +X | -X | +/-X |
| 11.96 | -167.00 | 12.48 |
| 13.02 | -165.79 | 13.62 |
| 15.53 | -161.39 | 17.07 |
| 16.53 | -163.47 | 16.53 |

$17.74-148.37 \quad 24.68$
$17.93-148.00 \quad 24.96$

| Attenuator ON |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| ---------------------- |  |  |  |  |
| $+X$ | $-X$ | $+/-X$ |  |  |
| 11.65 | -167.00 | 12.32 | f0 | 25 |
| 12.65 | -166.05 | 13.30 | f1 | 75 |
| 14.45 | -160.64 | 16.91 | f2 | 125 |
| 16.47 | -163.48 | 16.49 | f3 | 175 |

$:$
$:$
$:$
$25.80-144.89 \quad 30.46 \quad$ f171 4275
$25.90-144.76 \quad 30.56 \quad$ f172 4300

## phase1248_hf.dat

| $1 f$ | 1f | $4 f$ | $8 f$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ---- | ---- | ----- | ----- |
| 0.00 | -.84 | -1.51 | -2.19 |
| 0 | .48 | -0.34 | -0.74 |
| 0 | 0 | 0.34 | -1.04 |
| 0 | 0 | 0.97 | 0.25 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.46 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.31 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.80 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.56 |

## Matlab Programs.

## a1hf2find.m

```
disp('lire file e:\cassini\calmv1\flucthf.021')
readdata
f=fstarth2:fsteph2:fstarth2+(nstepsh2-1)*fsteph2;
x=f*pi/40000;
dbsx=20*log10(sin(x)./x);
dbv0=-74.3+dbsx;
save dbv0
agcx=mean(agch21');
agcpx=agcx (1:2:end);
agcmx=agcx(2:2:end);
agcpmx=mean([agcpx;agcmx]);
agcz=mean(agch22');
agcz=(agcz(1:2:end)+agcz(2:2:end))/2;
a1pxoff = 40*[log10(10.^(agcpx/96.76)+1)]-dbv0+30+26;
a1mxoff = 40*[log10(10.^(agcmx/96.76)+1)]-dbv0+30+26;
a1pmxoff = 40*[log10(10.^(agcpmx/96.76)+1)]-dbv0+30+26;
alzoff = 40*[log10(10.^(agcz/94.81)+1)]-dbv0+30+26;
a1hf2new=[alpxoff' almxoff' alpmxoff' alzoff'];
save alhf2new.dat -ascii a1hf2new
disp('lire file e:\cassini\calmv1\flucthf.022')
readdata
agcx=mean(agch21');
agcpx=agcx(1:2:end);
agcmx=agcx(2:2 :end);
agcpmx=mean([agcpx;agcmx]);
agcz=mean(agch22');
agcz=(agcz(1:2:end)+agcz(2:2:end))/2;
load dbv0
load a1hf2new.dat
a1pxon = 40*[log10(10.^(agcpx/96.76)+1)]-dbv0+6+26;
almxon = 40*[log10(10.^(agcmx/96.76)+1)]-dbv0+6+26;
a1pmxon = 40*[log10(10.^(agcpmx/96.76)+1)]-dbv0+6+26;
a1zon = 40*[log10(10.^(agcz/94.81)+1)]-dbv0+6+26;
alhf2new=[alhf2new alpxon' almxon' alpmxon' alzon'];
a1hf2new=[a1hf2new;a1hf2new (321,:)];
save a1hf2new.dat -ascii a1hf2new
```

a1hf1find.m
load a1hf2new.dat
k=1:86;
a1hf1new (2*k, : ) =a1hf2new (k, : ) ;
a1hf1new $(2 * \mathrm{k}+1,:)=(\operatorname{a1hf} 2 \operatorname{new}(\mathrm{k},:)+\mathrm{a} 1 \mathrm{hf} 2 \operatorname{new}(\mathrm{k}+1,:)) / 2$;
a1hf1new (1,:)=a1hf1new (2,:) ; \% cas 0 Hz
save a1hf1new.dat -ascii a1hf1new

## dbcal_2.m

```
disp('lire file 32filabc.002')
readdata
autoa1=reshape(autoa1,32,110);
autoa2=reshape(autoa2,32,110);
autob1=reshape(autob1,32,110);
autob2=reshape(autob2,32,110);
autoc1=reshape(autoc1,32,110);
autoc2=reshape (autoc2,32,110);
    a=mean(autoa1');
    b=mean(autob1');
    c=mean(autoc1');
    ax32=ntodb (a);
    bx32=ntodb (b)
    cx32=ntodb(c);
    a=mean(autoa2');
    b=mean(autob2');
    c=mean(autoc2');
    az32=ntodb(a);
    bz32=ntodb (b);
    cz32=ntodb (c);
    abc32=[ax32' az32';bx32' bz32';cx32' cz32'];
    plot(abc32);pause
    save abc32
disp('lire file 16filabc.001')
readdata
autoa1=reshape(autoa1,16,100);
autoa2=reshape(autoa2,16,100);
autob1=reshape(autob1,16,100);
autob2=reshape (autob2,16,100);
autoc1=reshape(autoc1,16,100);
autoc2=reshape(autoc2,16,100);
    a=mean(autoa1');
    b=mean(autob1');
    c=mean(autoc1');
    ax16=ntodb(a);
    bx16=ntodb (b);
    cx16=ntodb (c);
    a=mean(autoa2');
    b=mean(autob2');
    c=mean(autoc2');
    az16=ntodb(a);
    bz16=ntodb(b);
    cz16=ntodb(c);
    abc16=[ax16' az16';bx16' bz16';cx16' cz16'];
    plot(abc16);pause
save abc16
disp('lire file 8filtabc.001')
readdata
autoa1=reshape(autoa1,8,100);
autoa2=reshape (autoa2,8,100);
autob1=reshape(autob1,8,100);
autob2=reshape (autob2,8,100);
autoc1=reshape(autoc1,8,100);
autoc2=reshape(autoc2,8,100);
a=mean(autoa1');
b=mean(autob1');
c=mean(autoc1');
ax8=ntodb(a);
bx8=ntodb(b);
```

```
cx8=ntodb(c);
a=mean(autoa2');
b=mean(autob2');
c=mean(autoc2');
az8=ntodb(a);
bz8=ntodb (b) ;
cz8=ntodb(c);
abc8=[ax8' az8';bx8' bz8';cx8' cz8'];
plot(abc8); pause
save abc8
```

load abc32
load abc16
ax32=abc32(1:32,1);
az32=abc32(1:32,2);
bx32=abc32 (33:64,1);
bz32=abc32(33:64,2);
cx32=abc32 (65:96,1);
cz32=abc32 (65:96,2);
ax16=abc16(1:16,1);
az16=abc16(1:16,2);
bx16=abc16(17:31,1);
bz16=abc16(17:31,2);
cx16=abc16(32:48,1);
cz16=abc16(32:48,2);

## phaseabc.m

```
%Determination of phase shift between +X and -X inputs in ABC bands
```

\%with and without internal attenuator. -3.12.99
disp('lire e:\cassini\calmv1\llgcr20.020')
readdata
[ang, mag]=phasemag (crosscr, crossci);
ang=reshape (ang, 32,512) ;
$\operatorname{angp}=\operatorname{ang}(:, 1: 2: 512)$;
angm=ang(:, 2:2:512);
angcp=mean (angp (:, 40:70)');
angcm=mean (angm (:, 40:70)');
save temp1 angcp angcm
disp('lire e:\cassini\calmv1\llgbr20.020')
readdata
[ang, mag] =phasemag (crossbr, crossbi) ;
ang=reshape (ang, 32,512);
angm=ang (:, 2:2:512);
angp=ang (:, 1:2:512);
angbm=mean (angm (:, 40:70)');
angbp=mean $\left(\operatorname{angp}(:, 40: 70)^{\prime}\right)$;
save temp angbp angbm
disp('lire e:\cassini\calmv1\llgar20.020')
readdata
[ang, mag]=phasemag (crossar, crossai) ;
ang=reshape (ang, 32,512);

```
angp=ang(:, 1:2:512);
angm=ang(:, 2:2:512);
angam=mean(angm(:,40:70)');
angap=mean(angp(:,40:70)');
load temp
load temp1
angapm=mean([angap;angam+180]);
angbpm=mean ([angbp; angbm+180]) ;
angcpm=mean([angcp;angcm+180]);
phase32_abc_new=[angap' angam' angapm' angbp' angbm' angbpm' angcp' angcm'
angcpm'];
fid=fopen('phase32_abc_new.dat','w')
fprintf(fid,'%8.2f%10.2f%8.2f%8.2f%10. 2f%8.2f%8.2f%10.2f%8.2f\r\n',phase32_
abc_new')
fclose(fid)
phase16_abc_new=(phase32_abc_new(1:2:32,:) +phase32_abc_new (2:2:32,:))/2;
phase8_abc_new=(phase16_abc_new (1:2:16,:) +phase16_abc_new (2:2:16,:))/2;
fid=fopen('phase16_abc_new.dat','w')
fprintf(fid,'%8.2f%10.2f%8.2f%8.2f%10. 2f%8.2f%8.2f%10.2f%8.2f\r\n',phase16_
abc_new')
fclose(fid)
fid=fopen('phase8_abc_new.dat','w')
fprintf(fid,'%8.2f%10.2f%8.2f%8.2f%10.2f%8.2f%8.2f%10.2f%8.2f\r\n',phase8_a
bc_new')
fclose(fid)
```


## phase_hf_fit.m

load phase_hf1.dat
load phase_hf2.dat
hf1tofit=phase_hf1 (7:172,:);
\%hf2tofit=phase_hf2 (4:322, :);
hf2tofit=phase_hf2 (4:200,:);
coefpoff=polyfit ([1:166]',hf1tofit (:, 1), 7);
coefmoff=polyfit ([1:166]',hf1tofit (: , 2) , 7) ;
coefpmoff=polyfit ([1:166]',hfltofit (: 3), 7);
coefpon=polyfit ([1:166]',hf1tofit (: 4), 7);
coefmon=polyfit ([1:166]',hf1tofit (: 5) , 7) ;
coefpmon=polyfit ([1:166]',hf1tofit(:,6), 7);
poff=polyval(coefpoff, 1:166)';
moff=polyval(coefmoff,1:166)';
pmoff=polyval (coefpmoff,1:166)';
pon=polyval (coefpon, 1:166)';
mon=polyval (coefmon,1:166)';
pmon=polyval(coefpmon,1:166)';
all=[poff moff pmoff pon mon pmon];
phase_hf1_new=[phase_hf1(1:6,:);all];
coefpoff=polyfit([1:197]',hf2tofit(:,1), 9);
coefmoff=polyfit ([1:197]',hf2tofit (: , 2) , 9) ;
coefpmoff=polyfit ([1:197]',hf2tofit(:, 3), 9);

```
coefpon=polyfit([1:197]',hf2tofit(:,4), 9);
coefmon=polyfit([1:197]',hf2tofit(:,5),9);
coefpmon=polyfit([1:197]',hf2tofit(:,6),9);
poff=polyval(coefpoff,1:197)';
moff=polyval(coefmoff,1:197)';
pmoff=polyval(coefpmoff,1:197)';
pon=polyval(coefpon,1:197)';
mon=polyval(coefmon,1:197)';
pmon=polyval(coefpmon,1:197)';
all=[poff moff pmoff pon mon pmon];
phase_hf2_new=[phase_hf2(1:3,:);all];
hf2tofit=phase_hf2(201:322,:);
coefpoff=polyfit([1:122]',hf2tofit(:,1), 9);
coefmoff=polyfit([1:122]',hf2tofit(:,2),9);
coefpmoff=polyfit([1:122]',hf2tofit(:,3),9);
coefpon=polyfit([1:122]',hf2tofit(:,4), 9);
coefmon=polyfit([1:122]',hf2tofit(:,5),9);
coefpmon=polyfit([1:122]',hf2tofit(:,6),9);
poff=polyval(coefpoff,1:122)';
moff=polyval(coefmoff,1:122)';
pmoff=polyval(coefpmoff,1:122)';
pon=polyval(coefpon,1:122)';
mon=polyval(coefmon,1:122)';
pmon=polyval(coefpmon,1:122)';
all=[poff moff pmoff pon mon pmon];
phase_hf2_new=[phase_hf2_new;all];
```


## readdata.m

(too long)

## physique.m

```
%dbvolt.m -> physique.m
    16.12.99
%
% conversion of auto/agc results from readdata in dBvolts.
% READDATA or READNORMAL must have run before.
load a123.dat
if att,
    a123(:,1)=a123(:,4);
end
if banda | bandb | bandc,
    if nchanabc==8, load dbcal_08.dat; dbcal=dbcal_08; end
    if nchanabc==16, load dbcal_16.dat; dbcal=dbcal_16; end
    if nchanabc==32, load dbcal_32.dat; dbcal=dbcal_32; end
end
if bandh1 | bandh2, load dbcalhf.dat; end
if bandh1, load a1hf1.dat; end
if bandh2, load a1hf2.dat; end
```

```
dba1=[];dba2=[];dbb1=[];dbb2=[];dbc1=[];dbc2=[];
dbh11=[];dbh12=[];dbh21=[];dbh22=[];
if ~exist('npktnormal'), npktnormal=npacket; end % compatibility
READDATA/READNORMAL
if banda & nagca1,
        a=a123(1,:);
        x1=-a(1)+40*(log10(10.^((agca1(:)-a(3))./a(2))+1));
        agca1=reshape(agca1,nagca1,npktnormal);
        autoa1=reshape(autoa1,nchanabc, nautoa1*npktnormal/nchanabc);
        nn=nautoa1*npktnormal/nchanabc;
        for j=1:nchanabc,
            if dfabc,
                dba1(j,1:2:nn)=ntodb (autoa1(j,1:2:nn))-dbcal(j,1)+x1(1:2:nn)';
                dba1(j,2:2:nn)=ntodb (autoa1(j,2:2:nn))-dbcal(j,2)+x1(1:2:nn)';
            else
                dba1(j,:)=ntodb(autoa1(j,:))-dbcal(j,3)+x1';
        end
    end
        dba1=reshape(dba1,nautoa1,npktnormal);
        autoa1=reshape(autoa1,nautoa1,npktnormal);
end
if banda & nagca2,
    a=a123(2,:);
    x2=-a(1)+40*(log10(10.^((agca2(:)-a(3))./a(2))+1));
    agca2=reshape(agca2,nagca2,npktnormal);
    autoa2=reshape(autoa2,nchanabc, nautoa2*npktnormal/nchanabc);
    for j=1:nchanabc,
        dba2(j,:)=ntodb(autoa2(j,:))-dbcal(j,4)+x2';
    end
    dba2=reshape(dba2,nautoa2,npktnormal);
    autoa2=reshape(autoa2,nautoa2,npktnormal);
end
if bandb & nagcb1,
    a=a123(3,:);
    x1=-a(1)+40*(log10(10.^((agcb1(:)-a(3))./a(2))+1));
    agcb1=reshape(agcb1,nagcb1,npktnormal);
    autob1=reshape(autob1,nchanabc,nautob1*npktnormal/nchanabc);
    nn=nautob1*npktnormal/nchanabc;
    for j=1:nchanabc,
        if dfabc,
                dbb1(j,1:2:nn)=ntodb (autob1(j,1:2:nn))-dbcal(j,5)+x1(1:2:nn)';
                dbb1 (j, 2:2:nn)=ntodb (autob1(j, 2:2:nn))-dbcal(j,6) +x1(1:2:nn)';
        else
                dbb1(j,:)=ntodb(autob1(j,:))-dbcal(j,7) +x1';
        end
    end
    dbb1=reshape(dbb1,nautob1,npktnormal);
    autob1=reshape(autob1,nautob1,npktnormal);
end
if bandb & nagcb2,
    a=a123(4,:);
    x2=-a(1)+40*(log10(10.^((agcb2(:)-a(3))./a(2))+1));
    agcb2=reshape(agcb2,nagcb2,npktnormal);
    autob2=reshape(autob2,nchanabc,nautob2*npktnormal/nchanabc);
    for j=1:nchanabc,
        dbb2(j,:)=ntodb(autob2(j,:))-dbcal(j,8) +x2';
    end
    dbb2=reshape(dbb2,nautob2,npktnormal);
    autob2=reshape(autob2,nautob2,npktnormal);
end
```

if bandc \& nagcc1,

```
    a=a123(5,:);
    x1=-a(1)+40*(log10(10.^((agcc1(:)-a(3))./a(2))+1));
    agcc1=reshape(agcc1,nagcc1,npktnormal);
    autoc1=reshape(autoc1,nchanabc,nautoc1*npktnormal/nchanabc);
    nn=nautoc1*npktnormal/nchanabc;
    for j=1:nchanabc,
        if dfabc,
            dbc1(j,1:2:nn)=ntodb (autoc1(j, 1:2:nn))-dbcal(j,9)+x1(1:2:nn)';
            dbc1(j,2:2:nn)=ntodb (autoc1(j,2:2:nn))-dbcal(j,10)+x1(1:2:nn)';
        else
            dbc1(j,:)=ntodb(autoc1(j,:))-dbcal(j,11)+x1';
        end
    end
    dbc1=reshape(dbc1,nautoc1,npktnormal);
    autoc1=reshape(autoc1,nautoc1,npktnormal);
end
if bandc & nagcc2,
    a=a123(6,:);
    x2=-a(1)+40*(log10(10.^((agcc2(:)-a(3))./a(2))+1));
    agcc2=reshape (agcc2,nagcc2,npktnormal);
    autoc2=reshape(autoc2,nchanabc,nautoc2*npktnormal/nchanabc);
    for j=1:nchanabc,
        dbc2(j,:)=ntodb(autoc2(j,:))-dbcal(j,12)+x2';
    end
    dbc2=reshape(dbc2,nautoc2,npktnormal);
    autoc2=reshape(autoc2,nautoc2,npktnormal);
end
if bandh1,
    nstart=fstarth1/25;
    dstep=fsteph1/25;
    nstop=nstart+(nstepsh1-1)*dstep;
    freqh1=fstarth1:fsteph1:(fstarth1+fsteph1*(nstepsh1-1));
    if nagch11,
        dbcal=dbcalhf(1:nchanh1,1+2*floor(3.6*log10(nchanh1)));
        a2=a123(7,2);
        a3=a123(7,3);
        agch11=reshape(agch11,nagch11/rch1/rcall,npktnormal*rch1*rcall);
        k=anth11+att*4;
        x1=agch11;
        for j=1:nagch11/rch1/rcall,
            if dfh1,
                k=j+2-2*floor((j+1)/2)+att*4;
            end
            n=nstart+1+floor((j-1)/(1+dfh1))*dstep;
            x1(j,:)=-a1hf1(n,k) ...
                +40*(log10(10.^((agch11(j,:)-a3)./a2)+1));
        end
        if nautoh11,
            dbh11=ntodb(autoh11);
            dbh11=reshape(dbh11,nchanh1,nagch11*npktnormal);
            dbh11=dbh11-repmat(dbcal,1,nagch11*npktnormal);
            dbh11=dbh11+repmat(x1(:)',nchanh1,1);
            if dfh1,
                dbh11=reshape(dbh11,2*nchanh1,nagch11*npktnormal/2);
                dbh11=[reshape(dbh11(1:nchanh1,:),1,nautoh11*npktnormal/2); ...
reshape(dbh11(nchanh1+1:nchanh1*2,:),1,nautoh11*npktnormal/2)];
            end
dbh11=reshape(dbh11,max(nagch11,nautoh11)/rch1/rcall,npktnormal*rch1*rcall)
;
    else,
```

```
            dbh11=zeros(1,nagch11*npktnormal);
            dbh11=dbh11+x1(:)';
            dbh11=reshape(dbh11,nagch11/rch1/rcall,npktnormal*rch1*rcall);
        end
    end
    if nagch12,
    dbcal=dbcalhf(1:nchanh1,2+2*floor(3.6*log10(nchanh1)));
    a2=a123(8,2);
    a3=a123(8,3);
    agch12=reshape(agch12,nagch12/rch1/rcall,npktnormal*rch1*rcall);
    x2=agch12;
    for j=1:nagch12/rch1/rcall,
        n=nstart+1+floor((j-1)/(1+dfh1))*dstep;
        x2(j,:)=-a1hf1(n,4*(att+1)) ...
                +40*(log10(10.^((agch12(j,:)-a3)./a2)+1));
    end
    if nautoh12,
        dbh12=ntodb(autoh12);
        dbh12=reshape(dbh12,nchanh1,nagch12*npktnormal);
        dbh12=dbh12-repmat(dbcal,1,nagch12*npktnormal);
        dbh12=dbh12+repmat(x1(:)',nchanh1,1);
        if dfh1,
            dbh12=reshape(dbh12,2*nchanh1,nagch12*npktnormal/2);
            dbh12=[reshape(dbh12(1:nchanh1,:),1,nautoh12*npktnormal/2); ...
reshape(dbh12(nchanh1+1:nchanh1*2,:),1,nautoh12*npktnormal/2)];
            end
dbh12=reshape(dbh12,max(nagch12,nautoh12)/rch1/rcall,npktnormal*rch1*rcall)
;
    else,
            dbh12=zeros(1,nagch12*npktnormal);
            dbh12=dbh12+x1(:)';
            dbh12=reshape(dbh12,nagch12/rch1/rcall,npktnormal*rch1*rcall);
        end
    end
end
if bandh2,
    nstart=(fstarth2-25)/50;
    dstep=fsteph2/50;
    nstop=nstart+(nstepsh2-1)*dstep;
    freqh2=fstarth2:fsteph2:(fstarth2+fsteph2*(nstepsh2-1));
    if nagch21,
        dbcal=dbcalhf(1:nchanh2,1+2*floor(3.6*log10(nchanh2)));
        a2=a123(7,2);
        a3=a123(7,3);
        agch21=reshape(agch21,nagch21/rch2/rcall,npktnormal*rch2*rcall);
        k=anth21+att*4;
        x1=agch21;
        for j=1:nagch21/rch2/rcall,
            if dfh2,
                    k=j+2-2*floor((j+1)/2)+att*4;
            end
            n=min(nstart+1+floor((j-1)/(1+dfh2))*dstep,322);
            x1(j,:)=-a1hf2(n,k) ...
                        +40*(log10(10.^((agch21(j,:)-a3)./a2) +1));
        end
        if nautoh21,
            dbh21=ntodb (autoh21);
            dbh21=reshape(dbh21,nchanh2,nagch21*npktnormal);
```

dbh21=dbh21-repmat(dbcal,1,nagch21*npktnormal);
dbh21=dbh21+repmat(x1(:)',nchanh2,1);
if dfh1,
dbh21=reshape (dbh21,2*nchanh2,nagch21*npktnormal/2);
dbh21=[reshape(dbh21(1:nchanh2,:),1,nautoh21*npktnormal/2); ...

```
reshape(dbh21(nchanh2+1:nchanh2*2,:),1,nautoh21*npktnormal/2)];
```

    end
    dbh21=reshape (dbh21, max (nagch21, nautoh21)/rch2/rcall, npktnormal*rch1*rcall)
;
else,
dbh21=zeros(1,nagch21*npktnormal);
dbh21=dbh21+x1(:)';
dbh21=reshape(dbh21,nagch21/rch2/rcall,npktnormal*rch2*rcall);
end
end
if nagch22,
dbcal=dbcalhf(1:nchanh2,2+2*floor(3.6*log10(nchanh2)));
a2=a123(8,2);
a3=a123 (8, 3) ;
agch22=reshape (agch22, nagch22/rch2/rcall, npktnormal*rch2*rcall);
x2=agch22;
for $j=1: n a g c h 22 / r c h 2 / r c a l l$,
n=min(nstart+1+floor((j-1)/(1+dfh2))*dstep, 322);
$x 2(j,:)=-a 1 h f 2(n, 4 *(a t t+1)) .$.
+40*(log10(10.^((agch22(j,:)-a3)./a2)+1));
end
if nautoh22,
dbh22=ntodb (autoh22);
dbh22=reshape (dbh22, nchanh2, nagch22*npktnormal);
dbh22=dbh22-repmat (dbcal,1, nagch22*npktnormal);
dbh22=dbh22+repmat(x1(:)',nchanh2,1);
if dfh1,
dbh22=reshape (dbh22,2*nchanh2,nagch22*npktnormal/2);
dbh22=[reshape (dbh22(1:nchanh2,:),1, nautoh22*npktnormal/2); ...
reshape (dbh22(nchanh2+1:nchanh1*2,:),1,nautoh22*npktnormal/2)];
end
dbh22=reshape (dbh22, max (nagch22, nautoh22)/rch2/rcall, npktnormal*rch2*rcall)
;
else,
dbh22=zeros(1,nagch22*npktnormal);
dbh22=dbh22+x1(:)';
dbh22=reshape (dbh22, nagch22/rch2/rcall, npktnormal*rch2*rcall);
end
end
end

## phasemag.m

```
function [phase,mag] = phasemag(crossr,crossi)
% phasemag.m -- 27.09.99
% Transforms cross reals and imaginaries Kronos
% in phase (degrees) and magnitude.
er=fix(abs(crossr)/8);
ei=fix(abs(crossi)/8);
```

```
mr=abs(crossr)-er*8;
mi=abs(crossi)-ei*8;
nr=2.^er.*(mr+8);
ni=2.^ei.*(mi+8);
nr=nr.*sign(crossr);
ni=ni.*sign(crossi);
phase=atan2(ni,nr)*180/pi;
nri=sqrt(nr.^2+ni.^2);
    siz=size(crossr);
    e=zeros(siz);
    for j=1:32,
        nrimag=nri>16;
        e=e+nrimag;
        nri=nri-nri.*nrimag/2;
    end
m=nri-8;
mag=e*8+m;
return
```


## correl_phase.m

```
function [ph,tauxr,tauxi] = corr_ph(auto1,auto2,crossr,crossi)
%
%function [ph,tauxr,tauxi] = corr_ph(auto1,auto2,crossr,crossi)
%calculates the phase shift (in degrees) and cross-correlation ratios
lx=crossr;
ly=crossi;
ex=fix(abs(lx)/8);
ey=fix(abs(ly)/8);
mx=abs (lx) -ex* 8;
my=abs (ly)-ey*8;
nx=2.^ex.* (mx+8);
ny=2.^ey.* (my+8);
nx=nx.*sign(lx);
ny=ny.*sign(ly);
ph=atan2(ny,nx)*180/pi;
lx=auto1;
ly=auto2;
ex=fix(abs(lx)/8);
ey=fix(abs(ly)/8);
mx=abs(lx) -ex*8;
my=abs (ly) -ey*8;
nxa=2.^ex.* (mx+8);
nya=2.^ey.* (my+8);
tauxr=nx./sqrt(nxa.*nya);
tauxi=ny./sqrt(nxa.*nya);
```


## fixsign.m

```
% fixsign.m -- 27.09.99
% to be called after READDATA to correct signs of cross-correlations.
nca=ncrossa;
ncab=nca+ncrossb;
ncabc=ncab+ncrossc;
ncabch1=ncabc+ncrossh1;
```

```
ncabch12=ncabc+ncrossh2;
x1=flipud(reshape(xi,8,npacket*nsign));
x2=flipud(reshape(xr,8,npacket*nsign));
x=[x1;x2];
x=reshape(x,nsign*16,npacket);
if ncrossa,
    crossar=abs(crossar).*x(2:2:2*nca,:);
    crossai=abs(crossai).*x(1:2:2*nca,:);
end
if ncrossb,
    crossbr=abs(crossbr).*x(2*nca+2:2:2*(ncab),:);
    crossbi=abs(crossbi).*x(2*nca+1:2:2*(ncab),:);
end
if ncrossc,
    crosscr=abs(crosscr).*x(2*ncab+2:2:2*ncabc,:);
    crossci=abs(crossci).*x(2*ncab+1:2:2*ncabc,:);
end
if ncrossh1,
    crossh1r=abs(crossh1r).*x(2*ncabc+2:2:2*ncabch1,:);
    crossh1i=abs(crossh1i).*x(2*ncabc+1:2:2*ncabch1,:);
end
if ncrossh2,
    crossh2r=abs(crossh2r).*x(2*ncabch1+2:2:2*ncabch12,:);
    crossh2i=abs(crossh2i).*x(2*ncabch1+1:2:2*ncabch12,:);
end
```


## ntodb.m

```
function [db] = ntodb(counts)
% ntodb.m -- 27.09.99
e=fix(counts/8);
m=counts-8*e;
db=10* log10(2 .^e .* (m+8));
```


## fitlogeq.m

```
function err = fitlogeq(a)
% FITLOGEQ(a) returns the residuals between the input log response points
% and the computed response curve with current values of coefficients
% A1, A2, A3, A4. It is called by FITLOG as an argument of FMINS.
global data plothandle err nx1 nx2 z
t = data(:,1);
y = data(:,2);
z=a(2).* log10((10.^((a(1)-t)/10)+10.^(-a(4)/10)).^.25-1)+a(3);
set(plothandle,'ydata',z)
drawnow
err = norm(z(nx1:nx2)-y(nx1:nx2));
```


## fitlog.m

```
function err = fitlog(data)
% Fit of log response ...
% equation in FITLOGEQ.M
% function to call
    -> data must be of the form [n,2] where the first column contains
        attenuations and the second one the telemetry points to be fitted.
% Derived from "FITDEMO Nonlinear curve fit with simplex algorithm".
```

```
close
```

close
figure
global data
% Let's plot the data.
t = data(:,1);
y = data(:,2);
axis([0 130 0 260])
hold on
plot(t,y,'c+','EraseMode','none')
title('Loi Log')
xlabel('dB attenuation')
ylabel('points télémesure')
siz=prod(size(data))/2;
xmin=data(1,1); xmax=data(siz,1);
disp('cliquez sur le premier point pour le fit')
[x1,y1]=ginput (1);
disp('cliquez sur le dernier point pour le fit')
[x2,y2]=ginput(1);
if x1<xmin, x1=xmin; end
if x2>xmax, x2=xmax; end
nx1=ceil(siz*(x1-xmin)/(xmax-xmin))+1;
nx2=floor(siz*(x2-xmin)/(xmax-xmin));
%data=data(n\times1:nx2,:);
plot(t,y,'c+',t(nx1:nx2),y(nx1:nx2),'rx','EraseMode','none')
title('Loi Log')
xlabel('dB attenuation')
ylabel('points télémesure')
global plothandle err nx1 nx2 z
plothandle = plot(t,y,'y-','EraseMode','xor');
a0 = [100 100 0 -20]';
trace = 0;
tol = .1;
a = fmins('fitlogeq',a0,[trace tol]);
hold off
echo off
s = ['a = fmins(''fitlogeq'',[100 100 0 -20]'',[0 .1]);'];
uicontrol('Units','normal','Position',[.15 . 01 . 1
.06],'String','Again','callback',s)
t1=sprintf('A1 = %6.2f',a(1));
t2=sprintf('A2 = %6.2f',a(2));
t3=sprintf('A3 = %6.2f',a(3));
t4=sprintf('A4 = %6.2f',a(4));
terr=sprintf('erreur = %6.2f',err);
text(60,235,t1);

```
```

text(60,220,t2);
text (60,205,t3);
text(60,190,t4);
text(60,165,terr);
disp('End')

```

\section*{Ilogntox.m}
```

function [x]=llogntox(n,a)
% x = a1 - 40*[log10(10^((n-a3)/a2)+1)]
% n == points de telemesure
% x == entree deduite en dB (a vo pres)
x = a(1) - 40*[log10(10.^((n-a(3))/a(2))+1)];

```

\section*{llogxton.m}
```

function [n]=llogxton(x,a)
% x == entree en dB
% n == points de telemesure
n=a(2).* log10((10.^((a(1)-x)/10)+10.^(-a(4)/10)).^.25-1)+a(3);

```

\section*{Various files.}

\section*{dbcal32.txt}
" readdata
which data file? fluctabc.004
bench1 =
old
Analysis data
Bands A B C
ABC integrate time \(=1.000 \mathrm{sec}\)
no. of channels \(=32\)
direction finding ON
internal attenuator OFF
90 packets
» axp=mean (autoa1 (1:32,:)');
» \(\mathrm{bxp}=\) mean (autob1 (1:32,:)');
cxp=mean (autoc1 (1:32,:)');
cxm=mean (autoc1 (33:64,:)');
bxm=mean (autob1 (33:64,:)');
» axm=mean (autoa1 (33:64,:)');
» axp=ntodb (axp);
bxp=ntodb (bxp) ;
cxp=ntodb (cxp) ;
cxm=ntodb (cxm);
bxm=ntodb (bxm);
axm=ntodb (axm);
hold off
plot([axp bxp cxp],'r')
hold on
plot([axm bxm cxm],'b')
title('dBcals deduced from file fluctabc.004 - + \& - Ex')
ylabel('dB')
print -dpsc__1.ps
ax=mean(reshape (autoa1, 32,180 )');
bx=mean (reshape (autob1, 32,180)');
cx=mean (reshape (autoc1,32,180)');
\(\mathrm{cz}=\) mean (reshape (autoc2, 32,180)');
bz=mean (reshape (autob2, 32,180)');
\(a z=m e a n(r e s h a p e(a u t o a 2,32,180) ') ;\)
\(a x=n t o d b(a x)\);
bx=ntodb (bx);
cx=ntodb (cx);
\(c z=n t o d b(c z) ;\)
bz=ntodb (bz);
\(a z=n t o d b(a z)\);
dbcal=[axp' axm' ax' az'
bxp' bxm' bx' bz'
cxp' cxm' cx' cz']
dbcal =
\begin{tabular}{cccc}
75.3941 & 76.0089 & 75.7124 & 75.6301 \\
75.3941 & 75.9166 & 75.6632 & 75.6107 \\
75.2363 & 75.9062 & 75.5744 & 75.5463 \\
75.1506 & 75.7690 & 75.4203 & 75.4579 \\
& \(:\) & & \\
& \(\vdots\) & & \\
70.7538 & 70.9125 & 70.8339 & 70.9269
\end{tabular}

\section*{dbcal.txt}
```

» readdata
which data file? llgar20.020
bench1 =
old
Analysis data
Bands A repeat count ALL = 1
ABC integrate time = 1.000 sec
no. of channels = 32
antenna 1 -> +/-Ex autos ON
antenna 2 -> +Ez cross ON
direction finding ON
internal attenuator OFF
6 4 ~ p a c k e t s
» physique
> size(dba1)
256 64
» d1=reshape(dba1,64,256);
> d2=reshape(d1',4,256*16);
» d2=mean(d2);
» d3=reshape(d2,64,64);
» d3=d3';
» d4=reshape(d3,32,128);
> dpx=d4(:,1:2:128);
» dmx=d4(:,2:2:128);
> plot(mean(dpx(:,1:35)'-dmx(:,1:35)'))
» title('mean(dpx(:,1:35)''-dmx(:,1:35)''')
» x=1:32;
> y=mean(dpx(:,1:35)'-dmx(:,1:35)');
> c=polyfit(x,y,5);
y1=polyval(c,x);
> plot(x,y,x,y1)
> title('diff. dBcal32 bande A - (+X)-(-X) - file llgar20.020')
» ylabel('dB');xlabel('canaux');grid on
> yy=[y' y1'];
save dbcal_diff_A.dat -ascii yy
load dbcal_32.dat
» size(dbcal_32)
96 2
dpxc_32=dbcal_32(1:32,1);
dmxc_32=dpxc_32+y1';
dpmxc_32=(dpxc_32+dmxc_32)/2;
coeffs_c_32=[dpxc_32 dmxc_32 dpmxc_32 dbcal_32(1:32,2)];
save dbcoeffs_a_32.dat -ascii coeffs_c_32
plot([dpxc_32 dmxc_32 dpmxc_32])
ylabel('dB');xlabel('canaux');grid on
title('dBcal +X, -X, +/-X, Bande A, 32 canaux')
load dbcal_16.dat
size(dbcal_16)
48 2
dpxc_16=dbcal_16(1:16,1);
yb=(y1(1:2:32)+y1(2:2:32))/2;
dmxc_16=dpxc_16+yb';
dpmxc_16=(dpxc_16+dmxc_16)/2;
coeffs_c_16=[dpxc_16 dmxc_16 dpmxc_16 dbcal_16(1:16,2)];
save dbcoeffs_a_16.dat -ascii coeffs_c_16

```
```

plot([dpxc_16 dmxc_16 dpmxc_16])
> ylabel('dB');xlabel('canaux');grid on
> title('dBcal +X, -X, +/-X, Bande A, 16 canaux')
> load dbcal_08.dat
> size(dbcal_08)
8 6
dpxc_08=dbcal_08(:,1);
yc=(yb (1:2:16)+yb(2:2:16))/2;
dmxc_08=dpxc_08+yc';
dpmxc_08=(dpxc_08+dmxc_08)/2;
coeffs_c_08=[dpxc_08 dmxc_08 dpmxc_08 dbcal_08(:,4)];
save dbcoeffs_a_08.dat -ascii coeffs_c_08
plot([dpxc_08 dmxc_08 dpmxc_08])
ylabel('dB');xlabel('canaux');grid on
title('dBcal +X, -X, +/-X, Bande A, 08 canaux')
» readdata
which data file? llgbr20.020
bench1 =
old
Analysis data
Bands B repeat count ALL = 1
ABC integrate time = 1.000 sec
no. of channels = 32
antenna 1 -> +/-Ex autos ON
antenna 2 -> +Ez cross ON
direction finding ON repeat count = 4
attenuateur interne OFF
6 4 packets
physique
d1=reshape (dbb1,64,256);
d2=reshape (d1',4,256*16);
d2=mean (d2);
d3=reshape(d2,64,64);
d3=d3';
d4=reshape (d3,32,128);
dpx=d4(:,1:2:128);
dmx=d4(:,2:2:128);
y=mean(dpx(:, 4:34)'-dmx(:,4:34)');
x=1:32;
plot(x,y)
plot(dpx'-dmx')
c=polyfit(x,y,5);
y1=polyval(c,x);
plot(x,y,x,y1)
ylabel('dB');xlabel('canaux');grid on
title('diff. dBcal32 bande B - (+X)-(-X) - file llgbr20.020')
yy=[y' y1'];
save dbcal_diff_B.dat -ascii yy
> load dbcal_32.dat
> size(dbcal_32)
32 6
dpxc_32=dbcal_32(:,2);
dmxc_32=dpxc_32+y1';
dpmxc_32=(dpxc_32+dmxc_32)/2;
coeffs_c_32=[dpxc_32 dmxc_32 dpmxc_32 dbcal_32(:,5)];
save dbcoeffs_b_32.dat -ascii coeffs_cc_32
plot([dpxc_32 dmxc_32 dpmxc_32])
ylabel('dB');xlabel('canaux');grid on
title('dBcal +X, -X, +/-X, Bande B, 32 canaux')

```
```

» load dbcal_16.dat
" size(dbcal_16)
16 6
dpxc_16=dbcal_16(:,2);
yb=(y1(1:2:32)+y1(2:2:32))/2;
dmxc_16=dpxc_16+yb';
dpmxc_16=(dpxc_16+dmxc_16)/2;
coeffs_c_16=[dpxc_16 dmxc_16 dpmxc_16 dbcal_16(1:16,5)];
save dbcoeffs_b_16.dat -ascii coeffs_c_16
plot([dpxc_16 dmxc_16 dpmxc_16])
ylabel('dB');xlabel('canaux');grid on
title('dBcal +X, -X, +/-X, Bande B, 16 canaux')
load dbcal_08.dat
size(dbcal_08)
8
6
dpxc_08=dbcal_08(:,1);
yc=(yb (1:2:16)+yb (2:2:16))/2;
dmxc_08=dpxc_08+yc';
dpmxc_08=(dpxc_08+dmxc_08)/2;
coeffs_c_08=[dpxc_08 dmxc_08 dpmxc_08 dbcal_08(:,5)];
save dbcoeffs_b_08.dat -ascii coeffs_c_08
plot([dpxc_08 dmxc_08 dpmxc_08])
ylabel('dB');xlabel('canaux');grid on
title('dBcal +X, -X, +/-X, Bande B, 8 canaux')
readdata
which data file? llgcr20.020
bench1 =
old
Analysis data
Bands C repeat count ALL = 1
ABC integrate time = 1.000 sec
no. of channels = 32
antenna 1 -> +/-Ex autos ON
antenna 2 -> +Ez cross ON
direction finding ON repeat count = 4
attenuateur interne OFF
6 4 packets
» d1=reshape(dbc1,64,256);
??? Undefined function or variable 'dbc1'.
physique
d1=reshape(dbc1,64,256);
d2=reshape (d1',4,256*16);
d2=mean (d2);
d3=reshape(d2,64,64);
d3=d3';
d4=reshape (d3,32,128);
dpx=d4(:,1:2:128);
dmx=d4(:,2:2:128);
plot(dpx'-dmx')
plot(dpx(1:32,:)'-dmx(1:32,:)')
plot(dpx(:,1:32)'-dmx(:,1:32)')
y=mean(dpx(:,1:32)'-dmx(:,1:32)');
x=1:32;
c=polyfit(x,y,5);
y1=polyval (c,x);
plot(x,y,x,y1)
ylabel('dB');xlabel('canaux');grid on
title('diff. dBcal32 bande C - (+X)-(-X) - file llgcr20.020')
load dbcal_32.dat
dpxc_32=dbcal_32(:,3);

```
```

dmxc_32=dpxc_32+y1';
dpmxc_32=(dpxc_32+dmxc_32)/2;
coeffs_c_32=[dpxc_32 dmxc_32 dpmxc_32 dbcal_32(:,6)];
save dbcoeffs_c_32.dat -ascii coeffs_c_32
plot([dpxc_32 dmxc_32 dpmxc_32])
ylabel('dB');xlabel('canaux');grid on
title('dBcal +X, -X, +/-X, Bande C, 32 canaux')
load dbcal_16.dat
dpxc_16=dbcal_16(:,3);
yb=(y1(1:2:32)+y1(2:2:32))/2;
dmxc_16=dpxc_16+yb';
dpmxc_16=(dpxc_16+dmxc_16)/2;
coeffs_c_16=[dpxc_16 dmxc_16 dpmxc_16 dbcal_16(1:16,6)];
save dbcoeffs_c_16.dat -ascii coeffs_c_16
plot([dpxc_16 dmxc_16 dpmxc_16])
ylabel('dB');xlabel('canaux');grid on
title('dBcal +X, -X, +/-X, Bande C, 16 canaux')
load dbcal_08.dat
yc=(yb (1:2:16)+yb (2:2:16))/2;
dpxc_08=dbcal_08(:,3);
dmxc_08=dpxc_08+yc';
dpmxc_08=(dpxc_08+dmxc_08)/2;
coeffs_c_08=[dpxc_08 dmxc_08 dpmxc_08 dbcal_08(:,6)];
save dbcoeffs_c_08.dat -ascii coeffs_c_08
plot([dpxc_08 dmxc_08 dpmxc_08])
ylabel('dB');xlabel('canaux');grid on
title('dBcal +X, -X, +/-X, Bande C, 8 canaux')

```

\section*{dbcal_2.txt}
```

> dbcal_2 --> continuation of processing described in dbcal32.txt
readdata
which data file? 32filabc.002
bench1 =
old
Analysis data
Bands A B C repeat count ALL = 10
ABC integrate time = 1.000 sec
no. of channels = 32
antenna 1 -> +/-Ex autos ON
antenna 2 -> +Ez cross ON
direction finding OFF repeat count = 1
internal attenuator ON
11 packets
readdata
which data file? 16filabc.001
bench1 =
old
Analysis data
Bands A B C repeat count ALL = 100
ABC integrate time = 1.000 sec
no. of channels = 16
antenna 1 -> +/-Ex autos ON
antenna 2 -> +Ez cross ON
direction finding OFF repeat count = 1
internal attenuator ON
1 packets

```
```

readdata
which data file? 8filtabc.001
bench1 =
old
Analysis data
Bands A B C repeat count ALL = 100
ABC integrate time = 1.000 sec
no. of channels = 8
antenna 1 -> +/-Ex autos ON
antenna 2 -> +Ez cross ON
direction finding OFF repeat count = 1
internal attenuator ON
1 packets
--> continuation of processing done in dbcal_2.m
plot([[ax32;bx32;cx32] dbcal32(:,1)])
ylabel('dB');xlabel('canaux');grid on
title('[[ax32;bx32;cx32] dbcal32(:,1)]')
x32=[ax32;bx32;cx32];
z32=[az32;bz32;cz32];
deltax32=x32-dbcal32(:,1);
deltaz32=z32-dbcal32(:,4);
plot([deltax32 deltaz32])
ylabel('dB');xlabel('canaux');grid on
title('[deltax32 deltaz32]')
diffp_m32=dbcal32(:,1)-dbcal32(:,2);
plot(diffp_m32)
ylabel('dB');xlabel('canaux');grid on
title('diffp_m32=dbcal32(:,1)-dbcal32(:,2)')
dbcal16=zeros(48,4);
dbcal8=zeros(24,4);
x16=[ax16;bx16;cx16];
z16=[az16;bz16;cz16];
x8=[ax8';bx8';cx8'];
z8=[az8';bz8';cz8'];
deltax16=(deltax32(1:2:96)+deltax32(2:2:96))/2;
deltaz16=(deltaz32(1:2:96)+deltaz32(2:2:96))/2;
deltax8 = (deltax16(1:2:48)+deltax16(2:2:48))/2;
deltaz8 = (deltaz16(1:2:48)+deltaz16(2:2:48))/2;
diffp_m16=(diffp_m32(1:2:96)+diffp_m32(2:2:96))/2;
diffp_m8 = (diffp_m16(1:2:48)+diffp_m16(2:2:48))/2;
dbcal16(:,1)=x16-deltax16;
dbcal16(:,2)=x16-deltax16-diffp_m16;
dbcal16(:,3)=x16-deltax16-diffp_m16/2;
dbcal16(:,4)=z16-deltaz16;
dbcal8(:,1)=x8-deltax8;
dbcal8(:,2)=x8-deltax8-diffp_m8;
dbcal8(:,2)=x8-deltax8-diffp_m8/2;
dbcal8(:,2)=x8-deltax8-diffp_m8;
dbcal8(:,3)=x8-deltax8-diffp_m8/2;
dbcal8(:,4)=z8-deltaz8;
dbcal_32=[dbcal32(1:32,:) dbcal32(33:64,:) dbcal32(65:96,:)];
dbcal_16=[dbcal16(1:16,:) dbcal16(17:32,:) dbcal16(33:48,:)];
dbcal_08=[dbcal8(1:8,:) dbcal8(9:16,:) dbcal8(17:24,:)];
dbcal_32=round(dbcal_32*100)/100;
dbcal_16=round(dbcal_16*100)/100;
dbcal_08=round(dbcal_08*100)/100;
save dbcal_32.dat -ascii dbcal_32
save dbcal_16.dat -ascii dbcal_16

```

\section*{correction＿sinx＿x＿abc．txt}

Calculation of the correction to apply to Vo in log response calibrations of \(A B C\) receivers due to the \(\sin (x) / x\) shape of the spectrum of noise generators．
```

> fca=40;

```
》 \(\mathrm{fcb}=180\);
》 \(\mathrm{fcc}=800\);
» fra=3.6:.02:3.6*4.5;
》 \(\mathrm{frb}=4.5\) *fra;
» frc=4.5*frb;
> arga=pi*fra/fca;
» argb=pi*frb/fcb;
》 argc=pi*frc/fcc;
» \(\mathrm{pa}=(\sin (\operatorname{arga)} . / \operatorname{arga}) . \wedge 2\);
» \(\mathrm{pb}=(\sin (\arg \mathrm{b}) . / \mathrm{argb}) .{ }^{\wedge} 2\);
» \(\mathrm{pc}=(\mathrm{sin}(\operatorname{argc}) . / \operatorname{argc}) . \wedge 2\);
» meana \(=10 * \log 10(.02 *\) sum (pa) /3.6/3.5)
meana \(=-0.9686\)
» meanb \(=10 * \log 10(.02 *\) sum (pb) /3.6/3.5)
meanb \(=-0.9686\)
» meanc \(=10 * \log 10(.02 *\) sum \((\mathrm{pc}) / 3.6 / 3.5)\)
meanc \(=-0.9927\)
\% calculation of average level of noise generators.
» \(\mathrm{dbv} 0 \mathrm{a}=10 * \log 10\left(1.86^{\wedge} 2 / 2 / \mathrm{fca} / 1000\right)+\) meana
dbv0a \(=-44.6093\)
» \(\mathrm{dbv} 0 \mathrm{~b}=10 * \log 10\left(1.86^{\wedge} 2 / 2 / \mathrm{fcb} / 1000\right)+\) meanb
\(\mathrm{dbv} 0 \mathrm{~b}=-51.1414\)
» dbv0c=10*log10(1.835^2/2/fcc/1000)+meanc
\(\mathrm{dbv} 0 \mathrm{c}=-57.7611\)

\section*{integration＿times．txt}
＝＝discussion of acquisition and computation times for each configuration．

\section*{Calculation of ABC measurement times}
＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝
```

» ncycles=ones(3,1)*[81 18 4] % sampling rate in multiples of 200 ns
81 18 4
81 18 4
81 18 4
> scale= [1128;513;245]; % of files iscale(8,16,32).dat, canal 0
> nn=230;
> q=(2*256*(nn-1)./scale+1)/2 % == onboard calculations
52.4716
114.7768
239.7816

```
```

»ntaps=floor(q*ones(1,3)) % filter lengths (canal 0)
52 52 52
114 114 114
239 239 239
» nsamples=2*ntaps;
" tacq=.0002*ncycles.*nsamples
A B C
1.6848 0.3744 0.0832
3.6936 0.8208 0.1824 16
llllll
»ttotal=[2.532 1.2214 .936;5.572 2.879 2.246;13.476 7.452 6.119]
2.5320 1.2214 0.9360 % measured by P. Fedou
5.5720 2.8790 2.2460
13.4760 7.4520 6.1190
» tcalcul=ttotal-tacq % == quite homogeneous (except B16)
0.8472 0.8470 0.8528
1.8784 2.0582 2.0636
5.7324 5.7312 5.7366
»nblocks=[407 873 1164;179 367 478;76 140 172] % of file blocks.dat,
407 873 1164 values for 1 sec
179 367 478
76 140 172
» nblocks.*ttotal/1000

| 1.0305 | 1.0663 | 1.0895 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.9974 | 1.0566 | 1.0736 |$\quad \% \sim 1 \mathrm{sec}$.

    0
    idem for HF
=============
» scale=[512;1024;2047]; % of file hfscale.dat
5 1 2
1024
2047
> nn=80;
> q=(2*256*(nn-1)./scale+1)/2
40.0000
20.2500
10.3798
» ntap=floor(q);
> ntaps=flipud([80;ntap])
10
20
4 0
80
» nsamples=2*ntaps;
» tacq=.0002*ncycles.*nsamples
0.0680
0.1360
0.2720
0.5440

```
```

» ttotal=[.2472;.4178;.8102;1.7761]
0.2472
0.4178
0.8102
1.7761
» tcalcul=ttotal-tacq
0.1792
0.2818
0.5382
1.2321

```

\section*{corr_integrate_times.txt}
» load blocks.tmp = number of analyses averaged by the ADSP as a function of the band number of filters, and integration time.
```

» abc=blocks(1:36);hf=blocks(37:56);

```
» \(\mathrm{abc}=\) reshape \((\mathrm{abc}, 3,12)\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 51 & 102 & 204 & 407 & 109 & 218 & 436 & 873 & 145 & 291 & 582 & 1164 & 8 f \\
\hline 22 & 45 & 90 & 179 & 46 & 92 & 183 & 367 & 60 & 119 & 239 & 478 & 16 f \\
\hline 9 & 19 & 38 & 76 & 17 & 35 & 70 & 140 & 21 & 43 & 86 & 172 & 32 f \\
\hline . 125 & . 25 & . 5 & 1 & . 125 & . 25 & . 5 & 1 & . 125 & . 25 & . 5 & 1 & sec \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
» abcnn=reshape (abc',4,9)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 51 & 109 & 145 & 22 & 46 & 60 & 9 & 17 & 21 & . 125 s \\
\hline 102 & 218 & 291 & 45 & 92 & 119 & 19 & 35 & 43 & . 25 \\
\hline 204 & 436 & 582 & 90 & 183 & 239 & 38 & 70 & 86 & . 5 \\
\hline 407 & 873 & 1164 & 179 & 367 & 478 & 76 & 140 & 172 & 1 \\
\hline A & B & C & A & B & C & A & B & C & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Normalize wrt ... 1 sec , ideally ratios of 2 :
```

» abcnn(1,:)=abcnn (1,:)*8;
> abcnn (2,:)=abcnn (2,:)*4;
> abcnn(3,:)=abcnn (3,:)*2;
» abcnn

```
\begin{tabular}{lllllllll}
408 & 872 & 1160 & 176 & 368 & 480 & 72 & 136 & 168 \\
408 & 872 & 1164 & 180 & 368 & 476 & 76 & 140 & 172 \\
408 & 872 & 1164 & 180 & 366 & 478 & 76 & 140 & 172 \\
407 & 873 & 1164 & 179 & 367 & 478 & 76 & 140 & 172
\end{tabular}

Compare with ideal matrix :
```

» norm=ones(4,1)*abcnn(4,:)

| 407 | 873 | 1164 | 179 | 367 | 478 | 76 | 140 | 172 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 407 | 873 | 1164 | 179 | 367 | 478 | 76 | 140 | 172 |
| 407 | 873 | 1164 | 179 | 367 | 478 | 76 | 140 | 172 |
| 407 | 873 | 1164 | 179 | 367 | 478 | 76 | 140 | 172 |

> abcn=abcnn./norm
1.0025 0.9989 0.9966 0.9832 1.0027 1.0042 0.9474 0.9714 0.9767

```
\begin{tabular}{lllllllll}
1.0025 & 0.9989 & 1.0000 & 1.0056 & 1.0027 & 0.9958 & 1.0000 & 1.0000 & 1.0000 \\
1.0025 & 0.9989 & 1.0000 & 1.0056 & 0.9973 & 1.0000 & 1.0000 & 1.0000 & 1.0000 \\
1.0000 & 1.0000 & 1.0000 & 1.0000 & 1.0000 & 1.0000 & 1.0000 & 1.0000 & 1.0000
\end{tabular}
```

» dbabc=10*log10(abcn);
» dbabc=reshape(dbabc,12,3)';
» dbabc=reshape(dbabc,3,4,3)
dbabc(:,:,1)

| 0.0107 | 0.0107 | 0.0107 | 0 | A- 8 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| -0.0734 | 0.0242 | 0.0242 | 0 | A-16 |
| -0.2348 | 0 | 0 | 0 | A-32 |

dbabc(:,:,2) =

| -0.0050 | -0.0050 | -0.0050 | 0 | B- 8 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 0.0118 | 0.0118 | -0.0118 | 0 | B-16 |
| -0.1259 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $B-32$ |

dbabc(:,:,3) =

| -0.0149 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $C-8$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0.0181 | -0.0182 | 0 | 0 | $C-16$ |
| -0.1022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $C-32$ |
| $>-----$ | ----- | ----- | ----- |  |
| .125 s | .25 s | .5 s | 1 s |  |

```
idem for HF
============
\begin{tabular}{cccrrr} 
» \(h f=r e s h a p e ~(h f, 4,5)\) \\
41 & 85 & 171 & 345 & 692 & \(1 f\) \\
25 & 50 & 102 & 205 & 412 & \(2 f\) \\
13 & 26 & 53 & 106 & 213 & \(4 f\) \\
6 & 12 & 24 & 48 & 96 & \(8 f\) \\
10 & 20 & 40 & 80 & 160 & ms
\end{tabular}

Normalize wrt ... 80 msec, ideally ratios of 2 :
```

» test=[8 4 2 1 .5];
» test=ones(4,1)*test
8.0000
8.0000 4.0000 2.0000 1.0000 0.5000
8.0000 4.0000 2.0000 1.0000 0.5000
8.0000 4.0000 2.0000 1.0000 0.5000
»hfn=hf.*test
328.0000 340.0000 342.0000 345.0000 346.0000
200.0000 200.0000 204.0000 205.0000 206.0000
104.0000 104.0000 106.0000 106.0000 106.5000
48.0000 48.0000 48.0000 48.0000 48.0000

```

\section*{Compare with ideal matrix :}
```

" norm=hfn(:,4)*ones(1,5)

| 345 | 345 | 345 | 345 | 345 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 205 | 205 | 205 | 205 | 205 |
| 106 | 106 | 106 | 106 | 106 |
| 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 |

> dbhf=10*log10(hfn./norm)

| -0.2195 | -0.0634 | -0.0379 | 0 | 0.0126 | 1 | filt. |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| -0.1072 | -0.1072 | -0.0212 | 0 | 0.0211 | 2 | $"$ |
| -0.0827 | -0.0827 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0204 | 4 |
| 0 | ----- | ----- | ----- | ---- | 8 | $"$ |
| ----- | 20 ms | 40 ms | 80 ms | 160 ms |  |  |

```
"worst case error" equals case \(10 \mathrm{~ms}, 1\) filter \(==-.22 \mathrm{~dB}\)

But... results of trials on files flucthf. 001 to flucthf.010...
```

» a1=[157.1 156.8 156.7 156.8 156.6];
> a2=[167.9 167.8 167.7 167.6 167.5];
» a3=[175.4 175.9 176.1 176.0 175.9];
» a4=[183.9 184.3 182.2 182.2 182.2];
> d1=(ntodb (a1) -ntodb (a1(4)));
> d2=(ntodb (a2) -ntodb (a2(4)));
> d3=(ntodb (a3) -ntodb (a3(4)));
» d4=(ntodb (a4) -ntodb (a4(4)));
» [d1;d2;d3;d4]

| 0.1006 | 0 | -0.0341 | 0 | -0.0684 | 1 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0.0827 | 0.0553 | 0.0278 | 0 | -0.0279 | 2 |
| -0.1660 | -0.0272 | 0.0540 | 0 | -0.0272 | 4 |
| 0.4911 | 0.6782 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| ----------- | ----- | ---- | --- |  |  |
| 10 ms | 20 ms | 40 ms | 80 ms | 160 ms |  |

```

These results are not in very good agreement with theoretical predictions above, but probably the tests were not well controlled and long enough to reach the required accuracy.

\section*{Files newcode}
used for data decompression (meander)
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
newcode1.dat & newcode2.dat & newcode3.dat \\
040 f & 0000 & 0000 \\
0508 & 0000 & 0000 \\
0511 & 040 a & 0000 \\
0000 & 0000 & 0000 \\
0514 & 0000 & 0000 \\
0502 & 0502 & 0000 \\
050 b & 050 a & 0407 \\
0000 & 0000 & 0000 \\
040 c & \(040 f\) & 0000 \\
0505 & 0505 & 0404 \\
050 e & 0407 & 0305 \\
0000 & 0000 & 0000 \\
0000 & 0000 & 0000 \\
0000 & 0000 & 0000 \\
0000 & 0000 & 0000 \\
0000 & 0000 & 0000 \\
040 d & 0000 & 0000 \\
0506 & 0506 & 0000 \\
\(050 f\) & 0408 & 00000 \\
0000 & 0000 & 0000 \\
0512 & \(0040 b\) & 0306 \\
0500 & 0500 & 0405 \\
0509 & 0508 & 0000 \\
0000 & 0000 & 0000 \\
0515 & 040 d & 0402
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lll}
\(050 c\) & \(050 b\) & 0408 \\
0000 & 0000 & 0000 \\
0000 & 0000 & 0000 \\
0000 & 0000 & 0000 \\
0000 & 0000 & 0000 \\
0000 & 0000 & 0000 \\
\(040 e\) & 0000 & 0000 \\
0507 & 0507 & 0000 \\
0510 & 0409 & 0307 \\
0000 & 0000 & 0000 \\
0513 & \(040 c\) & 0000 \\
0501 & 0501 & 0401 \\
\(050 a\) & 0509 & 0406 \\
0000 & 0000 & 0000 \\
\(040 b\) & \(040 e\) & 0000 \\
0504 & 0504 & 0403 \\
\(050 d\) & 0406 & 0409 \\
0000 & 0000 & 0000
\end{tabular}

\section*{IDL programs.}

The programs involved in data calibration (from raw auto and cross values to physical units) are "cal.pro", which calls the routines "agc_db.pro" and "auto_db.pro" and uses the save_set "constants.xdr". This save set is built with the program "read_constants.pro" and the above data tables "a....dat" and "dbcal....dat".

\section*{help_cal.pro}
```

pro HELP_CAL
print,'Function CAL, agc, auto, bande, ant, freq, nfilters, filter'
print,'Function AGC_dB, agc, a1, a2, a3'
print,'Function AUTO_dB, auto'
return
end

```

\section*{cal.pro}
```

Function CAL, agc, auto, bande, ant, freq, nfilters, filter ;, att
Conversion of [tables of] couples (agc,auto) or (agc,cross) into values
; in physical units (dB / Volts.Hz^-1/2)
band = 0-4 (A,B,C,H1,H2)
ant = 0-3 (+X,-X,D,Z)
freq = frequency (kHz), H1 \& H2 only; =0 pour ABC
; nfilters = 1,2,4,8,16,32 (filters/band)
filter = 1-32 (\# of filter in the band)
; att = 0-1 (Off,On)

```
common KRONOSCAL, a1h1,a1h2, ai,dbcal_abc,dbcal_h
```

if n_elements(ai) eq 0 then restore,'constants.xdr'
ncal=n_elements(agc)
if n_elements(att) eq 0 then att=intarr(ncal)
a123=fltarr(3,ncal)
iant=byte (ant/3)
for i=OL,ncal-1L do a123(*,i)=ai(*,iant(i),bande(i),att(i))
ifreq=freq
test=where(bande eq 3)
if test(0) ne -1 then begin
testfreq=where(bande eq 3 and ifreq gt 4300)
if testfreq(0) ne -1 then ifreq(testfreq)=4300
ntest=n_elements(test)
for i=0L,ntest-1L do a123(0,test(i))= \$
a1h1(ant(test(i)), fix(ifreq(test(i))/25), att(test(i)))
endif
test=where(bande eq 4)
if test(0) ne -1 then begin
testfreq=where(bande eq 4 and ifreq gt 16075)
if testfreq(0) ne -1 then ifreq(testfreq)=16075
ntest=n_elements (test)
for i=0L, ntest-1L do a123(0,test(i))=\$
alh2(ant (test(i)), fix((ifreq(test(i))-25)/50), att(test(i)))
endif

```
dBcal=fltarr(ncal)
```

    test=where(bande le 2)
    ntest=n_elements(test)
    if test(0) ne -1 then for i=0L,ntest-1L do dBcal(test(i))=$
    dBcal_abc(filter(test(i)), ant (test(i)),bande(test(i)), $
    fix(alog(nfilters(test(i))*1.)/alog(2.) +0.5)-3)
    test=where(bande gt 2)
ntest=n_elements(test)
if test(0) ne -1 then for i=0L,ntest-1L do dBcal(test(i))=\$
dBcal_h(filter(test(i)), iant(test(i)), \$
fix(alog(nfilters(test(i))*1.)/alog(2.) +0.5))
dB=fltarr(ncal)
test=where(agc ne 255)
if test(0) ne -1 then \$
dB (test)=AGC_dB (agc(test),a123(0,test),a123(1,test),a123(2,test))
test=where(auto ne 255)
if test(0) ne -1 then \$
dB(test) = dB (test) +AUTO_dB(auto(test)) -dBcal(test)
test=where((agc ne 255 or auto ne 255) and ant ne 2)
if test(0) ne -1 then dB(test)=dB(test)+3. ; +3 dB in monopole
return, dB
; dB = 0 si agc = auto = 0
end

```

\section*{agc_db.pro}
```

Function AGC_dB, agc, a1, a2, a3
dB = -a1 + 40.*alog10( 1. + 10.^((agc-a3)/a2) )
return, dB
end

```

\section*{auto_db.pro}

Function AUTO_dB, auto

    return, \(d B\)
end

\section*{read_constants.pro}
```

; READ_CONSTANTS.pro
reads a_i \& dB_cal values from files db_cal.dat, a1hf1.dat \& a1hf2.dat
buf=[ 166.37,73.20,-0.01, \$ ; A band, Ex, Att Off
164.84,
168.48,85.61, 0.08, \$
168.70,85.03, 1.31, \$
168.43.82 58,0.01, \$ ;B band, Ez,
169.46,82.30,0.00, \$ ; "
169.46,82.30, 0.00, \$ ; C band, Ez, "
0. ,94.81, 0. , \$ ; H1 band, Ex, "
0. ,96.76, 0. , \$ ; H1 band, Ez, "
0. ,94.81, 0. , \$ ; H2 band, Ex H2 = H1

```
```

    0. ,96.76, 0. ] ; H2 band, Ez
    ai=fltarr(3,2,5,2) ; a123, Ex/z, 5 bands, att off/on
    ai(*,*,*,0) =reform(buf, 3, 2, 5)
    ai(*,*,*,1)=ai(*,*,*,0)
    ai (0,*,*,1)=reform ([136.27,134.84,138.38,138.70,138.33,139.46,0,0,0,0],2,5)
a1h1=fltarr(4,173,2) ; +x/-x/+-x/z, 0,25,50...4300 kHz, att off/on
a1h2=fltarr (4,322,2) ; +x/-x/+-x/z, 25,75...16075 kHz, att off/on
buf=fltarr(8)
openr,u,'a1hf1.dat',/get_lun
for i=0,172 do begin
readf,u,buf
a1h1(*,i,0)=buf(0:3) \& alh1(*,i,1)=buf(4:7)
endfor
close,u \& free_lun,u
openr,u,'a1hf2.dat',/get_lun
for i=0,321 do begin
readf,u,buf
a1h2(*,i,0)=buf(0:3) \& alh2(*,i,1)=buf(4:7)
endfor
close,u \& free_lun,u
dbcal_abc=fltarr(32,4,3,3) ; \#filt, +x/-x/+-x/z, ABC, 8/16/32
buf=fltarr(12)
openr,u,'dbcal_08.dat',/get_lun
for i=0,7 do begin
readf,u,buf
dbcal_abc(i, *, 0,0)=buf(0:3) \& dbcal_abc(i, *, 1,0)=buf(4:7)
dbcal_abc(i,*,2,0)=buf(8:11)
endfor
close,u \& free_lun,u
openr,u,'dbcal_16.dat',/get_lun
for i=0,15 do begin
readf,u,buf
dbcal_abc(i,*,0,1)=buf(0:3) \& dbcal_abc(i,*,1,1)=buf(4:7)
dbcal_abc(i,*,2,1)=buf(8:11)
endfor
close,u \& free_lun,u
openr,u,'dbcal_32.dat',/get_lun
for i=0,31 do begin
readf,u,buf
dbcal_abc(i,*,0,2)=buf(0:3) \& dbcal_abc(i,*,1,2)=buf(4:7)
dbcal_abc(i, *, 2, 2) =buf (8:11)
endfor
close,u \& free_lun,u
dbcal_h=fltarr(8,2,4) ; \#filt, x/z, 1/2/4/8
buf=fltarr(8)
openr,u, 'dbcalhf.dat',/get_lun
for i=0,7 do begin
readf,u,buf
dbcal_h(i,*,*)=buf
endfor
close,u \& free_lun,u

```
save, a1h1, a1h2, ai, dbcal_abc, dbcal_h,file='constants.xdr',/xdr,/verb
```

A1H1 FLOAT = Array (4, 173, 2)
+x/-x/+-x/z, 0,25,50···4300 kHz, att off/on
A1H2 FLOAT = Array (4, 322, 2)
+x/-x/+-x/z, 25,75...16075 kHz, att off/on
AI FLOAT = Array(3, 2, 5, 2)
a123, Ex/z, 5 bands, att off/on
DBCAL_ABC FLOAT = Array(32, 4, 3, 3)
\#filt, +x/-x/+-x/z, ABC, 8/16/32
DBCAL_H FLOAT = Array (8, 2, 4)
\#filt, x/z, 1/2/4/8
end

```

\section*{constants.xdr}
```

IDL> restore,'constants.xdr'
IDL> help
A1H1 FLOAT = Array[4, 173, 2]
A1H2 FLOAT = Array[4, 322, 2]
AI FLOAT = Array[3, 2, 5, 2]
DBCAL_ABC FLOAT = Array[32, 4, 3, 3]
DBCAL_H FLOAT = Array[8, 2, 4]

```

The program "prep_df.pro" below is an example of reading and calibrating data in direction-finding mode, including calibration of phases. The part in italics is simply the loading and selection of raw data. The following part performs the calibration of amplitudes by calling "cal.pro", and than correction of phases using the save_set "phases.xdr". This save set is built with the program "read_phases.pro" and the above data tables "phase....dat".

\section*{prep_df.pro}
```

pro PREP_DF, aaaajjj, hd, hf, bande, vv,xts,xf,msec
reads "analysis" data (Kronos ~NewBench) from a list of files
(from 'liste.scratch'), calibrate, and fills the array VV
= VV*[+X,Z,-X,Z] Re,Im(VV*)[+X,Z] Re,Im(VV*)[-X,Z]
; af full temporal resolution, with corrected phases
; xts \& xf = time (sec) and frequency (kHz)
; msec = integration time of measurements
; band : A=0, B=1, C=2, H1=3, H2=4
do first (in the program directory)
dir/out=liste.scratch/col=1/nohead/notrail [dir_Kronos] (VMS)
; ls -1 /dir/sous_dir/dir_Kronos/* > liste.scratch
common KRONOSCAL, a1h1,a1h2,ai,dbcal_abc,dbcal_h ; ,v0
LIT_HFR_LISTE, aaaajjj, hd, hf, bande, bande, z
print \& print,'selection of DF data ...'
test=where(z.bande eq bande and z.df gt 10 and z.agcl ne 255b and \$
z.agc2 ne 255b and z.auto1 ne 255b and z.auto2 ne 255b and \$
z.cross1 ne -999 and z.cross2 ne -999)
if test(0) ne -1 then z=z(test) else stop

```
help, \(z\)
; preparation tableaux xts \& xf
\(n z=n \_e l e m e n t s(z)\)
xts \(=\) dblarr \((n z) \& x f=f l t a r r(n z)\)
for \(i=0 L, n z-1 L\) do
xts (i)=total (transpose (z (i).time) \#[3600.0, 60.0,1.0,0.01])
if bande le 2 then begin
        nfilt=z(0).filters \& freq_ABC,nfilt,freq
        for \(i=0 L, n z-1 L\) do begin

            nfilt=z(i).filters \& freq_ABC,nfilt,freq
            endif
            \(x f(i)=f r e q(b a n d e * n f i l t+z(i) . f i l t e r)\)
        endfor
    endif else \(x f=z . c h a n n e l+25 * z\).filter/z.filters
; remplissage tableau data (vv)
    \(d f 1=w h e r e(z . d f e q 11) \& n d f=n \_e l e m e n t s(d f 1) \& d f 2=1 o n a r r(n d f)\)
    for \(i=O L, n d f-1 L\) do begin
        ii=dfl(i) \& il=min([ii+1L, nz-1L]) \& i2=min([ii+z(ii).filters,nz-1L])
        jj=where(xf(il:i2) eq xf(ii) and xts(il:i2) eq xts(ii) \$
            and \(z(i 1: i 2) . d f\) eq 12)
        jj=jj(0) \& if jj ne -1 then jj=jj+il
        df2(i)=jj
    endfor
    test=where (df2 ne -1)
    if n_elements (test) lt ndf then if test (0) ne -1 then begin
        \(\bar{d} f 1=d f 1(t e s t) \& d f 2=d f 2(t e s t) \& n d f=n \_e l e m e n t s(d f 1)\)
    endif
    \(z 1=z(d f 1) \& z 2=z(d f 2)\)
    \(x f=x f(d f 1) \& x t s=x t s(d f 1) \& m s e c=z 1 . m s e c * 10\)
    vv=fltarr(ndf,8)
; VV*[+X,Z,-X,Z] Re, Im(VV*)[+X,Z] Re,Im(VV*)[-X,Z]
    restore, 'phases.xdr'
AXX+
    buf=cal(z1.agc1,z1.auto1,z1.bande, z1.df-
11b, z1.channel, z1.filters,z1.filter)
    vv (*, 0) =10.^(buf/10.)
; AZZ(+)
    buf=cal(z1.agc2,z1.auto2,z1.bande, z1.df-
8b, z1.channel,z1.filters,z1.filter)
    vv (*, 1) =10.^(buf/10.)
AXX-
    buf=cal(z2.agc1,z2.auto1,z2.bande,z2.df-
11b, z2.channel, z2.filters, z2.filter)
    vv (*, 2) =10.^(buf/10.)
; AZZ(-)
    buf=cal(z2.agc2,z2.auto2,z2.bande, z2.df-
9b, z2.channel,z2.filters, z2.filter)
    vv (*, 3) =10.^(buf/10.)
; \(\operatorname{Re}(+X Z) \& \operatorname{Im}(+X Z)\)
    ax=10.^(auto_db(z1.auto1)/10.) \& az=10.^(auto_db(z1.auto2)/10.)
    cr=z1.cross1 \& cr=cr/abs(cr)*(10.^(auto_db(abs(cr))/10.))
    ci=z1.cross2 \& ci=ci/abs(ci)*(10.^(auto_db(abs(ci))/10.))
    \(\mathrm{cr}=\mathrm{cr} / \mathrm{sqrt}(\mathrm{ax} * a z)\)
```

    ci=ci/sqrt(ax*az)
    cm=sqrt(cr^2+ci^2)
    thph=atan(ci,cr)*!radeg
    ph=fltarr(ndf) & att=intarr(ndf) ; hyp. = sans attenuation
    if bande le 2 then for i=0L,ndf-1L do ph(i)=phases_abc(z1(i).filter, $
        (z1(i).df mod 10)-1b,z1(i).bande, $
        fix(alog(z1(i).filters*1.)/alog(2.)+0.5)-3)
    if bande eq 3 then begin
    freq=z1.channel
    test=where(freq gt 4300)
    if test(0) ne -1 then freq(test)=4300
    for i=0L,ndf-1L do ph(i)=ph_h1((z1(i).df mod 10)-1b,fix(freq(i)/25),0)+
    \$
phases_h(z1(i).filter,fix(alog(z1(i).filters*1.)/alog(2.)+0.5))
endif
if bande eq 4 then begin
freq=z1.channel
test=where(freq gt 16075)
if test(0) ne -1 then freq(test)=16075
for i=0L,ndf-1L do \$
ph(i)=ph_h2((z1(i).df mod 10)-1b,fix((freq(i)-25)/50),0)+ \$
phases_h(z1(i).filter,fix(alog(z1(i).filters*1.)/alog(2.)+0.5))
endif
th=(thph-ph)/!radeg
cr=cm*}\operatorname{cos(th)
ci=cm*sin(th)
Re(+XZ)
vv(*,4)=cr
; Im(+XZ)
vv(*,5) =ci
Re(-XZ) \& Im(-XZ)
ax=10.^(auto_db(z2.auto1)/10.) \& az=10.^(auto_db(z2.auto2)/10.)
cr=z2.cross1 \& cr=cr/abs(cr)*(10.^(auto_db(abs(cr))/10.))
ci=z2.cross2 \& ci=ci/abs(ci)*(10.^(auto_db(abs(ci))/10.))
cr=cr/sqrt(ax*az)
ci=ci/sqrt(ax*az)
cm=sqrt(cr^2+ci^2)
thph=atan(ci,cr)*!radeg
ph=fltarr(ndf) \& att=intarr(ndf) ; hyp. = sans attenuation
if bande le 2 then for i=0L,ndf-1L do ph(i)=phases_abc(z2(i).filter, \$
(z2(i).df mod 10)-1b,z2(i).bande, \$
fix(alog(z2(i).filters*1.)/alog(2.)+0.5)-3)
if bande eq 3 then begin
freq=z2.channel
test=where(freq gt 4300)
if test(0) ne -1 then freq(test)=4300
for i=0L,ndf-1L do ph(i)=ph_h1((z2(i).df mod 10)-1b,fix(freq(i)/25),0)+
\$
phases_h(z2(i).filter,fix(alog(z2(i).filters*1.)/alog(2.)+0.5))
endif
if bande eq 4 then begin
freq=z2.channel
test=where(freq gt 16075)
if test(0) ne -1 then freq(test)=16075
for i=0L,ndf-1L \$
do ph(i)=ph_h2((z2(i).df mod 10)-1b,fix((freq(i)-25)/50),0)+ \$
phases_h(z2(i).filter,fix(alog(z2(i).filters*1.)/alog(2.)+0.5))
endif
th=(thph-ph)/!radeg
cr=cm*}\operatorname{cos(th)
ci=cm*sin(th)

```
```

; Re(-XZ)
VV (* , 6) =cr
; Im(-XZ)
vv(*,7) =ci
help, vv,xts,xf,msec
return
end

```

\section*{read_phases.pro}
```

; READ_PHASES.pro
reads phases values from files phase8/16/32_abc.dat,
phase_hf1/2.dat \& phase1248_hf.dat
ph_h1=fltarr(3,173,2); +x/-x/+-x(ref=z), 0,25,50...4300 kHz, att off/on
ph_h2=fltarr (3,322,2) ; +x/-x/+-x(ref=z), 25,75...16075 kHz, att off/on
buf=fltarr(6)
openr,u,'phase_hf1.dat',/get_lun
for i=0,172 do begin
readf,u,buf
ph_h1(*,i,0)=buf(0:2) \& ph_h1(*,i,1)=buf(3:5)
endfor
close,u \& free_lun,u
openr,u,'phase_hf2.dat',/get_lun
for i=0,321 do begin
readf,u,buf
ph_h2(*,i,0)=buf(0:2) \& ph_h2(*,i,1)=buf(3:5)
endfor
close,u \& free_lun,u
phases_abc=fltarr(32,3,3,3); \#filt, +x/-x/+-x(ref=z), ABC, 8/16/32
buf=fltarr(9)
openr,u,'phase8_abc.dat',/get_lun
for i=0,7 do begin
readf,u,buf
phases_abc(i,*,0,0)=buf(0:2) \& phases_abc(i, *, 1,0)=buf(3:5)
phases_abc(i,*,2,0)=buf(6:8)
endfor
close,u \& free_lun,u
openr,u,'phase16_abc.dat',/get_lun
for i=0,15 do begin
readf,u,buf
phases_abc(i,*,0,1)=buf(0:2) \& phases_abc(i,*,1,1)=buf(3:5)
phases_abc(i,*,2,1)=buf(6:8)
endfor
close,u \& free_lun,u
openr,u,'phase32_abc.dat',/get_lun
for i=0,31 do begin
readf,u,buf
phases_abc(i,*,0,2)=buf(0:2) \& phases_abc(i,*,1,2)=buf(3:5)
phases_abc(i,*,2,2)=buf(6:8)
endfor
close,u \& free_lun,u

```
```

phases_h=fltarr(8,4) ; \#filt, 1/2/4/8
buf=fltarr(4)
openr,u,'phase1248_hf.dat',/get_lun
for i=0,7 do begin
readf,u,buf
phases_h(i,*)=buf
endfor
close,u \& free_lun,u

```
save,ph_h1,ph_h2,phases_abc,phases_h,file='phases.xdr',/xdr,/verb
```

PH_H1 FLOAT = Array (3, 173, 2)
+x/-x/+-x(ref=z), 0,25,50...4300 kHz, att off/on
PH_H2 FLOAT = Array (3, 322, 2)
+x/-x/+-x(ref=z), 25,75...16075 kHz, att off/on
PHASES_ABC FLOAT = Array (32, 3, 3, 3)
\#filt, +x/-x/+-x(ref=z), ABC, 8/16/32
PHASES_H FLOAT = Array (8, 4)
\#filt, 1/2/4/8

```
end

\section*{phases.xdr}

IDL> restore,'phases.xdr'
IDL> help
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
PHASES_ABC & FLOAT & \(=\) Array \([32,3,3,3]\) \\
PHASES_H & FLOAT & \(=\) Array \([8,4]\) \\
PH_H1 & FLOAT & \(=\) Array \([3,173,2]\) \\
PH_H2 & FLOAT & \(=\) Array \([3,322,2]\)
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{12.1 WBR Subsystem Description}

This section of the RPWS Calibration Document describes the Wideband Receiver (WBR), extending the description contained in the Section 3 instrument overview. The WBR subsystem provides very high frequency/time resolution electric and magnetic field measurements for a selection of frequency passbands, and is similar to the wideband receivers previously designed for the Voyager, Galileo, Polar and Cluster missions.

\subsection*{12.1.1 General WBR Characteristics}

The WBR processes signals from one of the electric or magnetic sensors, or from the Langmuir Probe, as selected by instrument command A block diagram of the WBR subsystem is shown in Figure 12.1.1.1.

Input signals for the WBR are chosen via an analog antenna selection switch located at the receiver input. The output of this switch goes to a 50 Hz to 80 kHz bandpass filter which sets the bandwidth of the input waveform. To provide the capability for obtaining waveforms at frequencies above 80 kHz , the HFR is utilized to down-convert higher frequency signals into a 50 kHz to 75 kHz bandpass (HF input in Figure 12.1.1.1).

To allow the WBR to accommodate the large ( \(>100 \mathrm{~dB}\) ) dynamic range of input signals, a set of gain amplifiers are used to regulate signal amplitudes in the receiver in steps of 10 dB over a range of 0 dB to 70 dB . The output from the gain select amplifiers then goes to a 50 Hz to 10 kHz and a 1 kHz to 80 kHz bandpass filter. A switch determines which filter output is sent to the HRP for 8 -bit sampling, and also to a peak detector. The peak detector creates a voltage that is used by an automatic gain control (AGC) implemented in software.

Figure 12.1.1.1
RPWS WIDEBAND RECENER (WBR)
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\subsection*{12.1.2 Receiver Inputs}

The WBR subsystem has the capability of processing signals from one of the electric or magnetic field sensors, or from the Langmuir Probe. The WBR is provided with the following signal inputs:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Sensor Designation & Description \\
ExLo & \begin{tabular}{l}
10 meter electric antennas. The HFR can provide for the ExLo \\
input either the dipole configuration (default); or either the Ex+ or \\
Ex- as a monopole
\end{tabular} \\
EzLo & 10 meter electric antenna (monopole) \\
HF & \begin{tabular}{l} 
High frequency electric field signals are down-converted by the \\
\\
\\
\\
HFR into a 50 kHz to 75 kHz band. This mode requires that the \\
frequency bands for conversion are any between 150 kHz and 4.125 \\
MHz, and any band except those centered at multiples of 50 kHz \\
between 4.125 and 16 MHZ. It should be noted that HF input \\
signals have been through the HFR AGC, and thus are kept at a \\
fairly constant amplitude. Also, proper use of the HF input mode \\
restricts the WBR band select to the 1 kHz to 80 kHz passband.
\end{tabular} \\
Bx & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Magnetic search coil antenna with axis aligned with spacecraft x- \\
axis
\end{tabular} \\
LP & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Langmuir Probe
\end{tabular}
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{12.1.3 Output Passbands}

The bandwidth of the WBR output waveform is determined by one of two bandpass filters selected by the HRP. The bandwidths of the WBR output waveform and associated sampling rates are:
\begin{tabular}{lc}
\(\frac{\text { Filter }}{}\) & \(\frac{\text { Sampling Rate }}{36 \mu \mathrm{~s}}\) \\
\(50 \mathrm{~Hz}-10 \mathrm{kHz}\) & \(4.5 \mu \mathrm{~s}\)
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{12.1.4 Gain Select}

The gain select stage of the WBR employs three switchable amplifiers \((0 / 10 \mathrm{~dB}, 0 / 20 \mathrm{~dB}\), and \(0 / 40 \mathrm{~dB}\) ) which may be commanded via the HRP to provide gain combinations of 0 dB to 70 dB in 10 dB increments.

Additionally, the WBR has the capability of auto-ranging through the gain steps via an automatic gain control (AGC) loop. The automatic gain select function is controlled by the HRP and allows the WBR to respond to large changes in signal intensity. In this operational mode, the signal from the output bandpass filter ( 50 Hz to 10 kHz or 1 kHz to 80 kHz ) goes through a peak detector stage as shown in the block diagram. The output from the peak detect, after sampling, is then compared in software to a pair of reference amplitudes, and the gain state is either increased by one step ( 10 dB ) or decreased by one step accordingly for the next set of contiguous samples. In order to avoid excessive toggling between gain steps, a threshold must be exceeded in either direction, thereby introducing hysteresis in the AGC loop. The gain update rate is adjustable, with dwell times of 0.25 seconds or greater possible, depending on output data mode. The autoranging gain capability allows the WBR to respond to large changes in input signal levels so as to maximize the signal-to-noise ratio and to avoid saturation of the receiver.

\subsection*{12.1.5 Receiver Output}

The output signal from the WBR is routed to the HRP to be sampled (8 bits), and packed into WBD mini-packets before being transmitted to the ground. To increase the amount of wideband waveform data which can be transmitted with the available telemetry, the output of the WBR is usually compressed.

\subsection*{12.1.6 Control}

WBR control functions which determine instrument modes are managed by the HRP. The WBR receives the following control inputs:

\section*{Function}

Antenna Select
Band Select
Gain Select
Gain Time Constant

\subsection*{12.1.7 Power}

The WBR is provided with four power supply lines. The regulated supply voltages and measured average current loads are as follows:
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
+12 \mathrm{~V} & 5.5 \mathrm{~mA} \\
-12 \mathrm{~V} & 6.9 \mathrm{~mA} \\
+6 \mathrm{~V} & 39.0 \mathrm{~mA} \\
-6 \mathrm{~V} & 39.0 \mathrm{~mA}
\end{array}
\]

The total power requirement for the WBR is 313 mW .

\subsection*{12.2 Converting WBR Telemetry Values to Physical Units}

In this section, the procedure for obtaining calibrated data values for the Wideband Receiver is described.
1. First a snapshot consisting of \(2^{\mathrm{N}}\) raw WBR data samples is obtained. These data samples are 8 -bit unsigned values ( \(0-255\) ).
2. The DC component is obtained by averaging the \(2^{\mathrm{N}}\) samples. The DC component is subtracted from the \(2^{\mathrm{N}}\) samples, because the receiver is an AC-coupled system and the DC-component is not related to any sensor measurement. Furthermore, removal of the DC offset is needed for the next step.
3. The proper counts-to-volts rms factor is now applied to the data. This is the amplitude in counts of a sine wave in the middle of the pass-band which would be measured by the WBR if a 1 Volt \(_{\text {rms }}\) signal were injected into the Eu differential amplifier and the WBR had no gain amplifier turned on. The \(2^{\mathrm{N}}\) samples are all divided by this factor. Throughout the calibrations, another way this is expressed is the decibels below a maximum amplitude sine wave; for 8 -bit data, which can range 0 through 255 , this is dB below a sine wave of amplitude 127.5 counts (or 255 counts peak-to-peak) and it is referred to as dBmax. This factor depends upon the filter mode:
\begin{tabular}{clll} 
Translation Mode & \(\frac{\text { Filter }}{}\) & \(\frac{\text { counts } / V_{r m s}}{}\) & \(\frac{\text { dBmax }}{\text { Baseband }}\)
\end{tabular}
4. Next the WBR gain amplifier value must be divided out. The gain can vary from 0 dB through 70 dB in steps of 10 dB . If the gain is G , then the \(2^{\mathrm{N}}\) samples should all be divided by \(10^{\mathrm{G} / 20}\).
5. Next a Hanning window can be applied to the \(2^{\mathrm{N}}\) samples. For a Hanning window the coherent gain is 0.5 (see "Digital Filter Design Handbook" by Fred J. Taylor). Therefore the \(2^{\mathrm{N}}\) samples must then be multiplied by 2. The equation for a Hanning window is \(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}=0.5^{*}\left(1-\cos \left(2 * \mathrm{P}^{*} \mathrm{i} /\left(2^{\mathrm{N}}-1\right)\right)\right)\) for \(\mathrm{i}=0,2^{\mathrm{N}}-1\).
6. An FFT should be performed on the \(2^{\mathrm{N}}\) samples. The FFT output must be normalized using whatever normalization factors are necessary to give the amplitude of a sine wave when real and imaginary parts are squared, summed and the square root taken. The normalization factors may be different for different FFT implementations. The phase information from the FFT is not useful, so the magnitudes can now be calculated by squaring the real and imaginary parts, summing them and taking the square root. This yields volts \({ }_{\text {rms }}\) in each FFT bin. This is the voltage difference Vdiff between the Ex+ and Ex- antennas at the frequency corresponding to that FFT bin.
7. Once the voltage Vdiff is found, the sensor-dependent conversion factor must be used to adjust the voltage. The voltage difference between the Ex+ antenna and the Ex- antenna is given the symbol VDEx. Since the voltage difference Vdiff calculated in steps 1 through 6 is for the voltage difference between Ex+ and Ex-, no conversion is necessary for VDEx. VDEx is equal to Vdiff. The voltage between the Ex+ antenna and spacecraft ground is given the symbol VEx+. The voltage between the Ex- antenna and spacecraft ground is given the symbol VEx-. The voltage between the Ez antenna and spacecraft ground is given the symbol VEz. The voltage out of the Bx magnetic preamp is given the symbol Vbxpa. Figure 12.2 .1 shows the location of these voltages. The conversion factors and their symbols are listed below. The conversion factors convert the Vdiff values to the voltage at the various sensors.
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Symbol & Factor \\
CF+ex & 1.0 & Conversion factor for a monopole using the the Ex+ antenna \\
CF-ex 1.0 & \multicolumn{2}{c}{ Conversion factor for a monopole using the the Ex- antenna } \\
CFez & 1.0 & Conversion factor for the Ez antenna \\
CFbx & 24.0 & Conversion factor for the Bx Search Coil
\end{tabular}

The formulas for converting the Vdiff value to the sensor input voltage are:
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
VDEx \(=\) & Vdiff & (units are \(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{rms}}\) ) \\
VEx \(=\) & \((\mathrm{CF}+\mathrm{ex})^{*}(\) Vdiff \()\) & \\
VEx- \(=\) & \((\mathrm{CF}-\mathrm{ex})^{*}(\) Vdiff \()\) \\
\(\mathrm{VEz}=\) & \((\mathrm{Cfez})^{*}(\) Vdiff \()\) \\
Vbxpa \(=\) & \((\mathrm{Cfbx})^{*}(\) Vdiff \()\)
\end{tabular}
8. Now the sensor-dependent calibrations must be applied. For the Bx Search Coil, the voltage at the output of the Search Coil preamplifier must be converted to nanotesla. See Table 7.1.1 in the section about the Magnetic Search Coils for the frequency-dependent conversion factors. For a particular frequency let this conversion factor be given the symbol CBxnt; for example, Table 7.1 .1 shows that at 1000 Hz the Bx Search Coil's response is \(147.8 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{nT}\), so CBxnt is 0.1478 . To convert from voltage at the output of the Bx Search Coil preamplifier, VBxpa must be divided by CBxnt. This is shown in the following equation:
\[
\text { NTBx }=\text { VBxpa } / \text { CBxnt } \quad\left(\text { units are } \mathrm{nT}_{\mathrm{rms}}\right)
\]

NTBx is the field detected by the Bx Search Coil. At this point we have meaningful physical units. For the electric antennas we have voltage measured at the antenna elements. For the magnetic sensor we have the magnetic field in nanotesla at the sensor. Next the magnitude of the electric field for the electric antennas can be obtained. The voltages at the antennas are divided by the effective antenna length, producing units of
volts per meter. Here the effective antenna lengths are defined as the physical distances between the geometric centers of the antennas for the Ex dipole, and the geometric center for the Ez antenna and also for the individual monopole configurations of Ex+ and Ex-. The effective length for the Ex+ to Ex- dipole antenna configuration is given the symbol Lexdelta. The Ex+ monopole effective length is given the symbol L+Ex. The Exmonopole effective length is given the symbol L-Ex. The Ez monopole effective length is given the symbol LEz. For a more detailed discussion of the effective lengths of the electric antennas, see Section 5.

Effective Antenna Lengths in Meters for various mode configurations
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Antenna & Effective Length (meters) & Configuration \\
\hline LExdelta & 8.66 & dipole \\
\hline L+Ex & 5.00 & monopole \\
\hline L-Ex & 5.00 & monopole \\
\hline LEz & 5.00 & monopole \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The electric field on the Ex dipole is represented by VMExdelta, the electric field on the Ex+ monopole by VM+Ex, the electric field on the Ex- monopole by VM-Ex, and the electric field on the Ez monopole by VMEz. The following equations show the method for calculating the electric field on the antenna in volts per meter. These equations do not include effects due to stray capacitive divider effects between the antennas and the spacecraft.
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { VMExdelta } & = & (\text { VDEx }) /(\mathrm{LExdelta}) \\
\mathrm{VM}+\mathrm{Ex} & = & (\mathrm{VEx}+) /(\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{Ex}) \\
\mathrm{VM}-\mathrm{Ex} & = & (\mathrm{VEx}-) /(\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{Ex}) \\
\mathrm{VMEz} & = & (\mathrm{VEz}) /(\mathrm{LEz})
\end{array}
\]
9. Finally, to obtain power spectral density, one must square the value and divide by the bandwidth, which depends upon the type of window function used before performing the FFT. If no window function is used, then the equivalent noise bandwidth is the FFT bin width, which is the sample frequency divided by the number of samples \(\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{S}} / 2^{\mathrm{N}}\right)\). If the Hanning window suggested above is used, then the equivalent noise bandwidth is 1.5 times the FFT bin width (again see "Digital Filter Design Handbook" by Fred J. Taylor).


As an illustration of how to obtain calibrated values from WBR telemetry, let us look at some real data:

Example 1: SCET 1997-October-25-UT06:34:25 (SCLK 4AE3F190.1)
After electric antenna deployment, a broad-band emission is examined. The WBR was in 10kHz mode with 60 dB of gain and connected to the Ex dipole. A waveform of length 2048 was captured. Following the recipe for obtaining calibrated values, the DC component is computed and subtracted from all 2048 samples. Since the filter mode is \(10-\mathrm{kHz}\), all 2048 samples are then divided by 264.25 counts per \(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{rms}}\), and also divided by the gain amplifier factor, \(10^{60 / 20}\) (or 1000). A Hanning window is applied to the data and then all windowed values are multiplied by 2 to offset the Hanning window's coherent gain. An FFT is performed on the data, and after normalizing, the magnitudes of the resulting 1024 components are computed by squaring the real and imaginary parts of the complex outputs, summing them, and taking the square root. The broad-band emission under examination extends from about 1 kHz to 3 KHz and is about 10 to 20 dB above the Ex dipole's noise floor. The peak amplitude occurs at frequency 2835 Hz , and this peak amplitude is Vdiff at 2.835 kHz :
\[
\text { Vdiff } \quad=\quad 1.33 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~V}_{\text {rms }}
\]

Since the sensor is the Ex dipole, we know that the voltage VDEx is the same as Vdiff. So the electric field strength can be calculated directly:
\[
\begin{aligned}
\text { VMExdelta } & =(\text { VDEx }) /(\text { LExdelta }) \\
& =1.33 \times 10^{-5} / 8.66 \\
& =1.54 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{rms}} / \text { meter } .
\end{aligned}
\]

Finally, to determine the electric field power spectral density, we must square this value and divide by the equivalent noise bandwidth. Since we have \(10-\mathrm{kHz}\) mode, the sample frequency is given by
\[
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{s}} & =1 . / 36 . \times 10^{-6} \\
& =27778 \mathrm{~Hz}
\end{aligned}
\]
and since the sample set size is 2048, the FFT bin size is given by
\[
\begin{aligned}
\text { Df } & =\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{s}} / 2048 \\
& =27778 / 2048 \\
& =13.563 \mathrm{~Hz} .
\end{aligned}
\]

But since a Hanning window was applied to the data, the equivalent noise bandwidth is given by
\[
\begin{aligned}
\text { ENBW } & =1.5 * \mathrm{Df} \\
& =20.345 \mathrm{~Hz} .
\end{aligned}
\]

So the power spectral density at 2.8 kHz is given by
\[
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{PSD} & =(\text { VMExdelta })^{2} / \text { ENBW } \\
& =\left(1.54 \times 10^{-6}\right)^{2} / 20.345 \\
& =1.17 \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{rms}}{ }^{2} / \text { meter }^{2} \mathrm{~Hz}
\end{aligned}
\]

\section*{Example 2. SCET 1996-July-31-UT18:52:32 (SCLK 31FFAB70.1)}

During unit level testing the Bx Search Coil was stimulated with a 1 kHz tone at \(0.5 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{rms}}\), which should have produced a magnetic field of \(0.5 \mathrm{nT}_{\mathrm{rms}}\). The WBR was in \(80-\mathrm{kHz}\) mode with 30 dB of gain. A waveform of length 2048 was captured. The raw data shows a sine wave with maximum value of 152 and a minimum value of 109 , giving an initial estimate of 43 counts peak-to-peak for the sine wave. Following the recipe for obtaining calibrated values, the DC component is computed and found to be 130.35 counts. This value is subtracted from all 2048 samples. A Hanning window is applied to the data and then all windowed values are multiplied by 2 to offset the Hanning window's coherent gain. An FFT is performed on the data, and after normalizing, the magnitudes of the resulting 1024 components are computed by squaring the real and imaginary parts of the complex outputs, summing them, and taking the square root. The peak amplitude occurs in the \(9^{\text {th }}\) FFT bin, corresponding to frequency 977 Hz , and this peak amplitude is 19.46 counts (note that this is close to our initial estimate of 21.5 counts for the amplitude). Dividing this by the calibration factor of 267.31 counts \(/ \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{rms}}\) and also by the gain factor of \(10^{\mathrm{G} / 20}\), we obtain Vdiff at 1 kHz :
\[
\begin{aligned}
\text { Vdiff } & =19.46 /\left(267.31 * 10^{30 / 20}\right) \\
& =19.46 /(267.31 * 31.62) \\
& =2.30 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~V}_{\text {rms }}
\end{aligned}
\]

Since the sensor is the Bx Search Coil, we now want to know what the voltage VBxpa from the Search Coil preamplifier is. It is:
\[
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\mathrm{Vbxpa} & = & (\mathrm{CFbx}) *(\mathrm{Vdiff}) \\
& = & 24 * 2.30 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{rms}} \\
& =55.25 \mathrm{mV}_{\mathrm{rms}}
\end{array}
\]

Now referring to the Search Coil calibration in Table 7.1.1, we find that at 1000 Hz the Bx Search Coil's response CBxnt is \(147.8 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{nT}\). So we find that the magnetic field strength NTBx is
\[
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\text { NTBx } & = & \text { VBxpa } / \mathrm{CBxnt}^{2} & \text { (units are } \mathrm{nT}_{\mathrm{rms}} \text { ) } \\
& =55.25 / 147.8 \mathrm{nT}_{\mathrm{rms}} \\
& =0.374 \mathrm{nT}_{\mathrm{rms}}
\end{array}
\]

This is probably more correct than the \(0.5 \mathrm{nT}_{\mathrm{rms}}\) presumed value, since the MFR also gives a similar answer. In this case, it makes little sense to calculate spectral density since the signal is a tone.

\subsection*{12.3 WBR-Specific Calibration Tests and Results}

\subsection*{12.3.1 WBR Frequency Responses}

In this section, frequency response calibration data for the wideband receiver are presented. Transfer functions for each of the filters and translation modes is shown. Figure 12.3.1.1 illustrates the stimulus configuration used for the WBR frequency responses. The oscillator output is attenuated by a fixed amount and a balancing transformer is used to drive the Ex+/inputs differentially.

During the calibrations, the HP workstation commands the oscillator to step in frequency. For each frequency step, a waveform is captured and telemetered to the spacecraft stimulator. An FFT is performed on the data after removing the DC component. The peak amplitude is chosen from the frequency-domain result, and the peak frequency (which should be very close to the stimulus frequency) is chosen. The amplitude is calculated by a simple time domain rms average and then is adjusted by \(2^{1 / 2} / 2\) to give the amplitude of the sine wave in WBR counts. This rms calculation yields a value very close to the FFT result at the peak frequency, but does not suffer from the "scallop loss" effect of the FFT results, which are sensitive to the proximity of the frequency to the center of an FFT bin. For further discussion on this effect, see Section 12.3.2, where the FFT amplitudes of the peak frequencies are presented for the gain calibrations. Finally, the amplitude is expressed in dBmax, i.e., 0 dB corresponds to a sine wave of amplitude 127.5 counts.

Although the frequency response could be used to adjust the calibrations of the WBR data, it is recommended that this not be done, since the noise floor becomes accentuated outside the passband. Instead, one should simply note from the frequency response tests that the filters are flat to within a dB or less in the pass-band. And data should be ignored if it is outside the \(3-\mathrm{dB}\) points. From the frequency response curves, those \(3-\mathrm{dB}\) points are approximately:
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Translation Mode & \(\underline{\text { Filter }}\) & \(\underline{\text { 3-dB points }}\) \\
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Baseband & 80 kHz
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(0.80-75 \mathrm{kHz}\) \\
Baseband
\end{tabular} & 10 kHz \\
& & \(0.06-10.65 \mathrm{kHz}\)
\end{tabular}



Table 12.3.1.1 WBR 10 kHz Mode Frequency Response
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{c} 
Frequency \\
\((\) Hz \()\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Amplitude \\
\((\) dBmax \()\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Frequency \\
\((\) Hz \()\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Amplitude \\
\((\) dBmax \()\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 27.13 & -40.33 & 1152.89 & -13.74 \\
\hline 40.69 & -20.79 & 1220.70 & -13.77 \\
\hline 54.25 & -18.04 & 1315.65 & -13.81 \\
\hline 67.82 & -14.91 & 1383.46 & -13.84 \\
\hline 81.38 & -14.15 & 1478.41 & -13.90 \\
\hline 94.94 & -13.82 & 1627.60 & -13.99 \\
\hline 108.51 & -13.74 & 1722.55 & -14.03 \\
\hline 122.07 & -13.48 & 1831.05 & -14.07 \\
\hline 149.20 & -13.45 & 1966.69 & -14.12 \\
\hline 162.76 & -13.41 & 2088.76 & -14.16 \\
\hline 203.45 & -13.46 & 2224.39 & -14.21 \\
\hline 217.01 & -13.41 & 2360.03 & -14.25 \\
\hline 230.58 & -13.43 & 2522.79 & -14.30 \\
\hline 244.14 & -13.37 & 2699.11 & -14.35 \\
\hline 271.27 & -13.41 & 2861.87 & -14.38 \\
\hline 284.83 & -13.40 & 3051.76 & -14.40 \\
\hline 298.39 & -13.41 & 3255.21 & -14.42 \\
\hline 311.96 & -13.36 & 3472.22 & -14.44 \\
\hline 339.08 & -13.39 & 3702.80 & -14.45 \\
\hline 366.21 & -13.37 & 3960.50 & -14.45 \\
\hline 393.34 & -13.41 & 4218.21 & -14.44 \\
\hline 406.90 & -13.41 & 4503.04 & -14.44 \\
\hline 434.03 & -13.43 & 4801.43 & -14.43 \\
\hline 461.15 & -13.42 & 5126.95 & -14.43 \\
\hline 501.84 & -13.42 & 5466.04 & -14.42 \\
\hline 528.97 & -13.43 & 5832.25 & -14.41 \\
\hline 569.66 & -13.46 & 6212.02 & -14.40 \\
\hline 610.35 & -13.49 & 6618.92 & -14.40 \\
\hline 651.04 & -13.50 & 7066.51 & -14.37 \\
\hline 691.73 & -13.52 & 7541.23 & -14.34 \\
\hline 732.42 & -13.53 & 8043.08 & -14.33 \\
\hline 773.11 & -13.55 & 8558.49 & -14.38 \\
\hline 827.37 & -13.58 & 9168.84 & -14.56 \\
\hline 881.62 & -13.61 & 9752.06 & -14.81 \\
\hline 935.87 & -13.64 & 10430.23 & -15.17 \\
\hline 1003.69 & -13.67 & 11121.96 & -19.87 \\
\hline 1071.51 & -13.71 & 11840.82 & -30.33 \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Frequency (Hz)

Table 12.3.1.2 WBR 80 kHz Mode Frequency Response
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Frequency (Hz) & Amplitude (dBmax) & Frequency (Hz) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Amplitude \\
(dBmax)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 976.56 & -23.99 & 50998.26 & -24.27 \\
\hline 1953.12 & -24.00 & 51974.83 & -24.32 \\
\hline 3038.19 & -24.16 & 52951.39 & -24.34 \\
\hline 4014.76 & -24.15 & 54036.46 & -24.41 \\
\hline 4991.32 & -24.03 & 55013.02 & -24.49 \\
\hline 5967.88 & -23.93 & 55989.58 & -24.54 \\
\hline 7052.95 & -23.83 & 56966.15 & -24.59 \\
\hline 8029.51 & -23.76 & 58051.22 & -24.66 \\
\hline 9006.08 & -23.64 & 59027.78 & -24.75 \\
\hline 9982.64 & -23.57 & 60004.34 & -24.81 \\
\hline 10959.20 & -23.51 & 60980.90 & -24.93 \\
\hline 12044.27 & -23.47 & 61957.47 & -24.99 \\
\hline 13020.83 & -23.41 & 63042.53 & -25.08 \\
\hline 13997.40 & -23.39 & 64019.10 & -25.18 \\
\hline 14973.96 & -23.39 & 64995.66 & -25.31 \\
\hline 15950.52 & -23.38 & 65972.22 & -25.40 \\
\hline 17035.59 & -23.35 & 66948.78 & -25.54 \\
\hline 18012.15 & -23.37 & 68033.85 & -25.73 \\
\hline 18988.72 & -23.34 & 69010.42 & -25.88 \\
\hline 19965.28 & -23.31 & 69986.98 & -26.05 \\
\hline 21050.35 & -23.38 & 70963.54 & -26.21 \\
\hline 22026.91 & -23.35 & 72048.61 & -26.29 \\
\hline 23003.47 & -23.35 & 73025.17 & -26.39 \\
\hline 23980.03 & -23.38 & 74001.74 & -26.51 \\
\hline 24956.60 & -23.38 & 74978.30 & -26.58 \\
\hline 26041.67 & -23.42 & 75954.86 & -26.64 \\
\hline 27018.23 & -23.45 & 77039.93 & -26.72 \\
\hline 27994.79 & -23.44 & 78016.49 & -26.77 \\
\hline 28971.35 & -23.47 & 78993.06 & -26.89 \\
\hline 29947.92 & -23.50 & 79969.62 & -27.19 \\
\hline 31032.99 & -23.55 & 81054.69 & -27.62 \\
\hline 32009.55 & -23.58 & 82031.25 & -28.39 \\
\hline 32986.11 & -23.63 & 83007.81 & -29.60 \\
\hline 33962.67 & -23.63 & 83984.38 & -31.14 \\
\hline 35047.74 & -23.67 & 84960.94 & -32.95 \\
\hline 36024.31 & -23.69 & 86046.01 & -34.92 \\
\hline 37000.87 & -23.71 & 87022.57 & -36.65 \\
\hline 37977.43 & -23.77 & 87999.13 & -38.69 \\
\hline 38953.99 & -23.79 & 88975.69 & -40.29 \\
\hline 40039.06 & -23.84 & 89952.26 & -41.40 \\
\hline 41015.62 & -23.87 & 91037.33 & -42.34 \\
\hline 41992.19 & -23.90 & 92013.89 & -43.25 \\
\hline 42968.75 & -23.94 & 92990.45 & -44.47 \\
\hline 44053.82 & -23.99 & 93967.01 & -45.37 \\
\hline 45030.38 & -24.06 & 94943.58 & -46.05 \\
\hline 46006.94 & -24.06 & 95052.08 & -46.15 \\
\hline 46983.51 & -24.10 & 96028.65 & -46.53 \\
\hline 47960.07 & -24.10 & 97005.21 & -47.18 \\
\hline 49045.14 & -24.17 & 97981.77 & -47.69 \\
\hline 50021.70 & -24.22 & 98958.33 & -47.37 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{12.3.2 WBR Gain Calibration}

In this section, the amplitude calibrations for the wideband receiver are presented. Data at selected stimulus frequencies are shown for all filters and translation modes. The stimulus configuration used for the WBR gain calibration is similar to that used for the WBR frequency response tests; see Figure 12.3.2.1. The GSE workstation is used to command the oscillator and programmable attenuators. The attenuator is converted to a differential signal using a balancing transformer. The Ex+ and Ex- inputs are driven differentially. The WBR amplitude response to any of the other sensors may be determined by applying the appropriate sensor and differential amplifier curves to the data here.

The procedure used for the performance of the calibrations is as follows. A command is sent to the oscillator and attenuator to set the desired setting. A waveform is captured by the WBR and telemetered to the spacecraft simulator. An FFT is performed on the WBR capture and both the time and frequency domains are plotted. The amplitude of the the signal at the stimulus frequency is shown on each plot, where the amplitude is given in dB below maximum signal detectable. Throughout the amplitude calibrations, this is expressed is the decibels below a maximum amplitude sine wave; for 8 -bit data, which can range 0 through 255 , this is dB below a sine wave of amplitude 127.5 counts and it referred to as dBmax . This can be converted to the amplitude in counts of a sine wave in the middle of the pass-band which would be measured by the WBR if a 1 Volt \(_{\text {rms }}\) signal were injected into the Eu differential amplifier and the WBR had no gain amplifier turned on. The results for the \(10-\mathrm{kHz}\) WBR mode are presented in Table 12.3.2.1 and for the \(80-\mathrm{kHz}\) WBR mode in Table 12.3.2.2. These results are also presented in graphical form in Figures 12.3.2.1 and 12.3.2.2.

The amplitude calibrations show that the gain amplifiers are very accurate. At any fixed frequency, the variations in the gain are on the order of 1 dB . Furthermore, the variations across the frequency pass-band are mostly due to the "scallop loss" for a Hanning window. The scallop loss is defined as the ratio of coherent gain for a tone located half a bin from an FFT sample point to the coherent gain for a tone at the FFT sample point (see "Digital Filter Design Handbook" by Fred J. Taylor). For a Hanning window the scallop loss is 1.42 dB . White noise does not exhibit the scallop loss evident when using tones; the response is flatter like that shown in Section 12.3.1. Because of these considerations, a single conversion factor can be used for each filter mode. The calibration factors will be given for a frequency in the middle of the pass-band. For the 10 kHz mode this is 1 kHz ; for the 80 kHz mode this is 10 kHz . If frequency-dependent variations are to be removed, then the calibration factors can be adjusted across the pass-band using the frequency response data of Section 12.3.1. The conversion factors which should be used are:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Translation Mode & \(\underline{\text { Filter }}\) & \(\underline{\text { counts } / V_{r m s}}\) & \(\underline{\text { dBmax }}\) \\
\cline { 1 - 1 } & 10 kHz & 264.25 & +6.33 \\
Baseband & 80 kHz & 267.31 & +6.43
\end{tabular}
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Table 12.3.2.1: WBR Low-Band Gain Calibration vs. Frequency (Entries are in units of dBmax).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{c} 
Freq. \\
(kHz)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Gain \\
0dB
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Gain \\
10 dB
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Gain \\
20 dB
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Gain \\
30 dB
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Gain \\
40 dB
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Gain \\
50 dB
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Gain \\
60 dB
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Gain \\
70 dB
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 1 & -4.60 & -4.28 & -4.26 & -4.23 & -3.97 & -3.68 & -3.67 & -3.57 \\
\hline 2 & -6.11 & -5.79 & -5.78 & -5.75 & -5.49 & -5.20 & -5.18 & -5.10 \\
\hline 3 & -5.47 & -5.16 & -5.15 & -5.11 & -4.86 & -4.56 & -4.55 & -4.45 \\
\hline 4 & -5.15 & -4.84 & -4.83 & -4.80 & -4.54 & -4.24 & -4.23 & -4.14 \\
\hline 5 & -5.59 & -5.29 & -5.27 & -5.25 & -4.98 & -4.69 & -4.67 & -4.59 \\
\hline 6 & -6.21 & -5.90 & -5.89 & -5.87 & -5.60 & -5.31 & -5.29 & -5.19 \\
\hline 7 & -5.24 & -4.93 & -4.92 & -4.89 & -4.62 & -4.33 & -4.30 & -4.21 \\
\hline 8 & -5.09 & -4.79 & -4.78 & -4.75 & -4.48 & -4.19 & -4.17 & -4.05 \\
\hline 9 & -6.01 & -5.70 & -5.69 & -5.67 & -5.39 & -5.11 & -5.09 & -4.96 \\
\hline 10 & -6.29 & -6.00 & -5.99 & -5.97 & -5.69 & -5.41 & -5.38 & -5.24 \\
\hline 11 & -9.14 & -8.86 & -8.85 & -8.83 & -8.54 & -8.26 & -8.23 & -8.09 \\
\hline 12 & -23.93 & -23.51 & -23.50 & -23.53 & -23.20 & -22.96 & -22.92 & -22.79 \\
\hline 13 & -41.67 & -40.71 & -40.27 & -39.92 & -39.73 & -39.20 & -39.23 & \(* *\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
**No data was acquired at this setting

Table 12.3.2.2: WBR High-Band Gain Calibration vs. Frequency
(Entries are in units of dBmax)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{c} 
Freq. \\
(kHz
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Gain \\
0 dB
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Gain \\
10 dB
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Gain \\
20 dB
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Gain \\
30 dB
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Gain \\
40 dB
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Gain \\
50 dB
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Gain \\
60 dB
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Gain \\
70 dB
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 10 & -4.40 & -4.09 & -4.08 & -4.06 & -3.77 & -3.48 & -3.47 & -3.35 \\
\hline 20 & -4.50 & -4.24 & -4.24 & -4.27 & -3.90 & -3.65 & -3.58 & -3.31 \\
\hline 30 & -5.25 & -5.05 & -5.06 & -5.18 & -4.66 & -4.48 & -4.34 & -3.82 \\
\hline 40 & -4.80 & -4.58 & -4.61 & -4.86 & -4.14 & -4.04 & -3.81 & -3.01 \\
\hline 50 & -4.59 & -4.31 & -4.37 & -4.76 & -3.80 & -3.81 & -3.49 & -2.34 \\
\hline 60 & -4.85 & -4.50 & -4.58 & -5.16 & -3.91 & -4.07 & -3.67 & -2.47 \\
\hline 70 & -6.00 & -5.58 & -5.71 & -6.48 & -4.91 & -5.25 & -4.83 & -3.90 \\
\hline 80 & -7.31 & -6.82 & -6.97 & -7.99 & -6.07 & -6.62 & -6.22 & -6.12 \\
\hline 90 & -23.82 & -23.23 & -23.39 & -24.76 & -22.46 & -23.23 & -22.94 & -23.82 \\
\hline 100 & -41.92 & -41.86 & -42.02 & -43.55 & -41.85 & -42.93 & \(* *\) & \(* *\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
** No data was acquired at this setting
12.3.3 WBR AGC Characteristics

Tests were conducted to characterize the response of the Wideband Receiver Automatic Gain Control (AGC) hardware. This corresponds to the "Peak Detect" section of Figure 12.1.1.1. The WBR software reads an 8 -bit value provided by this hardware, and can make automatic gain decisions based upon the value it reads. As stated in Section 12.1.4, if the software AGC function has been enabled, then the output from the peak detect is compared to a pair of reference amplitudes, and the gain state is either increased by 1 step ( 10 dB ) or decreased by one step accordingly for the next set of contiguous samples. The pair of reference amplitudes can be reprogrammed, so it is necessary to understand what the response of the peak detect hardware is. For the first three tests, see the test configuration block diagram of Figure 12.3.3.1.

The first test was performed during Spacecraft Thermal Vacuum testing, on February 3, 1997. The Spacecraft was at room temperature. The WBR was configured in the \(10-\mathrm{kHz}\) mode, the input sensor was the Ex dipole, and the WBR gain was fixed at 0 dB gain (i.e., the AGC software was disabled and the WBR was in "manual" gain mode). The input frequency was 526.5 Hz (this is the "drive" frequency for MFR step 16), and the input voltage was varied in steps of 2 dB. The results are plotted in Figure 12.3.3.2 and given as AGC data number versus dBmax (the decibels below a maximum amplitude sine wave measured by the WBR) in Table 12.3.3.1.

Table 12.3.3.1 WBR 10 kHz mode: AGC vs. dBmax
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline dBmax & AGC & dBmax & AGC & dBmax & AGC & dBmax & \#GC \\
\hline -0.6 & 209 & -8.8 & 86 & -20.6 & 32 & -32.4 & 24 \\
\hline -0.8 & 201 & -10.6 & 71 & -22.6 & 29 & -35.2 & 24 \\
\hline -1.6 & 186 & -12.7 & 59 & -24.6 & 27 & -36.3 & 24 \\
\hline -2.8 & :163 & -14.7 & 49 & -26.6 & 26 & -41.0 & 23 \\
\hline -4.7 & 132 & -16.7 & 42 & -28.5 & 25 & & \\
\hline -6.7 & 106 & -18.6 & 37 & -30.4 & 24 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Clipping in the WBR data and harmonic distortion occurred at dBmax values greater than -2.8 , so the useful range of the AGC extends from data numbers 163 to 24 . This corresponds to about 30 dB of signal range.

The second test was performed during Unit Level Thermal Vacuum testing, on August 19, 1996. The unit was at +75 degrees C. The WBR was configured in the \(10-\mathrm{kHz}\) mode, the input sensor was the Ex dipole, and the WBR gain was fixed at 40 dB gain (i.e., the AGC software was disabled and the WBR was in "manual" gain mode). The input frequency was 5 kHz , and the input voltage was attenuated at various levels. The results are plotted in Figure 12.3.3.3 and given as AGC data number versus dBmax, the decibels below a maximum amplitude sine wave measured by the WBR, in Table 12.3.3.2.

Table 12.3.3.2 WBR 10 kHz mode: AGC vs. dBmax
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline dBmax & AGC & dBmax & AGC & dBmax & AGC & dBmax & AGC \\
\hline -0.1 & 218 & -13.0 & 59 & -24.9 & 30 & -36.2 & 27 \\
\hline -3.0 & 159 & -14.9 & 50 & -27.0 & 28 & -39.7 & 26 \\
\hline -5.0 & 129 & -17.1 & 42 & -29.9 & 27 & -42.2 & 26 \\
\hline -7.2 & 103 & -20.0 & 35 & -32.6 & 27 & & \\
\hline -10.1 & 77 & -22.9 & 31 & -34.9 & 27 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Again, clipping in the WBR data and harmonic distortion occurred at dBmax value -0.1, so the useful range of the AGC extends from data numbers 159 to 26 . Notice the similarity to the first test, where a different gain setting and different signal amplitudes and frequency were used. The likely reason for the higher AGC values at the low end of the curve here is the temperature: at +75 degrees C , the bottom value has raised slightly. For gain-setting decisions, the room temperature test is the better calibration.

The third test was also performed during Spacecraft Thermal Vacuum testing, on February 3, 1997. The Spacecraft was at room temperature. The WBR was configured in the \(80-\mathrm{kHz}\) mode, the input sensor was the Ex dipole, and the WBR gain was set to several gains, including 30, 40, and 50 dB gain. The input frequency was 20 kHz , and the input voltage was attenuated to various levels. The results are plotted in Figure 12.3.3.4 and given as AGC data number versus dBmax, the decibels below a maximum amplitude sine wave measured by the WBR, in Table 12.3.3.3.

Table 12.3.3.3 WBR 80 kHz mode: AGC vs. dBmax
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline dBmax & AGC & dBmax & AGC & dBmax & AGC & dBmax & AGC \\
\hline -11.7 & 64 & -14.0 & 53 & -16.0 & 44 & -22.1 & 30 \\
\hline -12.0 & 63 & -14.0 & 52 & -18.0 & 38 & -23.1 & 29 \\
\hline -12.9 & 58 & -15.0 & 48 & -20.0 & 33 & -24.0 & 28 \\
\hline -13.0 & 57 & -15.7 & 45 & -21.0 & 32 & -25.0 & 27 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Although a variety of gain states are combined in this data set, notice that the dBmax relative scale is insensitive to this. And notice that this AGC response is very close to the response of the WBR \(10-\mathrm{kHz}\) mode to a 526 Hz tone. This indicates that the same gain control window points can probably be used for both the low-band and high-band modes.

The fourth test was performed on August 7, 1996 during unit level testing at room temperature. During a white noise response test for the MFR Band 3, data was also acquired by the WBR. The WBR was configured in the \(10-\mathrm{kHz}\) mode, the input sensor was the Ex dipole, and the WBR gain was set to 70 dB gain. For the stimulus configuration see Figure 10.3.3.2.3 in the MFR section. With white noise as the input, the signal voltage was stepped in 2-dB intervals. The results are plotted in Figure 12.3.3.5 and given as AGC data number versus dBmax in Table 12.3.3.4.

Table 12.3.3.4 WBR 10 kHz mode: AGC vs. dBmax
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline dBmax & AGC & dBmax & AGC & dBmax & AGC & dBmax & AGC \\
\hline -1 & 238 & -7 & 99 & -17 & 37 & -26 & 26 \\
\hline -2 & 179 & -8 & 83 & -19 & 34 & -28 & 25 \\
\hline -3 & 152 & -10 & 69 & -20 & 33 & -30 & 24 \\
\hline -4 & 124 & -12 & 58 & -21 & 30 & & \\
\hline -5 & 122 & -14 & 49 & -23 & 28 & & \\
\hline -6 & 102 & -16 & 42 & -24 & 27 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

To compare to the sine wave data of the previous tests, the random noise signal was processed as follows: an RMS average was calculated for the time series and the result was multiplied by \(\sqrt{ } 2\). This result can then be converted to the dBmax value, which is rounded to the nearest dB . The reason that the higher values of dBmax do not step by 2 dB is because there was clipping of the input signal at 70 dB gain. Notice that this AGC response is very close to the response of the WBR \(10-\mathrm{kHz}\) mode to tones.

The final conclusions for the WBR AGC response are as follows. One commonly used rule of thumb in setting the gain is that 10 dB of "headroom" is desired for random noise spikes. This determines the upper set point, and corresponds to about 70 on the AGC response curve. The other rule of thumb is that the window formed by the upper and lower set points must be wider than one gain step, which is 10 dB . The wider the window is, the larger the hysteresis produced. To get a window which is 14 dB wide with the upper end of the window at 10 dB headroom, the lower threshold would be set at AGC value 27. These values can be changed a little, but there is not much adjustment possible because of the limited range of the AGC peak detector.






\subsection*{12.3.4 WBR Random Noise Response}

Tests were conducted to characterize the response of the Wideband Receiver to random noise. These bench tests were performed on July 28-29, 1996, at +40 degrees C. Two test configurations were used, one for the 10 kHz WBR mode and one for the 80 kHz WBR mode. These configurations are shown in Figures 12.3.4.1 and 12.3.4.2. The GSE was connected to the instrument Ex+ and Ex- inputs. The noise spectral density was measured using the HP8535 Spectrum Analyzer, and graphs showing the frequency spectrum for the two GSE configurations are shown in Figures 12.3.4.3 and 12.3.4.4. Also a measure of the total power was made using a true RMS meter, and an estimate of the noise spectral density was obtained by using that and the signal bandwidth. For each of the WBR modes, 100 spectra were averaged and the average spectra are shown in Figures 12.3.4.5 through 12.3.4.8. Using an estimate of the average level for each plot, an estimate of the noise spectral density was done using the calibration procedure described in Section 12.2. Note that the FFT size for all of these tests is 2048 samples, and a Hanning window was applied to the data. The results are summarized for the \(10-\mathrm{kHz}\) mode in Table 12.3.4.1.

Table 12.3.4.1 WBR 10 kHz mode: White Noise Response
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline RMS voltage \(\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{rms}}\right)\) & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 \\
\hline Bandwidth \((\mathrm{kHz})\) & 20 & 20 & 20 & 20 \\
\hline Attenuation \((\mathrm{dB})\) & 30 & 20 & 10 & 00 \\
\hline Est. PSD \(\left(\mathrm{dBV} / \mathrm{Hz}^{1 / 2}\right)\) & -73 & -63 & -53 & -43 \\
\hline HP8535 SD \(\left(\mathrm{dBV} / \mathrm{Hz}^{1 / 2}\right)\) & -74 & -64 & -54 & -44 \\
\hline Avg. WBR PSD \(\left(\mathrm{dBV} / \mathrm{Hz}^{1 / 2}\right)\) & -75 & -65 & -56 & -52 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Notice the large discrepancy for the test at 0 dB attenuation: the HP8535 spectrum analyzer measured \(-44 \mathrm{dBV} / \mathrm{Hz}^{1 / 2}\), while the WBR measured \(-52 \mathrm{dBV} / \mathrm{Hz}^{1 / 2}\). The reason for this discrepancy is that the data was clipped, so a low estimate of the power results. This overloading is apparently just starting to occur at the 10 dB attenuation setting, where the WBR estimate is 1 dB lower relative to the tests at higher attenuations. Those tests at 20 and 30 dB attenuation are both within 1 dB of the HP8535 estimate. The scatter in the data seems to indicate that these are reasonable answers.

The results for the \(80-\mathrm{kHz}\) mode are summarized in Table 12.3.4.2.

Table 12.3.4.2 WBR 10 kHz mode: White Noise Response
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline RMS voltage \(\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{rms}}\right)\) & 1.00 \\
\hline Bandwidth \((\mathrm{MHz})\) & 2 \\
\hline Attenuation \((\mathrm{dB})\) & 10 \\
\hline Est. PSD \(\left(\mathrm{dBV} / \mathrm{Hz}^{1 / 2}\right)\) & -73 \\
\hline HP8535 SD \(\left(\mathrm{dBV} / \mathrm{Hz}^{1 / 2}\right)\) & -71 \\
\hline Ave. WBR PSD \(\left(\mathrm{dBV} / \mathrm{Hz}^{1 / 2}\right)\) & -72 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Notice that for the 80 kHz test, there was an interference tone present. It is thought that the 32 kHz interference originates from the Noise Generator. Nevertheless, it does not interfere with the test. The HP8535 measured the spectral density at 50 kHz , avoiding the noise line, and the WBR PSD estimate is within one dB of that.



Figure 12.3.4.3: HP8535 Spectrum Analyzer Display WBR 10 KHz Mode White Noise Test


Figure 12.3.4.4: HP8535 Spectrum Analyzer Display WBR 80 KHz Mode White Noise Test







\subsection*{12.4 WBR Noise Levels}

In this section, the in-flight receiver noise floors are detailed for the commonly used modes. These modes will include:
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Translation Mode & Filter & Antenna \\
Baseband & 80 kHz & Ex dipole \\
Baseband & 80 kHz & Ez monopole \\
Baseband & 10 kHz & Ex dipole
\end{tabular}

When flight data is acquired for other sensors, those sensors' noise levels will be added to this document.

For the 80 kHz , Ex dipole configuration, see Figure 12.4.1. The data plotted in red (the lower noise level) was acquired just prior to antenna deployment, from the following data times, which are referred to as Spacecraft Event Times (SCET):
\begin{tabular}{lcc} 
Start SCET & Stop SCET & \\
1997-Oct-25-00:20:09 & 1997-Oct-25-00:30:01 Spectra Averaged & \\
\end{tabular}

One can see the low-end roll-off, with the cutoff frequency of about 800 Hz . There is no apparent \(1 / \mathrm{f}\) noise, so the WBR rather than the pre-amps appears to set the noise floor. There are many noise lines, some caused by the MFR and some by the HFR.

In Figure 12.4.1, the data plotted in green was taken after the electric antennas were deployed, from the following data times:
Start SCET Stop SCET \# Spectra Averaged

1997-Oct-25-04:15:05

Stop SCET \# Spectra Averaged
1997-Oct-25-04:21:37 50

In this data, one sees the shot noise at about 10 dB higher than the pre-deployment noise level for frequencies up to about 10 kHz . But above that, the internal noise lines are comparable to or even greater than the antenna shot noise. Some of the noise lines have now disappeared below the shot noise floor.

Figure 12.4.1: WBR High-Band In-Flight Ex Noise Floor


For the 80 kHz , Ez dipole configuration, see Figure 12.4.2. The data plotted in red (the lower noise level) was acquired just prior to antenna deployment, from the following data times:
Start SCET Stop SCET \# Spectra Averaged

1997-Oct-25-00:15:05

\section*{Stop SCET \# Spectra Averaged}

1997-Oct-25-00:19:53
There are many noise lines, and these are exacerbated by the lack of common mode rejection.
In Figure 12.4.2, the data plotted in green was taken after the electric antennas were deployed, from the following data times:
\begin{tabular}{lcc} 
Start SCET & Stop SCET & \# Spectra Averaged \\
1997-Oct-25-04:10:09 & 1997-Oct-25-04:14:57 & 37
\end{tabular}

In this data, one sees the shot noise a few dB higher than the pre-deployment noise level for frequencies up to about 10 kHz . But above that, the internal noise lines are comparable to or even greater than the antenna shot noise. Some of the noise lines have now disappeared below the shot noise floor, but some, like that around 1200 Hz , are no better.

Figure 12.4.2: WBR High-Band In-Flight Ez Noise Floor


For the 10 kHz , Ex dipole configuration, see Figure 12.4.3. The data plotted in red (the lower noise level) was acquired just prior to antenna deployment, from the following data times:
Start SCET Stop SCET \# Spectra Averaged

1997-Oct-25-00:30:09
Stop SCET \# Spectra Averaged

1997-Oct-25-00:40:01
The noise level here is set primarily by the Ex preamps. One can see the low-end roll-off, with the cutoff frequency of about 60 Hz , followed by 1/f noise for most of the spectrum. At the high end the WBR itself appears to set the noise level, and there are many noise lines, some caused by the MFR and some by the HFR.

For the 10 kHz , Ex dipole configuration, see Figure 12.4.3. The data plotted in red (the lower noise level) was acquired just prior to antenna deployment, from the following data times:
Start SCET Stop SCET \# Spectra Averaged

1997-Oct-25-00:30:09
Stop SCET
\# Spectra Averaged
1997-Oct-25-00:40:01

The noise level here is set primarily by the Ex preamps. One can see the low-end roll-off, with the cutoff frequency of about 60 Hz , followed by 1/f noise for most of the spectrum. At the high end the WBR itself appears to set the noise level, and there are many noise lines, some caused by the MFR and some by the HFR.

In Figure 12.4.3, the data plotted in green was taken after the electric antennas were deployed, from the following data times:
\begin{tabular}{lcc} 
Start SCET & Stop SCET & \# Spectra Averaged \\
1997-Oct-25-06:08:01 & 1997-Oct-25-06:17:45 & 74
\end{tabular}

In this data, one sees the shot noise at about 20 dB higher than the pre-deployment noise level. Also some of the noise lines have now disappeared because of the higher noise floor.

Figure 12.4.3: WBR Low-Band In-Flight Ex Noise Floor


\subsection*{13.0 Waveform Receiver (WFR)}

\subsection*{13.1 WFR Subsystem Description}

This section of the RPWS Calibration Document describes the five-channel Waveform Receiver (WFR), extending the description contained in the Section 3 instrument overview. The WFR subsystem provides simultaneous measurements of plasma wave signals from up to five separate sensors. The purpose of this receiver is to provide high resolution spectral measurements down to \(\sim 1 \mathrm{~Hz}\) and to provide simultaneous waveform information from five sensors for the purpose of determining the polarization and propagation vector of the low frequency plasma waves and radio emissions. When utilizing the Langmuir Probes, the WFR can provide \(\Delta \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{n}\) waveforms, including time delay measurements between the different sensors. During data analysis on the ground, signal processing routines will analyze the five-component measurements, providing cross-correlation products which may be used to deduce the wave normal, Poynting, and polarization vectors. The WFR is similar to waveform receivers previously designed for the Polar spacecraft.

\subsection*{13.2.1 General WFR Characteristics}

The WFR consists of five parallel analog input channels, with each channel dedicated to an electric, magnetic, and/or a Langmuir sensor. The five channel inputs are coupled directly to the differential amplifiers of the electric antennas [EXLO (dipole) and EZLO(monopole)], the magnetic search coil antennas (BX, BY, and BZ), and Langmuir Probe (LMR+, LMR-, and LMR). It should be noted that the HFR can provide to the EXLO input of the WFR either the Ex+ or Ex- monopole electric antenna, and that the LMR+ and LMR- are the Ex+ and Exantenna elements used as a Langmuir Probe. The first channel of the WFR can select between EXLO and LMR+; the second can select between EZLO and LMR-; the third can select between BX and LMR; and the fourth and fifth are always connected to the BY and BZ, respectively. Signals from the five antennas are routed directly to a gain select stage which provides commandable gains of \(0,10,20\), or 30 dB for each receiver channel. The first two channels have independent gain settings, but the last three channels share the same gain control lines. The gain increments are provided by programmable gain amplifiers which are similar to those used for gain control in the WBR. During operations, the gain state for each receiver channel is selected by the HRP in response to appropriate spacecraft commands. The output of the programmable gain amplifiers goes to a 27 Hz lowpass filter and a 3 Hz to 2.5 kHz bandpass filter (a 3 Hz highpass filter and a 2.5 kHz lowpass filter). The desired frequency range sent to the \(\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{D}\) driver is selected by spacecraft command. The output from the A/D driver goes to the HRP. On the HRP, the signals are then sampled simultaneously by sample-and-hold circuits and routed to multiplexers to 12 -bit analog to-digital converters. The WFR can also be commanded to measure one, two, three or four channels. These special modes allow greater resolution for special observations. The WFR provides the Low-Frequency Digital Receiver (LFDR) one or two channels of low frequency ( 1 to 27 Hz ) data.

\subsection*{13.1.2 Frequency Response}

The frequency response of the WFR is determined by the low frequency cutoff of the sensor's differential amplifiers ( \(\sim 1 \mathrm{~Hz}\) ), and by the filters which follow the gain control stage of each of the five receiver channels. The 2.5 kHz lowpass filters are of the passive type, but the 27 Hz lowpass filters and the 3 Hz highpass fitters are active. The bandwidth of the WFR is selected by the HRP (WFRBND command) in response to the appropriate spacecraft command. Listed below are the filter commands:
\begin{tabular}{lcc}
\(\frac{\text { Back End Filter }}{27 \mathrm{~Hz} \text { LPF }}\) & & WFRBND \\
\(3 \mathrm{~Hz}-2.5 \mathrm{kHz}\) & 1 & \\
3 & 0 & \(140 \mu \mu \mathrm{~s}\)
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{13.1.3 Phase Response}

Since the primary purpose of the WFR is to provide cross-correlations between the five measured wave components, each receiver channel must have a known phase response. To this purpose, it is specified that all five lowpass passive filters are matched in phase response, and are determined to be phase-stable within a \(1 \%\left(\sim 3^{\circ}\right)\) margin within 1 Hz to 2.5 kHz .

\subsection*{13.1.4 Gain Select}

The gain select stage of each channel of the WFR employs a variable gain amplifier which may be selected to provide gains of \(0,10,20\), and 30 dB . The first two channels have independent gain settings, but the last three channels share the same gain control lines. The gain is selected by the HPR (WFG command) in response to the appropriate spacecraft command.

\subsection*{13.1.5 Receiver Inputs}

The selection of the sensor signal inputs for the first three channels of the WFR is determined by the HRP (WFRSEN command) in response to the appropriate spacecraft command. The WFR will have the capability of processing signals from the following sensors:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Command & Setting & Sensor & Channel \\
\hline WFRSEN0 & 0 & LMR+ & 0 \\
\hline WFRSEN0 & 1 & EXLO & 0 \\
\hline WFRSEN1 & 0 & LMR- & 1 \\
\hline WFRSEN1 & 1 & EZLO & 1 \\
\hline WFRSEN2 & 0 & BX & 2 \\
\hline WFRSEN2 & 1 & LMR & 2 \\
\hline & & BY & 3 \\
\hline & & BZ & 4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{13.1.6 Power}

The WFR is provided with four power supply lines. The regulated supply voltages and expected average current loads are as followed:
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
+12 \mathrm{~V} & 3.6 \mathrm{~mA} \\
-12 \mathrm{~V} & 3.8 \mathrm{~mA} \\
+6 \mathrm{~V} & 28 \mathrm{~mA} \\
-6 \mathrm{~V} & 34 \mathrm{~mA}
\end{array}
\]

The total power requirement for the WFR is expected to be 461 mW .

\subsection*{413.1.7 Receiver Output}

The output signal from the WFR A/D drivers goes to the HRP to be processed before being transmitted to the ground. See the Data Processing Unit sections for a detailed discussion of this processing.

\subsection*{13.2.1 Conversion of Data Numbers to Science Units}

This section describes the procedure for obtaining a calibrated data value from a WFR raw measurement.
1. First a snapshot consisting of \(2^{\mathrm{N}}\) raw WFR data samples is obtained. These data samples are 12-bit unsigned values (0-4095).
2. The DC component is obtained by averaging the \(2^{\mathrm{N}}\) samples. The DC component is subtracted from the \(2^{\mathrm{N}}\) samples, because the receiver is an AC coupled system and the DC component is not related to any sensor measurement. Furthermore, removal of the DC offset is needed for the next step.
3. The proper counts-to-volts rms factor is now applied to the data. This is the amplitude in counts of a sine wave in the middle of the pass-band which would be measured by the WFR if a 1 volt rms signal were injected into the Ex antenna inputs and the WFR had no gain amplifiers turned on. The \(2^{\mathrm{N}}\) samples are all divided by this factor. Throughtout the calibrations, another way this is expressed is the decibels below a maximum sine wave; for 12 bit data, which can range from 0 to 4095 , this is dB below a sine wave amplitude of 2047.5 counts ( or 4095 counts peak-to-peak ) and it is referred to as dB max. This factor depends upon the filter mode and gain. The conversion factors are given in section 13.3.2 in tables 13.3.2.1 and 13.3.2.2.
4. Next the WFR gain amplifier value must be divided out. The gain can vary from 0 dB through 30 dB in steps of 10 dB . If the gain is G , then the \(2^{\mathrm{N}}\) samples should all be divided by \(10^{\mathrm{G} / 20}\).
5. Next a Hanning window can be applied to the \(2^{\mathrm{N}}\) samples. For a Hanning window the coherent gain is 0.5 ( see "Digital Filter Design Handbook" by Fred J. Taylor). Therefore the \(2^{\mathrm{N}}\) samples must then be multiplied by 2. The equation for a Hanning window is \(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}=\) \(0.5^{*}\left(1-\cos \left(2^{*} \Pi^{*} \mathrm{i} /\left(2^{\mathrm{N}}-1\right)\right)\right)\) for \(\mathrm{i}=0,2^{\mathrm{N}}-1\).
6. A FFT should be performed on the \(2^{\mathrm{N}}\) samples. The FFT output must be normalized using whatever normalization factors are necessary to give the amplitude of a sine wave when real and imaginary parts are squared, summed and the square root is taken. The normalization factors may be different for different FFT implementations. The phase information for the FFT is not useful, so the magnitudes can now be calculated by squaring the real and imaginary parts, summing and then taking the square root. This yields volts rms in each FFT bin. This is the voltage difference Vdiff betweeen the Ex+ and Ex- antennas at the frequency corresponding to that FFT bin.
7. Once the voltage Vdiff is found, the sensor-dependent conversion factor must be used to adjust the voltage. The voltage difference between the Ex+ and Ex- antennas is given the symbol VDEx. Since the voltage difference Vdiff calculated in steps 1 through 6 is for the voltage difference between the Ex+ and Ex- antennas, no conversion is necessary for VDEx. VDEx is equal to Vdiff. The voltage between the Ez antenna and spacecraft ground is given the symbol VEz. The voltages at the outputs of the \(\mathrm{Bx}, \mathrm{By}\) and Bz magnetic preamps are given the
symbols VBxpa, VBypa and VBzpa respectively. Figure 13.2.1 shows the location of each of these voltages.

The conversion factors and their symbols are listed below. The conversion factors convert the Vdiff values to the voltage at the various sensors.
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Symbol & \multicolumn{2}{l}{ Factor } \\
CFez & & \\
CFbx & 24.0 & Conversion factor for the Ez antenna \\
CFby & 24.0 & Conversion factor for the Bx Search Coil \\
CFbz factor for the By Search Coil \\
& 24.0 & Conversion factor for the Bz Search Coil
\end{tabular}

The formulas for converting the Vdiff value to the sensor input voltage are shown below.
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
VDEx & \(=\) & Vdiff & (units are volts rms.) \\
VEz & \(=\) & \((\mathrm{CFez})^{*}\) (Vdiff) & \\
VBxpa & \(=\) & \((\mathrm{CFbx})^{*}(\) Vdiff \()\) & \\
VBypa & \(=\) & \((\mathrm{CFby})^{*}(\) Vidff \()\) & \\
VBzpa & \(=\) & \((\mathrm{CFbz})^{*}(\) Vdiff \()\) &
\end{tabular}

For the electric sensors there may be frequency dependent adjustments necessary because of the interaction of the antenna with the plasma, but these are dependent upon the plasma impedance. If the user wishes to adjust for these effects, see Section 6.0.
8. Now the sensor-dependent calibrations must be applied. For the Bx, By and Bz Search Coils, the voltage at the output of the Search Coil preamplifiers must be converted to nanotesla. See tables 7.1.1 through 7.1.3 in the section about the Magnetic Search Coils for the frequency-dependent conversion factors. For a particular frequency let this conversion factor be given the symbol CBxnt; for example, Table 13.2.1.8.2 shows that at 1000 Hz the Bx Search Coil's response is \(147.8 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{nT}\), so CBxnt is 0.1478 . To convert from voltage at the output of the Bx, By and Bz Search Coil preamplifiers, VBxpa, VBypa and VBzpa must be divided by CBxnt, CBynt or CBznt respectively. This is shown in the following equations:
```

NTBx = VBxpa/CBxnt (units are nT rms)
NTBy = VBypa/CBynt
NTBz = VBzpa/CBynt

```


NTBx, NTBy and NTBz are the fields detected by the Bx, By and Bz Search Coils. At this point we have meaningful physical units. For the electric antennas we have voltage measured at the antenna elements. For the magnetic senors we have the magnetic field in nanotesla at the sensors. Next the magnitude of the electric field for the electric antennas can be obtained. The voltages at the antennas are divided by the effective antenna length, producing units of volts per meter. Here the effective antenna lengths are defined as the physical distances between the geometric centers of the antennas for the Ex dipole and the geometric center for the Ez antenna. The effective length of the Ex+ to Ex-dipole antenna configuration is given the symbol LExdelta. The Ez monopole effective length is given the symbol LEz. For a more detailed discussion of the effective lengths of the electric antennas, see Section 5.

Effective Antenna Lengths in Meters for various mode configurations
\begin{tabular}{lcc} 
Antenna & Effective Length (meters) & Configuration \\
LExdelta & 9.26 & dipole \\
LEz & 5.00 & monopole
\end{tabular}

The electric field on the Ex dipole is represented by VMExdelta, and the electric field on the Ez monopole by VMEz. The following equations show the method for calculating the electric field on the antenna in volts per meter. These equations show the method for calculating the electric field on the antenna in volts per meter. These equations do not include effects due to stray capacitive divider effects between the antennas and the spacecraft.
```

VMExdelta = (VDEx)/(LExdetlta)
VMEz = (VEz)/(LEz)

```
9. Finally, to obtain the power spectral density, one must square the value and divide by the bandwidth, which depends upon the type of window function used before performing the FFT. If no window function is used, then the equivalent noise bandwidth is the FFT bin width, which is the sample frequency divided by the number of samples ( \(\mathrm{fs} / 2^{\mathrm{N}}\) ). If the Hanning window suggested above is used, then the equivalent noise bandwidth is 1.5 times the FFT bin width (again see "Digital Filter Handbook" by Fred Taylor).

\subsection*{13.2.2 Examples of Conversions from Data Numbers to Science Units}

As an illustration of how to obtain calibrated values from WFR telemetry, let us look at some real data:

Example 1: CDS Time 1997-298 T05:17:28.000 (SCLK 4AE3DF87 FC30)
SCET 1997-298 T05:17:27.000 (SCLK 4AE3DF86 FC30)

After the electric antenna deployment, a broad band emission is examined. The WFR mode was cycling between the 40 Hz band and the 2.5 kHz band with 30 dB gain. Lets look at the 2.5 kHz Ex dipole data for this example. A waveform of length 512 samples was captured. Following the recipe for obtaining calibrated values, the DC component is computed and subtracted form all the 512 samples. Since the filter mode is 2.5 kHz , all 512 samples are then divided by 6136 which has units of counts per volts rms. Then the samples are multiplied by the gain factor, \(10^{60 / 20}\) (or 1000). A Hanning window is applied to the data and then all windowed values are multiplied by 2 to offset the Hanning window's coherent gain. A FFT is performed on the data, and after normalizing, the parts of the complex outputs, summing them, and taking the square root. The broad-band emission under examination extends from about 600 Hz to 1700 Hz . The peak amplitude occurs at frequency 1060.27 Hz . This peak amplitude is Vdiff at 1060.27 Hz .
\[
\text { Vdiff } \quad=\quad 6.58 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{Vrms}
\]

Since the sensor is the Ex dipole, we know that the voltage VDEx is the same as Vdiff. So the electric field strength can be calculated directly:
\[
\begin{aligned}
\text { VMExdelta } & =(\text { VDEx }) /(\text { LExdelta }) \\
& =6.58 \times 10^{-5} / 9.26 \\
& =7.11 \times 10^{-6} \quad \text { Vrms } / \text { meter }
\end{aligned}
\]

Finally, to determine the electric field power spectral density, we must square this value and divide by the equivalent noise bandwidth. Since we have the 2.5 kHz mode, the sample frequecny is given by:
\[
\text { Fs } \begin{aligned}
& =1.0 / 1.4 \times 10^{-4} \\
& =7142.9
\end{aligned}
\]
and since the sample set size is 512 , the FFT bin size is given by
\begin{tabular}{rlll}
\(\Delta \mathrm{f}\) & \(=\) & \(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{s}} / 512\) & \\
& \(=7142.9 / 512\) & \\
& \(=\) & 13.95 & Hz
\end{tabular}

But since a Hanning window was applied to the data, the equivalent noise bandwidth is given by:
\[
\begin{aligned}
\text { ENBW } & =1.5 * \Delta \mathrm{f} \\
& =20.93
\end{aligned}
\]

So the power spectral density at 1590.4 Hz is given by
\[
\begin{aligned}
\text { PSD } & =(\text { VMExdelta })^{2} / \text { ENBW } \\
& =\left(7.11 \times 10^{-6}\right)^{2} / 20.93 \\
& =2.42 \times 10^{-12} \quad \mathrm{Vrms}^{2} / \mathrm{meter}^{2} \mathrm{~Hz}
\end{aligned}
\]

Example 2. Unix Time 1996-214 T01:07:34 (SCLK 32000356 1AB0)
SCET 1996-214 T01:07:24.250 Epoch 0 (SCLK 3200034C 1A60)
During unit level testing the Bx Search Coil was stimulated with a 1 kHz tone at 0.5 Vrms. This should have produced a magnetic field of \(0.5 \mathrm{nT}(\mathrm{rms})\). The WFR was in the 2.5 kHz mode with 30 dB of gain. A waveform of length 512 was captured. The raw data shows a sine wave with a maximum value of 2555 and a minimum value of 1538 , giving an initial estimate of 1017 counts peak-to-peak for the sine wave. Following the recipe for obtaining calibrated values, the DC component is computed and found to be 2051.01 counts. This value is subtracted form all 512 samples. A Hanning window is applied to the data and then all windowed values are multiplied by 2 to offset the Hanning window's coherent gain. A FFT is performed on the data, and after normalizing, the magnitudes of the resulting 256 components are computed by squaring the real and imaginary parts of the complex outputs, summing them, and taking the square root. The peak amplitude occurs at the frequency of 1004.46 Hz , and this peak amplitude is 496.1 counts. (note that this is close to our initial estimate of 508.5 counts peak for the amplitude). Dividing this by the calibration factor of 6136 counts peak / Vrms and also by the gain factor of \(10^{\mathrm{G} / 20}\), we obtain Vdiff at 1 kHz :
\[
\begin{aligned}
\text { Vdiff } \quad & =496.83 /\left(6136^{*} 10^{30 / 20}\right) \\
& =351.31 /\left(6136^{*} 31.62\right) \\
& =2.56 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{Vrms}
\end{aligned}
\]

Since the sensor is the Bx Search Coil, we now want to know what the voltage VBxpa from the Search Coil preamplifier is:
\[
\begin{aligned}
\text { VBxpa } & =(\mathrm{CFbx}) *(\text { Vdiff }) \\
& =24 * 2.56 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{Vrms} \\
& =61.44 \mathrm{mVrms}
\end{aligned}
\]

Now referring to the Search Coil calibration in Table 7.1.1, we find that at 1000 Hz the Bx Search Coil's response CBxnt is \(147.8 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{nT}\). So we find that the magetic field strength NTBx is:
\[
\begin{array}{rlll}
\text { NTBx } & = & \text { VBxpa / CBxnt } & \\
& =61.44 / 147.8 & & \text { (units are nT rms) } \\
& =0.416 & & n T \mathrm{rms}
\end{array}
\]

This value agrees well with the WBR example 2 in section 12.2. As in section 12.2 it makes little sense to calculate the spectral density since the signal is a tone.

\subsection*{13.3.1 WFR Frequency Response}

In this section the frequency response calibration data for the waveform receiver are presented. Transfer functions for each of filters is shown. Figure 13.3.1.1 illustrates the stimulus configuration used for the WFR frequency responses. The oscillator output is attenuated by a fixed amount and a balancing transformer is used to drive the Ex+ and Ex- inputs differentially.

During the calibrations, the HP workstation commands the oscillator to step in frequency. For each frequency step, a waveform is captured and telemetered to the spacecraft simulator. A FFT is performed on the data after removing the DC component. The peak amplitude is chosen from the frequency domain result, and the peak frequency (which should be very close to the stimulus frequency) is chosen. The amplitude is calculated by simple time domain rms average and then is adjusted by square root of two divided by two to give the amplitude of the sine wave in WFR counts. This rms calculation yields a value very close to the FFT result at the peak frequency, but does not suffer from the "scallop loss" effect of the FFT results, which are sensitive to the proximity of the frequency to the center of a FFT bin. For further discussion on this effect, see Section 12.3.2, where the FFT amplitudes of the peak frequencies are presented for the WBR gain calibrations. Finally, the amplitude is expressed in counts peak out per volts rms input.

Although the frequency response could be used to adjust the calibrations of the WFR data, it is recommended that this not be done, since the noise floor becomes accentuated outside the pass-band. Only frequencies inside the pass-band should be adjusted. And data should be ignored if it is outside the \(3-\mathrm{dB}\) points. From the frequency response curves, those \(3-\mathrm{dB}\) points are approximately:
\begin{tabular}{lrl} 
Filter & \multicolumn{1}{c}{ Gain } & \(\underline{\text { 3-dB points }}\) \\
40 Hz & 0 dB & \(2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}-26.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\) \\
40 Hz & 10 dB & \(2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}-26.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\) \\
40 Hz & 20 dB & \(4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}-26.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\) \\
40 Hz & 30 dB & \(9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}-26.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\) \\
& & \\
2.5 kHz & 0 dB & \(3 \mathrm{~Hz}^{*}-2.56 \mathrm{kHz}\) \\
2.5 kHz & 10 dB & \(3 \mathrm{~Hz}^{*}-2.56 \mathrm{kHz}\) \\
2.5 kHz & 20 dB & \(5 \mathrm{~Hz}-2.56 \mathrm{kHz}\) \\
2.5 kHz & 30 dB & \(9 \mathrm{~Hz}-2.56 \mathrm{kHz}\)
\end{tabular}

The two 3-dB points in the table above marked with * are extrapolated values calculated from board level test data which show \(3-\mathrm{dB}\) break points of 3 Hz for the high pass filter in the 2.5 kHz filter band.





WFR Low Band Frequency Response
Room Temperature
File T960729.U06
Band 40 Hz
Antenna ExLo WFR Gain 30 dB

Frequency Normalized Output
0.98000000 .0294155
\(1.3700000 \quad 0.0640782\)
\(1.7600000 \quad 0.1047824\)
\(2.1500001 \quad 0.1459476\)
\(2.5400000 \quad 0.1898210\)
2.93000010 .2300148
\(3.3199999 \quad 0.2672539\)
\(3.7100000 \quad 0.3044979\)
4.09999990 .3394885
4.48999980 .3729623
\(4.8800001 \quad 0.4052884\)
\(5.2700000 \quad 0.4372225\)
\(5.6599998 \quad 0.4682141\)
6.05000020 .4966799
\(6.4499998 \quad 0.5227515\)
\(6.8400002 \quad 0.5518564\)
\(7.2300000 \quad 0.5781877\)
7.61999990 .6031932
\(8.0100002 \quad 0.6282924\)
8.39999960 .6524822
\(8.7900000 \quad 0.6784075\)
9.18000030 .7024354
9.56999970 .7261289
\(9.9600000 \quad 0.7504578\)
10.35000040 .7714002
10.73999980 .7934631
\(11.1300001 \quad 0.8117408\)
11.52000050 .8298280
11.90999980 .8457571
12.30000020 .8591852
12.89000030 .8711307
13.27999970 .8812733
13.67000010 .8883258
\(14.2600002 \quad 0.8979663\)
14.64999960 .8998978
\(15.0400000 \quad 0.9029118\)
16.20999910 .9079832
\begin{tabular}{ll}
16.7999992 & 0.9101573 \\
17.1900005 & 0.9135265 \\
17.5799999 & 0.9177758 \\
17.9699993 & 0.9229839 \\
18.3600006 & 0.9283523 \\
18.7500000 & 0.9369215 \\
19.1399994 & 0.9445062 \\
19.5300007 & 0.9558038 \\
19.9200001 & 0.9645656 \\
20.3099995 & 0.9751027 \\
20.7000008 & 0.9835373 \\
21.0900002 & 0.9917958 \\
21.4799995 & 0.9973176 \\
21.8799992 & 1.0000000 \\
22.2700005 & 0.9981878 \\
22.6599998 & 0.9952689 \\
23.0499992 & 0.9849772 \\
23.4400005 & 0.9690644 \\
23.8299999 & 0.9503459 \\
24.2199993 & 0.9266265 \\
24.6100006 & 0.8988805 \\
25.0000000 & 0.8697878 \\
25.3899994 & 0.8384596 \\
25.7800007 & 0.8056284 \\
26.1700001 & 0.7724604 \\
26.5599995 & 0.7349731 \\
26.9500008 & 0.6967620 \\
27.3400002 & 0.6542301 \\
27.7299995 & 0.6101620 \\
28.1200008 & 0.5622446 \\
28.5200005 & 0.5118249 \\
28.9099998 & 0.4599399 \\
29.2999992 & 0.4087435 \\
29.6900005 & 0.3594084 \\
29.8799992 & 0.3353098
\end{tabular}


WFR High Band Frequency Response
Room Temperature
File T960705.U04
Band 2.5 KHz
Antenna ExLo
Gain 30 dB
\begin{tabular}{cc} 
Frequency & Normalized Output \\
27.900 & 0.9078102 \\
41.850 & 0.9715824 \\
83.710 & 1.0000000 \\
97.660 & 0.9980220 \\
111.610 & 0.9945238 \\
125.560 & 0.9921464 \\
139.510 & 0.9879963 \\
167.410 & 0.9900233 \\
195.310 & 0.9865163 \\
223.210 & 0.9853725 \\
237.170 & 0.9822952 \\
251.120 & 0.9850758 \\
265.070 & 0.9804959 \\
279.020 & 0.9824361 \\
306.920 & 0.9804398 \\
320.870 & 0.9791099 \\
334.820 & 0.9801606 \\
362.720 & 0.9770414 \\
390.620 & 0.9757941 \\
418.530 & 0.9741844 \\
432.480 & 0.9729114 \\
460.380 & 0.9701056 \\
502.230 & 0.9672104 \\
530.130 & 0.9652461 \\
571.990 & 0.9616783 \\
599.890 & 0.9594510 \\
641.740 & 0.9564958 \\
683.590 & 0.9546308 \\
725.450 & 0.9508037 \\
781.250 & 0.9476834 \\
837.050 & 0.9437379 \\
878.910 & 0.9404175 \\
934.710 & 0.9360785 \\
1004.460 & 0.9317712 \\
1074.220 & 0.9264954 \\
1157.920 & 0.9194059 \\
1227.680 & 0.9133144 \\
&
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1311.380 & 0.9042442 \\
1381.140 & 0.8973154 \\
1478.790 & 0.8873913 \\
1632.250 & 0.8724679 \\
1715.960 & 0.8639951 \\
1827.570 & 0.8525341 \\
1967.080 & 0.8378108 \\
2078.680 & 0.8227733 \\
2218.190 & 0.8015717 \\
2357.700 & 0.7770430 \\
2525.110 & 0.7287361 \\
2692.520 & 0.6192870 \\
2859.930 & 0.2011404 \\
3055.250 & 0.0295593
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{13.3.2 WFR Gain Calibration}

In this section, the amplitude calibrations for the waveform receiver are presented. The gain calibrations were done at a limited number of frequencies in the pass band of the filters in the receiver. One stimulus frequency in each of the two bands was chosen for this calibration. The stimulus configuration used for the WFR gain calibration is shown in Figure 13.3.2.1.

The procedure used for the performance of the calibrations is as follows. A command is sent to the oscillator and attenuator to set the desired setting. A waveform is captured by the WFR and telemetered to the spacecraft simulator. An FFT is performed on the WFR capture to determine the frequency. Also the waveform is analyzed in the time domain to determine the rms amplitude in counts rms.

The results are shown in Table 13.3.2.1 for the 40 Hz WFR mode and Table 13.3.2.2 for the 2.5 kHz WFR mode.

Table 13.3.2.1 WFR Low-Band 40 Hz Gain Calibration (@24.4 Hz)
\(\left.\begin{array}{lllcc}\begin{array}{lll}\text { WFR }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Output } \\ \text { Gain }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Counts (rms) } \\ \text { Amplitude } \\ \text { of }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Counts / Volt } \\ \text { a Stimulus } \\ \text { amplitude }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { normalized } \\ \text { to }\end{array}\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Counts peak output } \\ \text { per Volt rms input } \\ \text { normalized to }\end{array}\right]\)

Table 13.3.2.2 WFR High-Band 2.5 KHz Gain Calibration (@1.0 KHz)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline WFR & Output & Counts (rms) & Counts / Volt & Counts peak output \\
\hline Gain & Amplitude & @ Stimulus & normalized & per Volt rms input \\
\hline Setting & of & amplitude & to & normalized to \\
\hline (dB) & Stimulus(dB) & \& WFR Gain & \(\underline{\text { WFR gain }=0 \mathrm{~dB}}\) & \(\underline{\text { WFR Gain }=0 \mathrm{~dB}}\) \\
\hline 0 & -20 dB & 423.727 & 4237.27 & 5992.40 \\
\hline 10 & -40 dB & 135.875 & 4296.74 & 6076.51 \\
\hline 20 & -40 dB & 431.357 & 4313.57 & 6100.31 \\
\hline 30 & \(-30 \mathrm{~dB}\) & 142.541 & 4507.53 & 6374.61 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The amplitude calibrations show that the gain amplifiers have small variations in gain from the desired gain change for each filter band. At the frequency that the calibrations were performed, the variations in the gain are less than 1 dB . The gains were calculated by performing a time domain calculation of the amplitude rather than using the amplitude result from the FFT. This eliminates the "scallop loss" mentioned in section 12.3.2. Because of the small variations due to gain settings a single conversion factor can be used for each filter band. These average gain
conversion factors are shown in Table 13.3.2.3.


Table 13.3.2.3 WFR Average Gain Conversion Factors
\begin{tabular}{lcc} 
& \begin{tabular}{c} 
Counts \(/\) Volt \\
normalized \\
to
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Counts peak output \\
per Volt rms input \\
normalized to
\end{tabular} \\
WFR & \(\frac{\text { WFR gain }=0 \mathrm{~dB}}{}\) & \(\frac{\text { WFR Gain }=0 \mathrm{~dB}}{}\) \\
\(\frac{\text { Filter }}{40 \mathrm{~Hz}}\) & 4298 & 6078 \\
2.5 kHz & 4339 & 6136
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{13.3.3 WFR Random Noise Response}

Tests were conducted to characterize the response of the Waveform Receiver to random noise. These bench tests were performed on July 28-29, 1996. The 40 Hz band response was performed at +28 degrees C and the 2.5 kHz band response was performed at +40 degrees C . Two test configurations were used. These test configurations are shown in Figures 13.3.3.1 and 13.3.3.2. The stimulus was connected to the instrument Ex+ and Ex- inputs. The noise spectral density was measured using the HP3585A Spectrum Analyzer, and the graphs showing the frequency spectrum for the two stimulus configurations are shown in Figure 13.3.3.3 and 13.3.3.4. Also a measure of the total power was made using a true RMS meter, and an estimate of the noise spectral density was obtained by using that and the signal bandwidth. For each of the WFR modes approximately spectra were averaged. The average spectra for the 40 Hz band are shown in Figures 13.3.3.5 through 13.3.3.8. The average spectra for the 2.5 kHz band are shown in Figures 13.3.3.9 through 13.3.3.12. Using an estimate of the average level for each plot, an estimate of the noise spectral density was done using the calibration procedure described in Section 13.2. Note that the FFT size for all of these tests is 512 samples, and a Hanning window was applied to the data. The results are summarized for the 40 Hz mode in Table 13.3.3.1.

Table 13.3.3.1 WFR 40 Hz Mode: White Noise Response (WFR Gain = 0 dB )
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|c|c|}
\hline RMS voltage \(\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{rms}}\right)\) & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 \\
\hline Bandwidth \((\mathrm{Hz})\) & 200 & 200 & 200 & 200 \\
\hline Attenuation \((\mathrm{dB})\) & 30 & 20 & 10 & 0 \\
\hline Est. PSD \(\left(\mathrm{dBV} / \mathrm{Hz}^{1 / 2}\right)\) & -53 & -43 & -33 & -23 \\
\hline HP3585 SD \(\left(\mathrm{dBV} / \mathrm{Hz}^{1 / 2}\right)\) & -54 & -44 & -34 & -24 \\
\hline Avg. WFR PSD \(\left({\left.\mathrm{dBV} / \mathrm{Hz}^{1 / 2}\right)}^{-53}\right.\) & -43 & -35 & -33 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Notice the large discrepancy for the test at 0 dB attenuation. The HP3585 spectrum analyzer measured \(-24 \mathrm{dBV} / \mathrm{Hz}^{1 / 2}\), while the WFR measured \(-33 \mathrm{dBV} / \mathrm{Hz}^{1 / 2}\). The reason for this discrepancy is that the data was clipped, so a low estimate of the power results. This overloading is apparently just starting to occur at the 10 dB attenuation setting, where the WFR estimate is 1 dB lower relative to the tests at the higher attenuations. Those tests at 20 and 30 dB attenuation are both within 1 dB of the HP3585 estimate. The scatter in the data seems to indicate that these are reasonable answers.

Notice that for the 40 Hz test, there was an interference tone present. This 180 Hz tone is a harmonic of the 60 Hz power line and is being input through the stimulus. Nevertheless, it does not interfere with the test. The HP3585 measured the spectral density at 90 Hz , avoiding the noise line, and the WFR PSD estimate is within one dB of that.

The results for the 2.5 kHz filter mode are summarized in Table 13.3.3.2.

Table 13.3.3.2 WFR 2.5 kHz Mode: White Noise Response (WFR Gain - 0 dB)
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|c|c|}
\hline RMS voltage \(\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{rms}}\right)\) & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 \\
\hline Bandwidth \((\mathrm{kHz})\) & 20 & 20 & 20 & 20 \\
\hline Attenuation \((\mathrm{dB})\) & 30 & 20 & 10 & 0 \\
\hline Est. PSD \(\left(\mathrm{dBV} / \mathrm{Hz}^{1 / 2}\right)\) & -73 & -63 & -53 & -43 \\
\hline HP3585 SD \(\left(\mathrm{dBV} / \mathrm{Hz}^{1 / 2}\right)\) & -74 & -64 & -54 & -44 \\
\hline Avg. WFR PSD \(\left(\mathrm{dBV} / \mathrm{Hz}^{1 / 2}\right)\) & -74 & -64 & -55 & -51 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}



Figure 13.3.3.3: HP3585 Spectrum Analyzer Display WFR 40 Hz Mode White Noise Test


Figure 13.3.3.4: HP3585 Spectrum Analyzer Display WFR 2.5 kHz Mode White Noise Test










\subsection*{13.3.4 WFR Noise Levels}

In this section, the in-flight receiver noise floors are detailed for the commonly used modes. The figure numbers of the in-flight noise floors for these modes are shown in Table 13.3.4.1.

\section*{Table 13.3.4.1 List of Figures Showing In-Flight Noise Floors}
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
Figure & Sensor & Band & Gain & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Comment \\
13.3.4.1
\end{tabular} \\
Ex & 2.5 kHz & 30 dB & Antenna Retracted \\
13.3.4.2 & Ex & 2.5 kHz & 30 dB & Antenna Extended \\
13.3.4.3 & Ez & 2.5 kHz & 30 dB & Antenna Retracted \\
13.3.4.4 & Ez & 2.5 kHz & 30 dB & Antenna Extended \\
13.3 .4 .5 & Bx & 2.5 kHz & 30 dB & \\
13.3 .4 .6 & By & 2.5 kHz & 30 dB & \\
13.3 .4 .7 & Bz & 2.5 kHz & 30 dB & \\
13.3 .4 .8 & Ex & 40 Hz & 30 dB & Antenna Extended \\
13.3 .4 .9 & Ez & 40 Hz & 30 dB & Antenna Extended \\
13.3 .4 .10 & Bx & 40 Hz & 30 dB & \\
13.3 .4 .11 & By & 40 Hz & 30 dB & \\
13.3 .4 .12 & Bz & 40 Hz & 30 dB &
\end{tabular}



Frequency ( Hz )








```


[^0]:    * It should be noted that the HFR can supply either the dipole Ex (default), or a monopole (Ex+ or Ex-) antenna to the MFR.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ CNES denomination.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ added by P. Zarka (2001).

